

these other places where that argument has not been given. A reason has not been given to this House that will justify these expenditures, but the Government say: "Perhaps a mistake has been made; but if you let these items go through we will see that no objectionable items of this kind will come up again." I think the Government could more gracefully back down than that if they have adopted a wrong course. If these items are passed it will be regarded as a precedent for the renewal of a policy that has been abandoned. They have stretched that policy to a point that has not before been reached, though I must concede that in the past I could point to one place, at any rate, where a public building was erected, that could not be justified to-day. I hope, Mr. Speaker, that the House will do its duty, and will sustain the pledge which was unanimously given in 1890 and which has been adhered to since, by voting for the motion of the hon. member for North Wellington (Mr. McMullen).

House divided :

YEAS :

Messieurs

Bain,	Kloepfer,
Bergeron,	Maclean,
Broder,	McMullen,
Cargill,	McCrin,
Caron (Sir Adolphe),	Oliver,
Christie,	Powell,
Clancy,	Scriver,
Dupont,	Somerville,
Earle,	Sproule, and
Foster,	Wallace.—20.

NAYS :

Messieurs

Beausoleil,	Joly de Lotbinière
Belcourt,	(Sir Henri),
Blair,	Kaulbach,
Bostock,	Lavergne,
Bourassa,	Lemieux,
Britton,	Lister,
Brodeur,	Logan,
Casey,	Mackie,
Costigan,	McClure,
Davis,	McIsaac,
Ethier,	Maxwell,
Fielding,	Migneault,
Fiset,	Monet,
Fisher,	Mulock,
Fitzpatrick,	Paterson,
Flint,	Perry,
Fraser (Guysborough),	Proulx,
Guay,	Rutherford,
Guité,	Tarte, and
Harwood,	Yeo.—40.
Jameson,	

Amendment negatived.

Mr. COCHRANE. I was paired with the hon. member for East Lambton (Mr. Fraser). If I had not been I would have voted for the motion.

171

Mr. TOLMIE. If I had not been paired with the hon. member for South Norfolk (Mr. Tisdale) I would have voted for the motion.

Mr. MACDONELL (Selkirk). If I had not been paired, I would have voted against the motion.

Mr. MACDONALD (P.E.I.) If I had not been paired I would have voted in favour of the motion.

Mr. KAULBACH. Had I not been paired I would have voted against the motion.

Mr. McCLEARY. If I had not been paired I would have voted for the motion.

Mr. GUILLET. Had I not been paired I would have voted for the motion.

Mr. BELL (Pictou). If I had not been paired I would have voted for the motion.

Mr. GILLIES. I am paired with the hon. member for Inverness, or otherwise I would have voted against the motion.

Mr. QUINN. If I had not been paired with the hon. member for Quebec Centre (Mr. Langelier) I would have voted for the motion.

Mr. MOORE. I would have voted for the motion if I had not been paired.

Mr. BRODER. I am paired, but I do not know with whom. I would have voted for the motion.

Mr. BAZINET. I am paired with the hon. member for Montcalm (Mr. Dugas). If I had voted I would have voted against the amendment.

Mr. KLOEPFER. I am paired with the hon. member for Brant, or otherwise I would have voted for the motion.

Mr. LISTER. I submit, Mr. Speaker, that it is not in order to say how an hon. gentleman would vote.

Mr. MACLEAN. When did it get out of order ?

Mr. WALLACE. The hon. member (Mr. Kloepfer) is paired with the member for Brant who has voted.

Mr. SPEAKER. The hon. member (Mr. Kloepfer) must vote unless he has a pair.

Mr. WALLACE. But he is paired with the hon. member for Brant, and the hon. member for Brant has voted.

Mr. SPEAKER. It is not for the Speaker to have anything to say in such a matter, it is for the hon. member himself.