a rapid rate that design should be adaptable to changing concepts. The evidence that a higher percentage of offenders are sentenced to prison in Canada than elsewhere and the likelihood that the report of the Canadian Committee on Corrections will result in a change in sentencing procedures, confirms this view. The Committee questions whether the ten-year plan of the Canadian Penitentiary Service sufficiently takes into account the increasing trend in correctional technique towards less secure custody—a trend that extends, we are informed, to many prisoners who have until recently been thought to require custody under conditions of maximum security.

In conclusion, the Committee approves the decision to construct a second maximum security institution on the standard design proposed by the Canadian Penitentiary Service, subject to the following recommendations:

- (1) That the specific modifications to the Canadian Penitentiary Service standard design recommended in the text of this Committee's Report be implemented.
- (2) That no additional maximum security institutions be built on this design without allowing for a period of experience with the one institution under construction and the one contemplated; and without a basic review of the standard design in the light of developing correctional philosophy.
- (3) Moreover, before any further consideration is given to the construction of additional maximum security institutions, the Canadian Penitentiary Service should prepare a detailed statement of the program that it proposes to conduct in such institutions, with particular reference to programming directed to behavioural change, and that every effort be made to relate requirements, in terms of space, classification and architectural design, to the best knowledge available concerning programming for behavioural change in the correctional context.

A copy of the relevant Minutes of Proceedings and Evidence, (Issues Nos. 1 to 10) is tabled.

(The Minutes of Proceedings and Evidence accompanying the said Report recorded as Appendix No. 71 to the Journals).

By unanimous consent, at 6.40 o'clock p.m. the sitting was suspended until 7.30 o'clock p.m.

The House resolved itself again into Committee of Supply.

(In the Committee)

The following resolution was adopted:

INTERIM SUPPLY

Resolved,—That a sum not exceeding \$1,034,689,911.16, being the aggregate of—

(a) two-twelfths of the total of all the items set forth in the Main Estimates for the fiscal year ending 31st March, 1968, laid before