

sacrifice human lives, including their own, in an attempt to effect radical changes." After some further discussion, the item was allocated to the Sixth Committee.

As soon as the Sixth Committee began its first substantive session to consider the organization of its work, further controversy occurred concerning the placing of the item on terrorism in the programme of work of the Committee. The U.S.A. delegation, supported by the U.K., Canada and a number of other delegations, pressed to have the question considered as the first item on the agenda of the Sixth Committee. (The U.S.A. Delegation also introduced a draft resolution calling for adherence to the relevant civil aviation conventions, for a plenipotentiary conference on enforcement of civil aviation obligations, and calling upon states to become parties to the draft convention on the protection of diplomatic agents recommended by the International Law Commission.) A number of Arab and African delegations proposed instead that the item on terrorism be considered last on the agenda. In the light of the opposition to the U.S.A. proposal, the U.K. delegation suggested the setting up of a working group to consider possible measures and report back to the Sixth Committee. Opposition also developed to this proposal. After some further debate the Canadian delegation proposed that the item be considered fourth, and that the intervening period be utilized for consultations to be initiated by the Chairman with a view to determining the procedure and the direction of its work on this question. After two further days of