## U.S. TRADE LEGISLATION PROPOSALS 100th CONGRESS

Date: September 25, 1987

CON POSITION

Generally satisfactory.

broad and flexible

Uruguay Round.

Admin on side. Have urged

authority as essential to

Page 1

		HOUSE	SENATE	
		•	"Omnibus Trade and Compe-	
		"Trade and International Economic	titiveness Act of 1987"/	
SUBJECT	CURRENT LAW	Policy Reform Act of 1987" (HR3)	[H.R.3(S.1420)]	ADMIN POSITION
		[passed April 30, 1987]	[passed July 21, 1987]	
	•			
NEGOTIATING OBJECTIVES/				•
AUTHORITY			•	
1. Objectives				•
ODJECTY VOS				
A. Overäll & Sectoral	More open/equitable market access:	More open/equitable/reciprocal	More open/fair/equitable	Generally appropriate
	harmonization, reduction or	market access; harmonization,	market access; reduction	authority; including
	elimination of trade distorting	reduction or elimination of trade	elimination of trade	proclamation authority
	practices lagriculture to extent	distorting measures; more	distorting practices;	for tariffs is top
	possible;; reciprocity of	effective system of international	balance overall between	priority.
	competitive opportunities.	trading disciplines/procedures;	benefits and concessions;	•
		specific for agriculture on	better management of global	
		expedited basis; specific for	economy; specific for	
		tariff and NTBs.	agriculture including ref	
			to CAP and Japan.	
			,	
B. Services, Investment &	Reduce barriers and other	Essentially same as current law.	Objectives explicitly	
High Technology	distortions to inti trade in	,	carried forward from	
	services and foreign direct		current into new law.	
	Investment. Development of new		•	
	rules including dispute settlement procedures.			
	procedures.	•	,	
	Three specific objectives for high	No provisions as in current law	New rules similar to HR 3	
	tech.	except for access where equitable	to seek equitable access	
A Record		access denied to US persons.	for US persons to foreign	
		•	developed technology.	
Mariati di distribu	· • •			
C. Access to Supplier	Fair and equitable access at	No provision.	No provision.	

reasonable prices where US does not have or cannot easily develop domestic productive capacity.