

and the landscape architect. On motion by Mr. Hynes, a vote of thanks was tendered to Mr. Pentecost. This was followed by a paper by Mr. Charles Mulford Robinson, of Rochester, N.Y., read by Mr. W.L. Harris, of New York, on "Civic Art as Evolution, Not Revolution", for which a vote of thanks was tendered to the author. Mr. Julius F. Harder moved an amendment to the Constitution changing the date of holding the Annual Convention from Thursday to Monday. Mr. Hynes moved that section 25 of article 8 of the Constitution be amended to read: "The Annual Convention shall be held at 10 o'clock a.m. at such place and on such date as shall be designated by the previous Convention, and that paragraph "n" of section 28 be amended to read "Designation of time and place of next Annual Convention". The motion carried. After adjournment for luncheon, a group photograph of the gentlemen attending the Convention was taken by request of the publishers of the CANADIAN ARCHITECT AND BUILDER. The afternoon was spent on the lake and concluded with a dinner at the Royal Canadian Yacht Club.

At the morning session on the 30th the Committee re Exhibit of Model City at St. Louis Exposition submitted the following resolution:

"Whereas the improvement of towns and cities is a subject of widely recognized importance to the people of the United States, and whereas civic improvements of a permanent character must soon transform any community, "reflecting man in his full twentieth century developments," exhibiting not alone his material but his social advancement in a most conspicuous manner, and whereas science and art in modern city building have formed a subject of department exhibits at three international exhibitions abroad, therefore be it resolved that the Architectural League of America in Annual Convention assembled petition the commissioners of the Louisiana Purchase Exposition to make provision for an exhibit which shall have these characteristics." The resolution was adopted and a copy ordered to be sent to the commissioners. A number of short papers on various phases of the educational question were presented from Louis H. Sullivan, Chicago, William L. Harris, New York, Herbert H. Briggs, Cleveland, Percy Ash, Washington, Professor Newton A. Wells, of the University of Illinois, John W. Case, of Detroit. These papers all had a bearing on the subject of education in schools and universities and were supplemented by letters from gentlemen connected with various universities. The reading of the papers and letters was followed by a lengthy discussion of the following points:

- (1) Is a National American Art University a desirable or necessary Institution?
2. Should the National Government have connection with or jurisdiction over a National Art University, or should the organization and control be similar to that existing in American Universities?

The preponderance of opinion expressed was in favor of a National Art University founded on broad lines and subsidized by the Government. Professor Wells was of opinion that such a University should include the workshop. He did not believe it possible to teach the theoretical side of art or to make a designer without requiring the student to work in the material.

At the morning session on the 31st a paper was presented from Geo. D. Page, of Philadelphia, on "Club

and Inter-club Self Education". Mr. Llewelyn in discussing this paper expressed the opinion that the old method of educating the draughtsman by starting him in an architect's office has gone by. The new men coming into the offices are all products of the scientific schools, hence the necessity of the League placing itself in as close touch as possible with these schools. The Club should be made as attractive as possible and an effort put forth to get the graduates of the scientific schools as members. A paper on the same subject was read by Mr. Emil Lorch, of Cambridge, Mass. In the discussion following, several of the speakers gave testimony in favor of the value of the work done in behalf of architectural students by the Correspondence Schools. Mr. Dwight L. Perkins, of Chicago, read a paper on "Architecture and Citizenship".

Some further discussion took place with regard to the Circuit Exhibitions, Mr. Pfeil making the suggestion that a prize be offered for the best example of architecture exhibited in the photographs comprising the Exhibition. The various suggestions made on this subject were referred to the Committee on the Exhibition Circuit.

At the afternoon session the following Committee were appointed: "Publicity and Promotion"—Frederick S. Lambe, New York, Chairman, James P. Llewelyn, Chicago, and the new President. "Auxiliary Committee on Publication and Promotion"—D.C. Boyd, Philadelphia, Chairman, Mr. Blackhall, Boston, Mr. Perkins, Chicago, Mr. Hewett, Brooklyn, Mr. Skeel, Cleveland. "Code of Ethics and Competition"—Julius F. Harder, New York, Chairman, Messrs. Striebenger, Cleveland, Shean, New York. "Current Club Work"—E. J. Russell, St. Louis, Chairman, Messrs. Hayes, Philadelphia, Hynes, Toronto. "Education" Emil Lorch, Cambridge, Mass., Chairman, Messrs. Ash, Washington, Case, Detroit. "Publicity and Records", Emil Lorch, Cambridge Mass., Chairman, Messrs. Skeel and Kregelins.

On motion by Mr. Hynes, those scholars who win the travelling scholarships of the Clubs which send scholars to Europe were appointed a Committee on foreign exhibit of the League. This Committee will consist of Mr. J. H. Phillips, of the Chicago Club, and Mr. Morris Leisenring, of the Philadelphia Club.

The Convention next proceeded to the election of officers. Messrs Frederick S. Lambe, of New York, and Ernest J. Russell, of St. Louis, were nominated for the office of President. Mr. Russell withdrew in favor of Mr. Lambe, who was unanimously elected. It was decided to hold the next Convention at St. Louis on Monday and Tuesday, the 12th and 13th of October, 1902. The following gentleman were appointed as a Committee on Exhibition Circuits: Mr. Harris, New York, Chairman, Mr. Kleinpell, Chicago—the third member to be appointed by the President.

Votes of thanks were tendered to Mr. Skeel, the Secretary of the Convention, and to the Toronto Architectural Eighteen Club. Afterwards the Convention adjourned.

THE BANQUET.

Following the conclusion of the business sessions a banquet was tendered the visitors by the Toronto Architectural Eighteen Club at McConkey's Restaurant.

Responding to the toast, "Our Guests," Professor Wells said: When I started from home to come over here, I will tell you what I thought, and I know the rest thought the same; "we are going over