

Display of extracted honey by a lady, 1st Ann Cutting; 2nd M H Hunt.

Display of beeswax, 1st M H Hunt; 2nd Mrs Ann Lirmoun, Detroit Mich.

Sample of beeswax, ten pounds, 1st M H Hunt; 2nd W Z Hutchinson.

Honey vinegar, 1st M H Hunt; 2nd W Z Hutchinson.

Honey candies, 1st Ruie & Lydia Berry, Big 3 Corners N. Y.; 2nd Eliza Salisbury.

Pastry made with honey, 1st M H Hunt; 2nd Ruie & Lydia Berry.

Fruits preserved in honey, 1st Eliza Salisbury; 2nd M H Hunt.

Colony of Indian bees, 1st M H Hunt; 2nd W Z Hutchinson.

Colony of Syrian bees, 1st W Z Hutchinson; 2nd H D Cutting.

Colony of Cyprian bees, W Z Hutchinson; 2nd H D Cutting.

Colony of Carniolan bees, 1st M H Hunt; 2nd W Z Hutchinson.

Collection of honey producing plants, properly named, pressed and mounted or in blossom, 1st and 2nd A B Mason, Auburndale, N. Y.

Display of comb foundation, 1st M H Hunt; 2nd H D Cutting.

Sample foundation for brood chamber, 1st W T Falconer, Jamestown N Y; 2nd M H Hunt.

Sample foundation for brood surplus, 1st W T Falconer, 2nd M H Hunt.

Most complete line of bee-keepers' supplies, exhibited by manufacturer, Silver Medal, 1st M H Hunt; 2nd W T Falconer.

Best bee hive for comb honey, 1st W T Falconer.

Best bee hive for extracted honey, 1st W T Falconer.

Best bee hive for all purposes, 1st W T Falconer.

FOR THE CANADIAN BEE JOURNAL.

Dr. Mason Takes the Floor

AND MAKES A FEW REMARKS ANENT OUR COMMENTS ON HIS LETTER.

PERHAPS (?) a little explanation is necessary to enlighten your benighted understanding in regard to what I meant in my letter to our friend Ernest R. Root about changing the place of meeting of the next International American Bee-Keepers Association, as appears on page 686 of the C. B. J., and "just a word more" in regard to your reference on page 687, to what I wrote, may not be inappropriate.

In the first place my letter to friend Ernest Root was not written for publication, and he has done just as "ye editors" always do, use just as much of it as he thought best, and the shape he has put it in is perfectly satisfactory to me. I would not change a word of it, if I could, and if you think you could better the first sentence in my letter just try it once, and see how you will come out. For fear you may have forgotten it and won't refer to it again I'll just quote it from the C. B. J. "Yes, I am in favor of the

change of the place of meeting of the International convention provided the Canucks so desire." Do you notice the emphasis placed on the word provided." I meant just what I said and I haven't changed my mind since saying it. If you were, or are, in your "right mind" how can you get anything else from my letter than that that I proposed to leave the whole matter to our Canadian friends. If I just had hold of you I'd see if I couldn't shake a little sense—sense—sense—well I'll just hold on till we meet at Brantford and I'll show you what I mean, (if I don't forget it).

The next sentence was rather in fun, and had especial reference to the matter of voting; no one but members having any right to vote, and you admit the truthfulness of the statement, and add, "yet, it cannot be characterized as judicious, and is not what we should expect would emanate from the lips of the president who should have the best interests of the Association at heart. Why then muzzle those who see fit to raise an objection? If given a chance they will doubtless become members."

If I understand my duty as President, it is one of my duties to see that none but members should be allowed to vote on any matter pertaining to the business of the Association and it appears to me to be more "judicious" to let none but members vote than to reject votes after being cast. To even intimate that I have not "the best interests of the Association at heart" is going further than the facts in the case will admit of. "Why then muzzle, etc.

Why, bless your big heart, no one has attempted to do it, nor thought of such a thing. You have "muzzled" yourselves by not "walking up to the secretary's office and paying your fare; just one dollar for the round trip." The invitation for you to do so has been in all the bee journals, the C. B. J. included, for several weeks; see page 692, under the head of convention notices, last sentence. Send in your dollars gentlemen, and then vote if you want to; but my advice would be, don't vote until the President asks you to. I hope our worthy and efficient secretary will be prepared to take all the dollars our Canadian friends send him; and the president will be "judicious" enough to see that there is no "fraud at the polls."

You say "the Dr. has evidently spoken rather hastily, we are sure he will wish to qualify his remarks." Not much "hastily" about it, and you have got the "qualify" above. Give me fits if you want to, for I know by what others say, there is no use in expecting to come out even "second best" in a controversy with an editor, but you can't make us quit laughing when you step on us.