

The Day In London

A General Observance of the Holiday in the World's Metropolis.

King Edward Presents New Color to Scots Greys at Horse Guards.

London, May 24.—There was a general observance of Victoria Day. The law courts and government offices were closed.

King Edward presented a new color to the Scots Guards this morning on the Horse Guards parade ground.

When Sir Alfred Milner arrived in London an unusually distinguished crowd greeted him on the platform.

Prohibiting Export of Logs

Loggers and Lumbermen Discuss Question With Chief Commissioner Wells.

And Approve of the Law Passed By the Provincial Legislature.

From Our Own Correspondent.

Vancouver, B. C., May 24.—A number of loggers and lumbermen met Hon. W. C. Wells, chief commissioner of lands and works today, and discussed the report of legislation forbidding the export of cedar logs to the United States.

Mr. Wells said he would use his discretion regarding those who claimed they had been injured by the act and those who had no logs ready for shipment at the time the act was passed.

The impression was after the meeting, that those who had no logs ready would be allowed to ship them.

At a meeting of the loggers and millmen a resolution was unanimously passed expressing approval at the course of the local legislation in prohibiting the export of cedar logs, provided that those injured by the passing of the legislation be properly protected.

A fishing boat has been found on the northwest side of Bowen island with sails set and the contents just as if the owner had only stepped from it that morning.

The boat was not seen for it, but thought the occupant has hastily entered the woods at night, and has met with an accident.

The initials on the boat are T. D. J.

The largest crowd, best race, and finest lot of horses ever seen in Hastings, this is the verdict rendered on the Victoria day races by the 5,000 people present.

The result of the races was as follows:

Three-eighths mile dash—Harry N., first; A. L., second; Majada, third; time, 38 seconds.

Two-fourths mile pony race—Bonnie Timbler, first; Sleepy Dan, second; Bonnie Jean, third; time, 1:29 1/2.

Five-eighths mile dash—Aberdeen, first; Pettigrew, second; Ricardo, third; time, 1:08.

Expressman's Stewpakes named horses, half mile repeat—Wilder, Black Prince.

One mile dash, weight for age—Wilder, first; O'Connor, second; Geo. Palmer, third; time, 1:54.

WELSH MINES

Nearly Eighty Men Missing in Disaster at the Universal Colliery.

London, May 24.—An explosion occurred this morning at the Universal colliery, at Saughen-Ydd, in the Rhondda Valley. About a hundred men were in the pit at the time of the disaster, and there is little hope of saving the lives of any of them.

The rescuers are working with great difficulty owing to lack of air. Five bodies have been recovered. There are still about 75 men missing.

EXTEND TIME

New York Yacht Club Give Lipton One Month.

New York, May 24.—The challenge committee of the New York Yacht club at a meeting this afternoon decided to extend the time for the international yacht race for one month in accordance with a wish for this length of time expressed by Sir Thomas Lipton.

KILLED AT RIFLE PRACTICE

Chatham Man Accidentally Shot by a Volunteer.

Chatham, May 24.—Peter Robertson, of Valletta, was shot in the head and fatally injured at the Essex Battalion rifle pits this afternoon. He made an improper signal, and rising to correct it, was struck by a bullet.

CANADA AT GLASGOW.

A Splendid Exhibit Which Attracts Attention.

From Montreal Star.

Canada is certain to be well repaid for her excellent showing at the Glasgow exhibition. Of all the colonial exhibits here is the most complete, while it is one of the most representative and comprehensive in the whole exhibition.

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

The Canadian exhibit is the representative of one London paper is led to remark after viewing the Canadian exhibit that "the Canadian exhibit is the finest of the kind ever seen in this country."

IN OTTAWA.

Celebration of Victoria Day Spoiled by Wet Weather.

Ottawa, May 24.—(Special)—Mr. and Mrs. L. E. Borden, of the Ottawa embassy at Paris, are expected to be in Ottawa about a week, reaching his home at Halifax on June 3 or 4. Mr. F. D. Monk, M.P., of Jacques Cartier, will join Mr. Borden in Toronto on Monday.

The first celebration of Victoria Day in Ottawa, while generally observed, was spoiled by wet weather. The statue of Her late Majesty, erected on Parliament Hill, will be unveiled by the Duchess of Cornwall and York when she visits Ottawa in September.

Jules Siegfried, formerly minister of commerce of France, will be in the city for some days. He had a lengthy conference with Mr. Tarte this morning.

Speaker Brodeur has just given an interesting ruling on a point of parliamentary procedure. Senator Dechenne was translated from the Commons to the Upper House before the passage of the bill increasing the indemnity to \$1,500, and the question arose whether he should be paid his indemnity for the days he was member of the Commons.

As a senator he was only entitled to five days' indemnity. The Speaker issued his order that Dechenne be paid at the rate of \$1,500 indemnity.

Rather to the surprise of all concerned, the case of The King vs. Willie W. Malby and Eugene Brooks, indicted for the murder of the child of the late Malby, ended in the discharge of the prisoners by Mr. Justice Martin in the assize court yesterday. The day was taken up with the evidence of Dr. John Powell, called for the defence, the address of counsel and His Lordship's summing up.

Mr. Powell, counsel for Malby, made a very able and eloquent address in the interest of his client. Mr. Yates, who championed the cause of the crown, made an admirable specimen of pleading.

His Lordship held that the offence charged in the indictment did not lie either under the common law or the statute law of Canada, and that the case, though regretfully, to discharge the accused.

Court opened yesterday at 10 a. m. John Davis, M. D., was sworn and examined by Mr. Powell. Had practised medicine for over twenty years, and some experience in cases of diphtheria.

Witness described the cause, progress and effect of diphtheria, and how it sometimes formed in the throat and extended down into the air passages and bronchial tubes. The disease might prove fatal through suffocation, and the symptoms would be difficulty in breathing, and a dark appearance of the face.

Witness described the case of the Malby case, and witness said death was certainly not from suffocation.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

Witness also testified to the conditions according to Dr. Jones described to him, witness said that no condition of the body regarding the throat, heart, lungs, etc., was sufficient to warrant any medical man saying that death was caused by the throat.

The Prisoners Discharged

Malby and Brooks Escape Punishment Through Defect in the Law.

Judge Holds Their Case Not Covered by Common or Statute Law.

Rather to the surprise of all concerned, the case of The King vs. Willie W. Malby and Eugene Brooks, indicted for the murder of the child of the late Malby, ended in the discharge of the prisoners by Mr. Justice Martin in the assize court yesterday. The day was taken up with the evidence of Dr. John Powell, called for the defence, the address of counsel and His Lordship's summing up.

Mr. Powell, counsel for Malby, made a very able and eloquent address in the interest of his client. Mr. Yates, who championed the cause of the crown, made an admirable specimen of pleading.

His Lordship held that the offence charged in the indictment did not lie either under the common law or the statute law of Canada, and that the case, though regretfully, to discharge the accused.

Court opened yesterday at 10 a. m. John Davis, M. D., was sworn and examined by Mr. Powell. Had practised medicine for over twenty years, and some experience in cases of diphtheria.

Witness described the cause, progress and effect of diphtheria, and how it sometimes formed in the throat and extended down into the air passages and bronchial tubes. The disease might prove fatal through suffocation, and the symptoms would be difficulty in breathing, and a dark appearance of the face.