

\$11,000  
Central apartment house site; lot 20  
x 140; large brick building on same.  
H. H. WILLIAMS & CO.  
38 King Street E. 248

PROBS: Fair and cold.

LIVE PAGES—TUESDAY MORNING JANUARY 2 1912—TWELVE PAGES

X

VOL. XXXII—No. 11,443

## VIADUCT GIVEN BIG MAJORITY TEMPORARY SET-BACK FOR TUBES

### North Toronto Annexation Beaten by Thousand Votes —Two Year Term Proposed Decisively Defeated —Hydro Extension Gains Sweeping Victory.

The bylaws and questions voted upon by the ratepayers yesterday met with the fate to be expected at the hands of those not personally interested in the issues presented.

The tubes proposal was the most generally important to the city as the beginning of a scheme of rapid transit for the whole city. The vote was 11,130 to 7699 against the proposal, whereas the popular vote placed Controller Hocken, whose sole issue was the tubes, at the head of the board of control with 16322 votes, and defeated Controller Spence, who campaigned against the tubes.

The Bloor-street viaduct, after a campaign of three years, has at last been carried by a substantial majority. Last year the majority against it was numerically greater. The probable cause of the turnover was because the ratepayers had it borne home to them that every year the price was going up. From \$500,000 ten years ago the estimate has increased to \$1,780,000. Ratepayers feel that the only thing to do was to build the viaduct and let Rosedale expand itself. The vote was 10,690 to 9271.

Ratepayers have learned to regard the waterworks as an investment, so they did not balk at laying mains on the local improvement system. The bylaw carried by 15,932 to 10,649.

The proposal to extend the term of the members in the city council was snuffed under by 21,934 to 6816.

The hydro-electric proposal naturally met with favor. They also are an investment, and offer no element of expense or risk to the ratepayer, while at the same time adding considerably to the value of real property. The ratepayers carried the extra street lighting plan for putting the extra street in the local improvement plan by 13,208 to 4255. The bylaw to spend \$2,000,000 on the extension of the hydro-electric system into new territory carried by 11,696 to 4290.

The Danforth-avenue civic car line also came under the head of investment, and was carried by 13,238 to 5723. This, with the Bloor-street viaduct, means the development of North Riverside on the same scale as the Seaton Village and Dovercourt territory in the west.


The annexation of North Toronto was met by a vote of 9489 to 8312. A general vote would undoubtedly have carried the measure. If the city council wishes to head off a demand from North Toronto for annexation on terms, the union of the two municipalities should be put thru without delay. The temper of the new council in North Toronto may not be reconciled to the slight administered by the city ratepayers.

### PEACE HATH NO VICTORIES.

The peace banquet in New York almost began with a free fight, and Taft and Roosevelt nearly came to blows. Teddy called somebody a hypocrite, and Taft called someone a heads-I-win-tails-you-lose. Secret service men were all about the dining-room and a special bodyguard on motor cycles pranced beside the presidential motor from the station to the gathering. Andrew Carnegie ought to catch the dove of peace with a game chicken in order to get a symbolic bird to suit the circumstances.

### THE VIADUCT WIN.

Donlands: Hello! Hello!  
John Ross: Hello! Hello!  
Senator Jaff: Hello! Hello!  
J. B. O'Brien: Hello! Hello!  
Rosedale: Hello! Hello!



CONTROLLER-ELECT FOSTER.  
He's back again on the board of control. City hall officials aren't pleased.

### This Year's Council

Mayor  
G. R. Geary

Controllers  
H. C. Hocken  
J. O. McCarthy  
T. Foster  
T. Church

Aldermen

—First Ward—  
D. Chisholm  
Z. Hilton  
W. J. Sanderson

—Second Ward—  
J. O'Neill  
H. A. Rowland  
R. M. Yeomans

—Third Ward—  
S. McBride (acc.)  
A. Maguire (acc.)  
M. Rawlinson (acc.)

—Fourth Ward—  
G. Weston  
J. Wanless  
G. McMurrich

—Fifth Ward—  
J. Dunn  
R. H. Graham  
J. T. V. May

—Sixth Ward—  
F. McBrien  
D. Spence  
J. A. Austin

—Seventh Ward—  
A. J. Anderson  
S. Ryding

—Eighth Ward—  
J. O'Neill  
H. A. Rowland  
R. M. Yeomans

—Ninth Ward—  
G. Weston  
J. Wanless  
G. McMurrich

—Tenth Ward—  
J. Dunn  
R. H. Graham  
J. T. V. May

—Eleventh Ward—  
F. McBrien  
D. Spence  
J. A. Austin

—Twelfth Ward—  
A. J. Anderson  
S. Ryding

—Thirteenth Ward—  
J. O'Neill  
H. A. Rowland  
R. M. Yeomans

—Fourteenth Ward—  
G. Weston  
J. Wanless  
G. McMurrich

—Fifteenth Ward—  
J. Dunn  
R. H. Graham  
J. T. V. May

—Sixteenth Ward—  
F. McBrien  
D. Spence  
J. A. Austin

—Seventeenth Ward—  
A. J. Anderson  
S. Ryding

—Eighteenth Ward—  
J. O'Neill  
H. A. Rowland  
R. M. Yeomans

—Nineteenth Ward—  
G. Weston  
J. Wanless  
G. McMurrich

—Twentieth Ward—  
J. Dunn  
R. H. Graham  
J. T. V. May

—Twenty-first Ward—  
F. McBrien  
D. Spence  
J. A. Austin

—Twenty-second Ward—  
A. J. Anderson  
S. Ryding

—Twenty-third Ward—  
J. O'Neill  
H. A. Rowland  
R. M. Yeomans

—Twenty-fourth Ward—  
G. Weston  
J. Wanless  
G. McMurrich

—Twenty-fifth Ward—  
J. Dunn  
R. H. Graham  
J. T. V. May

—Twenty-sixth Ward—  
F. McBrien  
D. Spence  
J. A. Austin

—Twenty-seventh Ward—  
A. J. Anderson  
S. Ryding

—Twenty-eighth Ward—  
J. O'Neill  
H. A. Rowland  
R. M. Yeomans

—Twenty-ninth Ward—  
G. Weston  
J. Wanless  
G. McMurrich

—Thirtieth Ward—  
J. Dunn  
R. H. Graham  
J. T. V. May

—Thirty-first Ward—  
F. McBrien  
D. Spence  
J. A. Austin

—Thirty-second Ward—  
A. J. Anderson  
S. Ryding

—Thirty-third Ward—  
J. O'Neill  
H. A. Rowland  
R. M. Yeomans

—Thirty-fourth Ward—  
G. Weston  
J. Wanless  
G. McMurrich

—Thirty-fifth Ward—  
J. Dunn  
R. H. Graham  
J. T. V. May

—Thirty-sixth Ward—  
F. McBrien  
D. Spence  
J. A. Austin

—Thirty-seventh Ward—  
A. J. Anderson  
S. Ryding

—Thirty-eighth Ward—  
J. O'Neill  
H. A. Rowland  
R. M. Yeomans

—Thirty-ninth Ward—  
G. Weston  
J. Wanless  
G. McMurrich

—Fortieth Ward—  
J. Dunn  
R. H. Graham  
J. T. V. May

—Forty-first Ward—  
F. McBrien  
D. Spence  
J. A. Austin

—Forty-second Ward—  
A. J. Anderson  
S. Ryding

—Forty-third Ward—  
J. O'Neill  
H. A. Rowland  
R. M. Yeomans

—Forty-fourth Ward—  
G. Weston  
J. Wanless  
G. McMurrich

—Forty-fifth Ward—  
J. Dunn  
R. H. Graham  
J. T. V. May

## COUNCIL CONTEST

With the bylaws as the chief incentive in getting out the ratepayers a larger vote was polled yesterday than that of last New Year's. In round numbers about twenty-one thousand ratepayers recorded their opinions on the civic issues. The board of control and the aldermanic contests were also an inducement for the ratepayers to go to the polls, and hence a very large vote was the result.

**Ward One.**  
Alderman Chisholm easily led the race in Ward One. The final results giving him 3483 votes. Alderman Hilton was the next in line with 2647, but the real race was between W. D. Robinson and ex-Ald. Sanderson for third honors. As the sub-divisions came in these two changed places many times, but the totals gave Mr. Sanderson a majority of 19. F. A. Gibbons was the next in line with 441 votes.

**Ward Two.**  
Ald. O'Neill had no trouble in again leading the poll in ward two. He received 3222 votes, which is more than he obtained last year by about 200. Ald. Rowland also polled about 290 more votes than he got last year. Ald. Yeomans came third with 2071 votes. Last year he got 1934, but there were then five candidates in the field. Dr. C. A. R. Sk. had a good showing with 1646. He was the runner up last year.

**Ward Three.**  
Ald. Maguire, Heyd and McBride had no opposition in ward three and were elected by acclamation. Abraham Scher, who was nominated, silently faded away.

**Ward Four.**  
Ald. Weston headed the poll in ward four with 2427 votes. The surprise of this ward was the new candidate, John Wanless, Jr., who polled only 69 less votes than Ald. Weston, and thus he takes the place of Ald. Sweny, who retired to run for a seat on the board of control. Ald. McMurrich was returned with 1921 votes. J. W. Commey was the runner up with 1473 votes. He was also the man behind last year, when he lost by about the same number of votes.

**Ward Five.**  
The three aldermen of ward five were all re-elected, but their position regarding the vote polled is exactly the reverse of last year. The totals last year were: J. O'Neill, 2922; H. A. Rowland, 2427; R. M. Yeomans, 2071. This year they were: J. O'Neill, 2922; H. A. Rowland, 2427; R. M. Yeomans, 2071.

**Ward Six.**  
S. McBride (acc.), A. Maguire (acc.), M. Rawlinson (acc.)

**Ward Seven.**  
G. Weston, J. Wanless, G. McMurrich, J. O'Neill, H. A. Rowland, R. M. Yeomans, F. A. Gibbons, T. E. Fairhouse, E. Cook

**Ward Eight.**  
J. O'Neill, H. A. Rowland, R. M. Yeomans, F. A. Gibbons, T. E. Fairhouse, E. Cook

**Ward Nine.**  
J. O'Neill, H. A. Rowland, R. M. Yeomans, F. A. Gibbons, T. E. Fairhouse, E. Cook

**Ward Ten.**  
J. O'Neill, H. A. Rowland, R. M. Yeomans, F. A. Gibbons, T. E. Fairhouse, E. Cook

## SPENCE AND WARD GO DOWN TO DEFEAT

### J. O. McCarthy Lands Second Place on Board—Thomas Foster Comes Back—Four New Faces in City Council—Hocken Leads by Two Thousand.

The board of control race proved to be quite as spectacular as had been expected, and the manner in which the issue held in the balance kept the public on the tenter hooks. It was early seen that Controller Hocken and J. O. McCarthy were running strongly and that Controller Spence was a laggard, but it was not until later returns that Thomas Foster developed strength and the battle for fourth place was of intense interest. T. L. Church established a lead which looked safe, but both J. J. Ward and F. S. Spence closed up dangerously towards the finish, and not until within a few polls from the end was it definitely known that Mr. Church was a winner.

Thomas Foster, who earned for himself while in the city council the designation "Watchdog of the civic treasury," has been given authority by the people to resume his attitude of watchfulness. Many people thought that he couldn't come back because it has become almost an axiom at the city hall that once a controller falls of re-election he can be counted as permanently off the board. Not only does Mr. Foster return after a year's retirement, but he ran very strongly and left a wide margin between himself and T. L. Church, who made the fourth place.

Mr. Foster has had long municipal experience. He was first elected for old St. David's ward in 1881, and represented it and the second ward into which it was merged for ten years. Two years ago he got on the board of control as a platform of economy. He was Controller-elect J. O. McCarthy. He fought a good fight and looked like a winner from the start, and to-day he will be busy receiving congratulations. He has been a good friend of the tubes in and out of season, and has shown capabilities as a fighter which somewhat belie his suave manner. He can be depended upon to push thru the reorganization of the works department, and to get reasonably pure water for Toronto if the long sought ideal is at all attainable. The

slightly more than 20 licenses will be cut off as a result of the voting on local option bylaws throughout Ontario yesterday. Bylaws were carried over the three-fifths requirement in thirteen towns, villages and townships; while in eleven municipalities a majority was recorded, but the bylaws failed to get the necessary three-fifths. In 23 municipalities the bylaws failed of even a straight majority. In all the cities where the reform was voted on it was defeated. Chatham, Fort William and Port Arthur were the most conspicuous of these, and they all defeated the measure, the latter two were unsuccessful in securing a repeal in Owen Sound.

The liquor party were also unsuccessful in securing repeal in 13 other municipalities, the figures are not available to show in how many places the three-fifths requirement prevents a return to license.

On the whole the local optionists have no reason to complain, since they held what they had and gained a few more. Kingston voted on a bylaw to reduce the number of licenses from 25 to 15. This was carried.

At Local Option Headquarters, Ontario Alliance workers were somewhat disappointed at the results of the battle between the dries and the wets. They had discounted the victories for their side in advance so far as the repeal contests, and were not surprised when the fourteen of the total fifteen repeal contests heard from were received in their favor to remain dry. The alliance executive had the results announced in Room 411, Confederation Life Building. About 40 representative local optionists were present, including several ladies.

At first the meeting was marked by evidences of grave anxiety, and a tendency on everyone's part to lapse into deep silence. This was over fear as to the outcome in Owen Sound, and the disquieting rumors of the outlook for the liquor party.

Continued on Page 7, Column 4.

Continued on Page 2, Column 2.

## Local Option Results

Carried, 13	
Cannington	2
Chesborough	2
Ekfrid	2
Lurkwood	3
Pelee Island	1
Howard Twp.	1
Percy Twp.	2
Kinloss	1
Tara	2
Charlottesville	2
Calabogie	3
Blenheim	3
Orillia Twp.	0

Failed to Get Three-Fifths Majority, 11	
Goderich	Caledonia
Windsor	Listowel
Sherbrooke Twp.	Theftord
Theriotville	Etobicoke
Blainford	North Cayuga
Hagerman	

Sustained, 14	
Richmond Hill	Wyoming
Coldwater	Durham
Owen Sound	Tara
Peel Township	Theftord
Lakeland	Hepworth
Athens	Amstater
Beamsville	Arthur

Reduction Carried	
Kingston	Stratford

Defeated, 23	
Chatham	Hastings
Port William	Simcoe
Port Arthur	Albion
Markham	Mimico
Dundas	Listowel
Dunbarton	Flinch
Hagersville	Waterloo Township
Windsor	Watford
Brant Township	Uxbridge
Elgin	Cayuga
Medora Township	Point Edward
Rainham Township	

## DRYS WIN IN THIRTEEN TWENTY LICENSES GO

### Owen Sound Retains Local Option, But Other Big Towns Vote Wet—Three-Fifths Clause Saves the Liquor Party in Eleven Municipalities.

Slightly more than 20 licenses will be cut off as a result of the voting on local option bylaws throughout Ontario yesterday. Bylaws were carried over the three-fifths requirement in thirteen towns, villages and townships; while in eleven municipalities a majority was recorded, but the bylaws failed to get the necessary three-fifths. In 23 municipalities the bylaws failed of even a straight majority. In all the cities where the reform was voted on it was defeated. Chatham, Fort William and Port Arthur were the most conspicuous of these, and they all defeated the measure, the latter two were unsuccessful in securing a repeal in Owen Sound.

The liquor party were also unsuccessful in securing repeal in 13 other municipalities, the figures are not available to show in how many places the three-fifths requirement prevents a return to license.

On the whole the local optionists have no reason to complain, since they held what they had and gained a few more. Kingston voted on a bylaw to reduce the number of licenses from 25 to 15. This was carried.

At Local Option Headquarters, Ontario Alliance workers were somewhat disappointed at the results of the battle between the dries and the wets. They had discounted the victories for their side in advance so far as the repeal contests, and were not surprised when the fourteen of the total fifteen repeal contests heard from were received in their favor to remain dry. The alliance executive had the results announced in Room 411, Confederation Life Building. About 40 representative local optionists were present, including several ladies.

At first the meeting was marked by evidences of grave anxiety, and a tendency on everyone's part to lapse into deep silence. This was over fear as to the outcome in Owen Sound, and the disquieting rumors of the outlook for the liquor party.

Continued on Page 2, Column 2.

## MANY VICTORIES FOR PUBLIC POWER

### Hydro-Electric Bylaws Win Out By Big Majorities Wherever Submitted—Hopewell Aga'n Mayor of Ottawa—Hamilton and Berlin Bylaws Carry.

Two outstanding features marked the municipal contests throughout the Province of Ontario, the overwhelming sentiment in favor of the hydro-electric system and the setback given to local option, which failed in 23 municipalities of even a straight majority. The hydro-electric bylaws were carried wherever they were submitted, in many cases only from 2 to 10 votes against public power.

In Brantford the mayoralty contest was fought out on this issue, and resulted in the return of Charles H. Hartman by a majority of 258.

Among the other cities and large towns which voted for hydro-power were Kingston, Owen Sound, Goderich, Peterboro, Clinton and Prescott.

Mayor Hopewell is again chief magistrate of Ottawa by a good majority, and Mayor Lees still retains the confidence of the electors of Hamilton.

Charles M. H. Graham is mayor of London, and F. J. Hoar had an acclamation in Kingston.

The City of Berlin voted to spend \$130,000 for a number of civic improvements.

CITIES.	
Brantford.	
BRANTFORD, Jan. 1.—(Special.)—Charles H. Hartman was elected here.	
Continued on Page 3, Column 1.	

Vote on Bylaws and Questions.	
Hydro-Electric, \$2,200,000.	
WARD 1	For. Agt.
WARD 2	For. Agt.
WARD 3	For. Agt.
WARD 4	For. Agt.
WARD 5	For. Agt.
WARD 6	For. Agt.
WARD 7	For. Agt.
Totals	11,896 7280
Majority for 11,696	

Bloor St. Viaduct, \$1,783,333.	
WARD 1	For. Agt.
WARD 2	For. Agt.
WARD 3	For. Agt.
WARD 4	For. Agt.
WARD 5	For. Agt.
WARD 6	For. Agt.
WARD 7	For. Agt.
Totals	15,932 10,649
Majority for 15,932	

Danforth Care Line, \$139,488.	
WARD 1	For. Agt.
WARD 2	For. Agt.
WARD 3	For. Agt.
WARD 4	For. Agt.
WARD 5	For. Agt.
WARD 6	For. Agt.
WARD 7	For. Agt.
Totals	15,932 10,649
Majority for 15,932	


Teraville Street Tube.	
WARD 1	For. Agt.
WARD 2	For. Agt.
WARD 3	For. Agt.
WARD 4	For. Agt.
WARD 5	For. Agt.
WARD 6	For. Agt.
WARD 7	For. Agt.
Totals	15,932 10,649
Majority for 15,932	

Annexation of North Toronto.	
WARD 1	For. Agt.
WARD 2	For. Agt.
WARD 3	For. Agt.
WARD 4	For. Agt.
WARD 5	For. Agt.
WARD 6	For. Agt.
WARD 7	For. Agt.
Totals	9,489 8,312
Majority against 8,312	

Extra Street Lighting.	
WARD 1	For. Agt.
WARD 2	For. Agt.
WARD 3	For. Agt.
WARD 4	For. Agt.
WARD 5	For. Agt.
WARD 6	For. Agt.
WARD 7	For. Agt.
Totals	15,932 10,649
Majority for 15,932	

Extension of Term of Members.	
WARD 1	For. Agt.
WARD 2	For. Agt.
WARD 3	For. Agt.
WARD 4	For. Agt.
WARD 5	For. Agt.
WARD 6	For. Agt.
WARD 7	For. Agt.
Totals	15,932 10,649
Majority against 10,649	

Watermains as Local Improvements.	
WARD 1	For. Agt.
WARD 2	For. Agt.
WARD 3	For. Agt.
WARD 4	For. Agt.
WARD 5	For. Agt.
WARD 6	For. Agt.
WARD 7	For. Agt.
Totals	15,932 10,649
Majority for 15,932	



CONTROLLER-ELECT MCCARTHY.  
Who captured a seat on the board of control on his first attempt.