

would of course depend, first of all, upon the require ments of the Protestant Committee, and also upon the total amount which is to be voted for Education and THE PRINCIPAL: I presume, in the absence of express the proper share. language on that particular point, in the absence of

THE PRINCIPAL: The Parliament never relinquishes its powers, they would be working on the basis of the budget previously submitted, in which there would be a full disclosure of the altocations to be made? PROF. LOCKHART: If this is a proper interpretation

PROF. LOCKHART: Yes. May I ask a question? Have you reference there to the allocation for Education purposes made by departments other than the Department ofd Education? as that, you are up against a difficulty.

I am speaking of the specific re-THE PRINCIPAL: commendations in this Survey. Substance of the Report

has little to say about the present procedure in that YOu know that the Department of PROF. LOCKHART: Agriculture, the Department of Roads, etc. have in their expenses money for Education. I have taken it for granted that the Committee in their recommendations, mean that this Department should cease to be an Educational spending Department and that all Educational funds should be distributed to the Department of Education. I don't know if I am right there.

is part of the Protestant Educational system of the THE PRINCIPAL: I had the impression that the Report rogines. is not clear on that point.

THE PRINCIPAL: In the absence of all reference to

It does not say so. If they knew the DEAN BRITTAIN: