

Minister of Finance. When some of us asked that Winnipeg be helped with its \$1 million deficit, since Montreal is being helped with its \$125 million deficit, he said that the matters were not comparable, that in one case we were simply wiping out a debt while in the other case a request was being made for new money.

Let me try to put this in terms that I can understand. Supposing I were to lend \$10 to the Minister of Manpower and Immigration (Mr. MacEachen) and some time later he informed me that he could not repay it. Then I would say, "All right, Allan, forget it." But the Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce hears this and says, "You gave my friend Allan \$10, how about giving me \$10?" But I reply, "Oh, no, I didn't give the Minister of Manpower and Immigration \$10, I just wiped out a debt." In fact it is \$10 to one and \$10 to the other; it is just the same thing. This is the way Winnipeg feels about the situation. To be told that it cannot be assisted with its debt because this is new money whereas in the case of Montreal it is a matter of wiping out the debt, does not make any more sense than my simple illustration.

Mr. Speaker, this is one reason why I do not like clause 7(1) in this bill. It carries forward the idea that Montreal is not being given the money, it is just having a debt cancelled. It is on this basis of a bill being put through Parliament to help Montreal that Winnipeg is told it cannot receive the assistance it feels is due to my city.

Mr. Speaker, I hope that the interjection just made by my charming friend the Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce to the effect that a decision is about due means that it will be a favourable decision because I feel that the situation in both cities is on all fours and I think if we are going to help out with the huge deficit in the one case we should help out with the small deficit in the other case, both of them having been incurred with respect to great national events in our centennial year.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Béchard):** Order, please. Is the House ready for the question. All those in favour will please say yea.

**Some hon. Members:** Yea.

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Béchard):** Those opposed will please say nay.

**Some hon. Members:** Nay.

### Division

**The Acting Speaker (Mr. Béchard):** In my opinion the nays have it.

*And more than five members having risen:*

The House divided on the motion (Mr. Burton) which was negatived on the following division:

• (4:50 p.m.)

### YEAS

#### Messrs:

Alexander	MacEwan
Baldwin	MacInnis (Mrs.)
Barnett	MacLean
Bell	Macquarrie
Benjamin	MacRae
Brewin	McCutcheon
Broadbent	McGrath
Burton	McIntosh
Coates	McKinley
Comeau	Marshall
Crouse	Mather
Diefenbaker	Monteith
Douglas (Nanaimo- Cowichan-The Islands)	Muir (Cape Breton- The Sydneys)
Flemming	Nesbitt
Gilbert	Nowlan
Gleave	Paproski
Grills	Peddle
Gundlock	Peters
Hales	Rose
Harding	Saltzman
Harkness	Skoberg
Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre)	Southam
Lewis	Thomas (Moncton)
Lundrigan	Thomson (Battleford- Kindersley)
MacDonald (Egmont)	Winch—50.

### NAYS

#### Messrs:

Badanai	Hopkins
Barrett	Howard (Okanagan Boundary)
Basford	Jamieson
Beaudoin	Kaplan
Béchard	Laing
Blouin	Lang
Breau	Langlois
Cadieux	Laniel
Caouette	Laprise
Clermont	Legault
Cobbe	Lessard (LaSalle)
Corbin	Lessard
Crossman	(Lac-Saint-Jean)
Dionne	MacEachen
Drury	MacGuigan
Duquet	McBride
Forest	McIlraith
Forget	Mahoney
Francis	Major
Gendron	Marchand (Langelier)
Godin	Marchand (Kamloops- Cariboo)
Gray	Matte
Greene	Munro
Guay	Noël
(St. Boniface)	O'Connell
Guay (Lévis)	
Guilbault	
Haidasz	
Hogarth	