

to determine whether the Bill is comprehensive enough to cover all possible contingencies.

Probably the best method of determining this would be to wait until after the Act is in operation for a year or two.

Respectfully submitted.

Yours truly,

(Sgd.) Mr. McLEOD,
Engineer in charge of Railways, etc.

Mr. ARCHAMBAULT, K.C.—I have nothing to add to these remarks, which were the statements of the city's expert on railways. I do not know the practice of the Committee but perhaps this document should be printed.

Senator BEIQUÉ.—It will have to be supplemented by a signed document.

Mr. ARCHAMBAULT, K.C.—Yes.

Senator BEIQUÉ.—This gentleman makes suggestions to the Law Department in this document. I move that this document be printed.

Senator YOUNG (Chairman).—It is a report from one engineer to another, and he refers to the Law Department. He means the Law Department of Montreal.

Mr. ARCHAMBAULT, K.C.—Yes.

Senator YOUNG (Chairman).—The document should be reworded and sent to the Committee.

Senator BEIQUÉ.—The form of wording does not matter.

Senator POWER.—It will go on record as a matter of course as a part of Mr. Archambault's statement, and will be printed in the record.

Mr. ARMSTRONG, M.P. (Chairman).—We understand the city council of Montreal endorse this letter.

Mr. ARCHAMBAULT, K.C.—It was before the city council first. It was sent by Mr. McLeod to Mr. Janin, and Mr. Janin reported, and sent all the papers to the Law Department of the city. I did not expect to be here. I had some business before the Supreme Court yesterday, and I received an intimation to be here, and I received those documents this morning.

Senator YOUNG (Chairman).—Mr. Frank Hawkins, secretary of the Canadian Lumbermen's Association is here and wishes to announce his desire to be heard at a future date. When will you be ready, Mr. Hawkins?

Mr. HAWKINS.—I cannot say at present. I will give an answer on Tuesday.

Mr. ARMSTRONG, M.P. (Chairman).—You would not be able to present your views on Tuesday?

Mr. HAWKINS.—I could announce then when I would be ready.

Mr. FRED. COOK.—I said at the last meeting that we expected to have counsel here, but in view of the fact that the committee made a definite appointment to hear the telegraphers this morning, I informed Mr. Lighthall, the honorary secretary of the union, that it would not be necessary to be here to-day. In connection with the document submitted by Mr. Archambault, I think I should explain that I am interested. Some weeks ago our union, fortified by a strong delegation from the cities of Toronto and Montreal, waited upon the Minister of Railways, and we had the pleasure also at that gathering of having present with us Mr. Price, and Mr. Drayton, the Chief of the Railway Commission, so that these gentlemen might know what the municipalities were asking for. Some of our suggested amendments have been embodied in the Bill. I think I can say, speaking generally, that the municipalities are fairly well pleased with the Bill as it is, but you will quite understand that circumstances alter cases. There may be certain conditions in different parts of the country which are covered by a general law. When the Bill was printed it was not quite possible for me to obtain