

the railways with regard to giving up trains, moving the stations, etc. I think we should keep to the bill but if you allow other questions I think I also have the right to ask the representatives of the Canadian Pacific some questions concerning Quebec, because at the present time we are discussing Hull and I have some questions to ask about the city of Quebec.

The CHAIRMAN: Very well, now, Mr. Beaulé.

Mr. CARON: Hull is closely connected to Ottawa; it is not the same thing as the city of Quebec.

(Text)

Mr. BARNETT: Mr. Chairman, if I may say so, I think the question which I would like to have clarified has a direct relation not only to what I was asking earlier but to a question that came from the member from Hull and from others. I have not objected to this line of questioning. What I would like to have clarified, in view of the remarks made earlier by the railway representatives in respect to the proposed relocation of the station, is the responsibilities of the Ottawa Terminal Railway Company in relation to transportation from the station. Is this to be part of the responsibility of the company? Will transportation facilities directly to any point be operated by the Terminal Railway Company? As I understand it, it is directly related to the provisions of Clause 9 as set out. Will the C.N.R. run a direct service from the station to the Chateau Laurier? In other words, is it the responsibility of the proposed railway company, or do they anticipate establishing non-rail facilities for quick transportation of passengers from the train to any other point?

The CHAIRMAN: Do you not think that this comes later in the bill under Clause 10(g)?

Mr. BARNETT: I realize that, but it seemed to me it could be dealt with perhaps while we are still on Clause 1.

The CHAIRMAN: If you get into the question of the transportation which is dealt with under Clause 10(g), you will open up a whole new field. I would like to dispose of the first question which is before us now, dealing with the relocation of the station.

Mr. ROCK: Have you ruled on that point of order which was brought before us? I believe that we should discuss all the merits of this bill in general, and therefore I think Mr. Caron is in order in discussing parts of it directly or indirectly. I do not think we had a ruling from you.

The CHAIRMAN: I told Mr. Caron that as long as he limited his questions to the railway transportation which exists now from Hull to the station in Ottawa, he is in order.

Mr. CARON: And which may exist in the future. They are asking to cut off freight out of Montreal.

The CHAIRMAN: Order, please.

Mr. ROCK: I was not finished with you yet, Mr. Chairman. I would also like to know who is next in the line of speakers.

The CHAIRMAN: If the points of order are exhausted, you are the next one.

Mr. HAHN: May I ask a quick question on a point of order? Are we going to go through the agreement or the memorandum in the bill section by section, or, while we are on Clause 1, is this the time to ask questions that arise from it?

The CHAIRMAN: We will go through the agreement clause by clause.

Mr. COWAN: Will the two lawyers be present while we are going through it clause by clause? Will they be here to answer questions?

The CHAIRMAN: Yes.