Dominion Medical Monthly

And Ontario Medical Journal

EDITED BY

R. J. Graham Chambers. Medicine :

medicine: Graham Chambers, R. J. Dwyer, Goldwin Howland, Geo. W. Ross, Wm. D. Young.
Surgery: Walter McKeown, Herbert A Bruce, W. J. O. Malloch, Wallace A. Scott, George Ewart Wilson.

Obstetrics : Arthur C. Hendrick

Pathology and Public Health : John

A. Amyot, Chas. J. C. O. Hastings, O. R. Mabee, Geo. Nasmyth.
Physiologic Therapeutics: J. Harvey Todd.

Psychiatry : Ernest Jones. W. C. Herr man

Ophthalmology: D. N. Maclennan, W. H. Lowry.

Rhinology, Laryngology and Otol-ogy: Geoffrey Boyd, Gilbert Royce.

Gynecology: F. W. Marlow. W. B. Hendry

Genito Urinary Surgery: Richardson, W. Warner Jones. TR

Anesthetics: Samuel Johnston.

GEORGE ELLIOTT. MANAGING EDITOR.

Address Published on the 20th of each month for the succeeding month. All communications and make all Cheques, Post Office Orders and Postal Notes payable to the Publisher, GEORGE ELLIOTT, 203 Beverley Street, Toronto, Canada.

VOL. XXXIX.

TORONTO, SEPTEMBER, 1912.

No. 3

COMMENT FROM MONTH TO MONTH.

Osteopathy was a subject dealt with in a comprehensive manner by Dr. Bruce, President of the Ontario Medical Association, in his address which we published in full in our July issue. It is quite true to assert that his remarks concerning osteopaths and osteopathy in Ontario will be endorsed by the medical fraternity.

Embodying the views of the medical profession as they do, they should command respect from the Legislature and should carry great weight with that body.

The representatives of the people put the Ontario Medical Act upon the statute books in the interests of the public, but failed to define the practice of medicine. This error will be sure to be remedied when the subject comes before the Legislature for final adjustment.

At the last session, Dr. Jamieson introduced a bill which apparently has been misunderstood by many members of the medical profession, and as it was being promoted by the Ontario Medical Council, that body came in for criticism, as it was believed they wished to license the osteopaths already practising irregularly and contrary to the law of the province.