rs, hope is not. evolution, when e weakness of the al blow, their da ften engenders indifference to he as characterized ne to 1959, extends ron o ask themselves r's are sometimes d of themselves . of v seems to justify d, of individual the going through its ea. Qu

ent through the r a long time the ity xiety and despair. lin otally ignorant of SW use be in prison one in prison again, ne and working of the the and forth in a 11 1 son, and meaning Justice, Law and erse est.

Du h, it was not easy cating themselves esis of . How could one crushed and silent soci oppressed knew Dest on into revolt. mous silence, a Vas emain shut up in me here n as possible and orea e atmosphere of hness in which vith ed he responsibility, st of working for diat ity

the of struggle during the cois had become ate, had no resiliency

he through many avin becois no longer 1, 1 lce r future, to what e old days. The asi --and they didn't fo in as the american dan ttering in front of bourgeois; and, a in front of the lef 10 d him their votes van And yet, a few often assembled nen f capital and to ator the oiters. They had done significant Juart ding financiers. They figh fend the interests n in the woods, had S. T d even mobilized nize resistance to en t n men. ey h

red believe

ey applauding the s and vulgarities of the ouds yor of Montreal '50 :ominast circus where if

e an g to life, one had I compre's inner self to as theks of teh Gaspe, Abit eary as the faces itreal cold as the winter

whared to believe. In when of this country goes. How many sacrifices and how much willpower, how many painful years to reach the point where there is nothing left in you of that childhood and adolescence, nothing left of...the nigger, of...the man who was born defeated. And in spite of everything, some part of it always remains, not only in your memory but in your flesh and bones.

In the account that follows, I judge not my parents but society. I describe the life of niggers that we led as I live it. And at first glance it might seem that I am judging men. But that would be a false impression. I have never judged those of my class. But neither have I ever been complaisant toward them. I refuse to pity them, the way one refuses to humiliate someone. I am not the boss of a French-Canadian manufacturing concern!

Pity is a crime against man. Man has a right to the truth, even if it is hard as granite. For a human world can only be built, develop, and endure on a foundation of truth.

Those who died still live

They say that one must love the living and forget the dead. But I love my dead for father who gave me life and with it gave me the visceral need to change our inhuman society. I learned more from this dead man, from his life and the life of his family and friends, than from all the theoreticians of socialism.

Right now I am talking about my father and my class as I see them *today*. I did not always think of them in this way. If as a child I was unhappy but integrated with my milieu, as an adolescent I was in continual revolt against my class and also against the bourgeoisie, the entire society and its mythology: God, religion, Evil, Good, etc. But as will be seen later, I was struggling in ignorance and more than once came close to being swallowed up by the things I hated, just as my father had been defeated by his wife's insecurity-- an insecurity which nevertheless inspired in him a revolt sympathetic to communism.

His revolt was gradually drained of its force and meaning and buried in the depths of my parents' life together, a life that steadily shrank into a pitiful existence. But my revolt, confused and demanding, full of love for mankind, and rage against injustice, steadily grew.

I think there is no dream of mankind that cannot be realized, providing it is pursued on earth (not on an imaginary planet or in a heaven inhabited by angels). I believe that man possesses the capacity to make an ever more human world and that there are no limits to the progress of humanity. I believe neither in the Apocalypse nor in the eternal domination of the Barbarians. I believe that revolution is possible..and at the present stage of humanity, logically necessary.

Indeed, the historical development (material and human) of the "productive forces" has now reached such a level that it should enable all men to enjoy a very high standard of living. The scientific foundation of this ideal lies in the present technological revolution, in man's 'utilization' of space, air, nuclear energy, etc., and in the development of communication techniques, the world market and so forth on a planetary scale. But there are two principal obstacles to the realization of this ideal.

The first is the concentration of capital, knowledge, technique, and power in the hands of the international bourgeoisie (chiefly American, Soviet, and European).

The second is the absence of a multinational revolutionary organization capable of conducting a struggle for liberation under the conditions of existence in the society of the last third of the twentieth century-not the first half of the nineteenth century! It is not unusual to meet revolutionaries who think only about overthrowing the bourgeois State, as if that act had some magic power and could spontaneously create overnight the practical conditions for the liberation of individuals and collectivities from all their present alienations, and for a new movement toward a greater measure of freedom for each and all.

If our ideal is really to see to it that, by a practical actions called a revolution, every exploited man, every humiliated man, every frustrated man is placed as soon as possible in a position to "assert himself as an individual," we must, as revolutionaries and conscious beings, think now about a great deal more than merely overthrowing a bourgeois state. And we must concern ourselves with more than just problems of military strategy and tactics.

We must propose to the workers, farmers, white-collar workers, students, and young people of today a new model of human society, and we must being to lay its foundations right now, with them, within the revolutionary movement itself, which must not only put them inpower, but at the same time fit them to build this new society for the advent of which they will have (or have already) risked their lives a thousand times.

It is sometimes said that nothing is more difficult than to make people think about what they must do in order to be consistent with their principles and, first of all, with themselves. The truth of that statement can be seen in even the most passionate, generous, and disinterested revolutionaries. That is why it sometimes happens that they have no very clear idea of the kind of society they want in place of the one they are working with all their energy to destroy.

Their "negligence" in tlis respect entails enormous risks. Among other things, we might mention that for certain persons, without their even realizing it completely, action becomes an absolute, a mystique that is sufficient unto itself. "Possessed" by this mystique, they gradually agree to perform the most gratuitous acts-providing they have the consolation or justification of paying for them with their lives.

I think I demonstrate in this essay that the FLQ is not a terrorist movement whose action is in the service of blind passions. We know rather precisely

what we want. In the following pages I shall describe in detail the content of what we call "our ideal". You will easily see that we have no predilection of adventurism, nihilism, or martydom (even if we happen to have made mistakes and even if we should happen to make more).

If some day, like so many revolutionaries before us, we die for this human ideal that has become our reason for living, it will not be as martyrs or heroes but as simple soldiers in the daily and universal struggle of the peasants, workers, students, and young people. We shall die the way one dies in war--the victims of enemy weapons or of a stupid accident. We shall be neither the first nor the last, neither the best nor the worst. Men like you.

Those who are fascists

In the 20th centruy, fascism has been the permanent temptation of the French-Canadian petty bourgeoisie of Quebec. In the climate of social ferment that is shaking Quebec today, that fact cannot but arouse certain anxieties, even if an important faction of the new petty bourgeoisie calls itself "socialist" and even if the young intellectuals of Quebec, unlike those of Greenwich Village in New York, do not draw swastikas on the walls and write "Bomb Hanoi Now!" all over the objective, that they do not all defend the same interests.

I notice that the advocates of a States-General attack mainly the present political structures and do not really call into question the most fundamental structures, the economic ones. To be sure, their objective seems to be the "economic independence of Quebec," since Monsieur Marchand himself, chairman of the council for economic expansion, affirms that it is "impossible for Quebec to become economically independent without conquering political independence as a preliminary". I underline the word preliminary because that is precisely where the fascist temptation lies: first achieve unanimity on this "preliminary", and after that we'll see. See what, after that? The factories turned over to the workers, or the unions turned into corporations?

I believe there is only one way to escape the fascist temptation: to organize the majority--that is, the workers, farmers, white-collar workers, progressive intellectuals, students, young people and clear-thinking petty bourgeois--into a revolutionary force that is openly and radically anti-capitalist, anti-imperialist and anti-colonialist. It is a question of siding with 90 percent of the population against the ten percent who want to seize the opportunity offered them today to increase their domination over the "ignorant" and by so doing augment the profits and privileges associated with that domination.

I admit that the Sart Marchands of Quebec do not appear, at fist glance, to be fascists. But it will not take long for them to become fascists if Ottawa persists in its present attitude. And since Quebec is a rich country, Washington might manufacture itself a little Tshombe, a little Ky or a little Balaguer to prevent our country from "toppling" into the enemy camp. The Fascists have a very good press in Washington, notwithstanding the monumental hypocrisy of the kings of the White House.

Those who must escape

Only a long experience of revolutionary struggle, requiring an ever higher level of consciousness and responsibility, can enable the oppressed and humiliated masses to escape fascism, to escape the magic of a fanatical nationalism manufactured to serve the needs of a minority of individuals who are seeking a greater measure of economic and political power.

Those who now speak to the masses, taking care not to tell them the whole truth and, above all, preaching non-violence, electoralism, etc., are imposters who are preparing the way not for revolution but for counter-revolution.."

"Is it possible that fascism will one day sweep Quebec?" you ask. Yes, it is possible, even after the "quiet revolution". For the "quiet revolution" has also awakened *that*...

If the conscious workers, the clear-thinking petty bourgeois, the students and the young people do not do more to translate their progressive ideas and political convictions into practical action, it is entirely possible--alas!-- that Quebec may become not another Vietnam but another Portugal.

Certain facts already raise very disturbing questions: the lightning popularity of Caouette, Gregoire and Marcoux in 1962; the renaissance of Adrien Arcand's party; the "vogue" of the magazine Aujourd'hui Quebec in clerical circles and institutions controlled by the clergy (schools, colleges, convents); the fusion of the separatists of the Regroupement national with the national credistites of the extreme Right: the presence of notorious fascists in the very ranks of the RIN; the recent transformation of the order of Jacques-Cartier into two other secret societies with clearly fascists tendencies: the victory of National Union and the "Duplessist renaissance"; finally, the plea for a one-party system made by the mayor of Montreal, Jean Drapeau, shortly before the last municipal elections. Jean Drapeau and Daniel Johnson (together with Pierre Laporte) are, in my opinion, the most cunning of the leaders of the Right. Jean Drapeau is perhaps the one who enjoys the broadest financial support at present. Will he someday become our Fuhrer?

me his country in a and nity. A language ther n their hearts, in t and fraternity lay nd t not have. Yet in ar a ger. emed to be telling ien e bent on living, /ron Que is in most of the

who

tem. a "kichat can you do to n will exit, the hell of that is to demolish you becomman? And when, stare, with your back nuchort, are you in any put? a macw much energy it

onging more than

a made much energy it verseines", as the saying

I often feel uneasy watching the evolution of the international revolutionary movement. Evolution toward what, exactly?

Those who are not sure

We desire, we say, the total liberation of man, and we risk our lives for it every day...in Guatemala, in Vietnam, in the Congo, in Angola, in the United States itself, and in Quebec. But despite what some people call our "heroism", do we really know in detail what society we want to build? Do we know what kind of men we want to create? And the men whom we consider it our duty to "awaken" and organize--do we even know what they are? Do we know what the reality around us is made of? What if, after all, too often we were merely agitators...?

place.

In 1965 we saw with what enthusiasm a thousand students of the University of Montreal burned an issue of the "socialist" *Quartier Latin*, and with what alacrity Judge Laganiere congratulated them on this courageous and christian gesture!

The presence of fascist elements within the separatist movement is also very disturbing, for we all know that fascism is the art of transforming, sublimating and then crushing popular discontent in the name of a false "national renaissance" which is only the renaissance of the most frustrated elements of the petty bourgeoisie, that is, of a tiny minority.

Quebecois separatism in itself is an excellent thing, and I support it one hundred percent. But that does not mean that I close my eyes.

And I am not unaware of the fact that the Quebecois separatists do not all pursue the same

The present situation is somewhat reminiscent of the one that enabled Houde and Duplessis to become the puppet rulers of Quebec immediately after the second world war.

One thing is certain: agitation on the Right has increased in intensity over the last two years. And this agitation clearly shows that the established Order is now *afraid*. It is not yet in panic, but that is not far off.

----SEVEN-----