The Great Commission as Originally Intended and Subsequently Interpreted.

Paper read before the Carleton, Victoria and Madawaska Quarterly Meeting by Rev. E. P. Calder.

"Go ye into all the world and preach the gospel to every creature. He that believeth and is baptized shall be saved, but he that believeth not shall be dammed. Mark 16: 15-16.

When from the Mount of Olives, with hands outspread in loving benediction, the Christ of God started for the Throne. He gave His disciples this warrant for planting His Church and telling the world of Him. This gosp which they were to preach had been taught them in thr

ways. By the Saviour's plain exposition and fulfilment of Old Testament Scriptures.

His Parables and Miracles illustrating the letter and spirit of the Kingdom.

1. The revelations which had come to them as eve witnesses of His death and resurrection

The Commission is so definite and plain, that it would seem impossible for it to be misunderstood, yet in centuries of preaching which have passed under the New Dispensation, much of it has come very far short of being the gospel. Doubtless, during these centuries, the simplest, purest gospel was preached by the Apostles. They were in most instances, men of no scholarly pre as, who being intimately acquainted with Jesus learned His doctrines and caught His spirit. They came searced his doctrines and caught his spirit. Iney came before the people with heart and face glowing with Pentecostal firs. They had not only been hearers, but eye-witnesses of the truth. They felt the supreme importance and Divine authority of the message and hence delivered it with convincing and arousing force. The real strength of preaching is the preacher's inward realization of the truth. This was true of Jesus and also of His disciples. And this largely accounts for the great results of the early preaching. The truth was sounded in mens' ears with the vehemence of active inspiration. It was brought before mens' eyes in startling mira les The field was new. Men and women had not so thorough ly lea ned as they now have to run from church to church

seeking something to amuse them. The gospel was new in form at least and men and women liste ed for novelt sake antil the burning truths caught and held them with grip that could not be broken. During the dark ages when Rome ruled, and pursued her usual policy of smothering the truth with superstition and monkish falsehood, the great commission was almost

forgotten but as its great Author aforetime broke the tomb and came forth triumphant, in the Reformation truth reappeared in resurrection power and glory The progress of the ages, has developed Three Principal

Interpretations of the great Commission. I. The Calvinistic. This closely followed the great

events of the Reformation and characterized the preach ing and writings of the Fathers. It may be said to contain five important elements.

I. The Sovereignity of God. This includes all the doctrines of Election, Predestination and Grace. of these teachings are now being regarded as so extreme that steps are being taken to amend them. An example of this is found in the attitude of Dr. Hillis towards the Westminister catechism.

These doctrines may be extreme in some points, but they must ever form the groundwork of all true theology. The strength of the Old Teaching was that its central figure was God. The weakness of the New is that the central figure is man. The result is that as the new gains ground we hear less of the purely spiritual side of religion. Having voted hell and the devil out of theology, the next step must necessarily be to vote ou God. Man in his wickedness is now the only recognized devil,-man in his goodness will soon be the only recog nized God. The old teaching nourished a definite and steadfast Christianity which neither feared persecution nor compromised with evil. The martyrs were of this type. The men of the old Puritanic faith, believing in the Divine Purpose and then personal relation to the Divine Plan, were men who neither feared the battle nor the stake, but accepted the experiences of life like Him who said : " All things written of me must be fulfilled.'

These men who set God af the head of their theology, as Originator and Ruler of all things, naturally held as a second element in their faith ;

2. The Direct Inspiration of the Bible. The Puritan was an intense Bible student, and he not only studied the book but believed it. And his implicit faith in the Bible rested on his belief in Divine Sovereignty. To hin vlewing all things in this light, the seemingly unreason able things of the Bible were perfectly reasonable. God was Sovereign, why should He not stay the sun and moon in their course to facilitate Joshua's victory ? God Sovereign, why could He not prepare a fish to swallow the disobedient prophet, or send His angel to shut the Hons' mouths for Dauiel's sake. As long as I believe in the purity of the spring, I will hardly take the trouble to the party of the spring, I will hardly take the trouble to analyze the water, so the Paritan believing in God as the fountain of all truth accepted without question His revelation. Are we any wiser in our higher criticism ? We try to explain away the difficulties. We come to

· · ·

cases where "Natural Laws" will not apply, and we make our escape by blaming it as a "mistake of the translator, or by laying it to the ignorance of the Bible author who " told the truth as far as he knew," but who was of course " a little astray in the light of modern research,"-and go on our way rejoicing,-half orthodox and half infidel, doctrinal Lavdicians, " neither cold nor hot.'

Would it not be better, as the Father did, to fall back upon the doctrine of Divine Sovereignty and simply believe God's Word? I must move among mysteries in nature. They will not explain themselves. And yet since God said to my ancestor Adam, "Have thou dominion," nature is a kingdom which by Divine command is placed under my feet as a man.

Yet while I submit to mysteries in the kingdom beneath me, shall I approach with proud aunoyance, the realm of Revelation which is above me, since it is spiritual and I am carna', and demand that its mysteries be explained, before I will accept them, that God must further reveal Himself before I will believe Him? An unlearned faith (using the word in the human sense) is better than a learned infidelity. As Mrs. Browning puts 11

" A pagan kissing for a step of Pan, A wild goat's footprint on the sandy.loam, Exgeeds our modern thinker, who turns back The strata, granite limestone, coal and clay, Concluding coldly with—Here's Law, where's God."

Better be a fool on the way to Heaven, than a philoso pher on the way to Hell, because godly foolishness is

viser than devilish wisdom 3. The third element in the Old Teaching was the doctrine of Substitutionary Atonement. The Fathers taught that the claims of Divine Law and Justice upon Fathers man were met in the person and work of Jesus, atonement, which is really at-one-ment, according to this interpretation means three things

The oneness of Jesus with the sinner in His sacri-(8.) Jesus in taking our nature came under the domin fice ion of the law of sin and death The law of sin made Him subject to besetments and temptations. The law of death brought Him under the penalty. As man's representative He must take man's nature with all its accompanying experiences. (Isa. 5.3.)

The oneness of the Believer with the Riser Lord Since Jesus took my nature, my sin was laid upon Him in His death. Since by His spirit in regeneration His risen life has been born in my soul. His righteous ness is laid to my credit in satisfying the law. for me in His sacrifice under the law. The law took Him in my name. He stands for me in His resurrection work hence His perfect character. His victory over sin and death, and His eternal right in the klugdom are all in my name for I am one with him.

.) Oneness of the Believer with God through Christ According to the old doctrine, Jesus in his humanity is one with the sinner. Jesus in his resur rection work is one with his people-since they have his life and are members of his person-standing in the same relation to him as branches to the vine, and since Jesus in the Trinity is one with the father, all the redeemed household are one with God in him. (John 15-17.)

This view of the atonement presents man as a hopeles sinner with no native good or resources of help within In his salvation he is moved by the Holy himself. Spirit, to repent of his sins and accept the m ans of grace which have been predestinated to meet his needs in the infinite fore-knowledge of God-and this acceptance brings him into the possession of eternal life puts him in vital and eternal union with God through Jesus Christ.

This view of the atonement necessitates a belief in the fourth element of the old creed-

4. The Eternal Perseverance of the Saints. Its reason ing is that the new birth brings the soul into the poss sion of eternal life, which, since it joins the saint with God through Christ, not only insures his eternal salva tion but continues the motive power of godly conduct. The last element in tae old teaching is the belief in-

Eternal Rewards and Punishments. It teaches that character is determined by its relation to the atone ment, hence those who believe in Jesus, being in vital union with him, must dwell eternally in his presence and fellowship, while those who reject him must live in endless separation from him and reap the consequences personal sin.

This interpretation of the great commission, character ized the preaching of the Fathers and forms the groundwork of the more orthodox beliefs to-day. Compared with the Book of Romans, it is essentially the doctrine preached by the apostles and accepted by the early church.

II. The second interpretation of the great comm known as the Armenian. Briefly reviewed it differs from the Calvinistic in two points. I. The Doctrine of Sovereignty. 2. Its view of the Atonement. In dealing with the doctrine of sovereignty this theology as we understand it, admits the foreknowledge of God, but denies predestination of human affairs. This interpreta-tion is open to one serious objection, in that it presents God as acting unworthy of himself.

To admit that God is infinite in wisdom is to establish his foreknowledge of all things. To claim that God foreknew and did not predestinate, is to charge God with not acting up to his intelligence. A man wh does not do as well as he knows is acting unworthy of himself, so if God foreknew and did not forewill all things for his own glory, we must say in all reverence that he has violated his own character. Such a teaching is blasphemy, since God " cannot deny himself."

3. A misunderst anding of the sovereignty of God must necessarily mar the doctrine of the atonement. The oast of Armenianism that it has extended the atonement boas of Armenianism that it has extended the atohement beyond the teaching of Calvinism is a false claim. True it has enlarged the doctrine of human free agency, but in so doing it has belittled the saving power of Christ and the work of the Holy Spirit. The broadest possible view of the atonement is that it saves eternally all who receive it. When we teach salvation by subsequent human effort we rob the atonement of its glory and lay the real saving power upon the man himself.

view of the atonement is that it saves eternally all who receive it. When we teach salvation by subsequent human effort we rob the atonement of its glory and lay the real saving power upon the man himself. Let me reverently say that I do not believe Jesus Christ were commissioned men to go and preach salvation on the instalment plan-or ask men to get into the gospel lifeboat on condition that they must either work their passage or be thrown overboard-he did not so send them but told them to "go and preach the gospel," and that is not the gospel, because it lays salvation upon the creature instead of upon the Christ. Human free agency is exercised in using or rejecting the means of grace-beyond that it does not exist. In the spiritual as in she natural realm man acts in constant relation to a law. He can obey and prosper or disobey and suffer. An individual intelligence must have the right of choice and a corresponding responsibility, but the effects of that choice are predestinated by the great and changeless law of the physical and spiritual universe. The over-setimation of man's part in the work of salvation has led to a third interpretation of the great commission, now extensively proclame. Should there "thinkers" of the age have produced a system of theolog, differing swidely from the gospel, as a Chinese lantern differs from the sum in the firmament. Should there "thinkers" be called upon to formulate a great commission it would read something like this, " Go and tell men that their and y from the grapmanus and fools, that the Bible is only a history of the rambling, blund ring way in which the human race has greadually stumbled into the light, and that the history of the sge will be the Bible of the cust."

<text><text>

reveals: ..., The Extent of the Commission. "All the world." So we are to tell the story of the cross wherever man is found. This word of Jesus obligates us to send to all lands the good news of salvation through the blood.

10-

rope Chri Tell beat in t ever 2. not. mer only hell no p refu agai unb 3. True ize neco of in spir of J ject scio taril his j othe scrip com

entr

new this

Far love the it o yiel islan read Tell of p

O: land ial c inge At stud he mer that mad bui mon abs T that Scr age beli thei ther into hon the up j him day pear mid and prop San of read elev thei tory 8.W8 with used of rais and sins E The An

for is : abo a fe as l the opp gre I beli rev whe

Call L,ui