# \*F-104 PRACTICE BOMB

# Question No. 448-Mr. Hellyer:

1. Has a tender been let for a practice bomb for the F-104 and, if so, how many have been ordered, and what is the cost?

2. Is it possible for this practice bomb to be

# armed with a nuclear warhead?

### Mr. Harkness:

1. Yes; 54,626 at a cost of \$1,295,136.

2. No.

#### NATIONAL EMPLOYMENT SERVICE

### Question No. 452-Mr. Orlikow:

1. How many workers were found employment by the national employment service during the years 1959, 1960, and 1961?

2. How many of these were placed in casual or

temporary employment?

3. How many of these placed in temporary employment were later recalled by their former employers?

4. How many of those who were recalled by their former employers were re-engaged in order of

seniority?

5. How many of those who were recalled were drawn from lists supplied to the national employment service by (a) employers (b) trade unions federal-provincial farm services (d) (c) agencies?

6. What were the names of these agencies?

7. Is it the policy of the government that the national employment service be used to recall workers for former employers, giving these workers precedence over others with equal skills who are registered for employment?

### Answer by: Mr. Hales:

- 1. Number of workers found employment is as follows: 1959, 986,073; 1960, 958,300; 1961, 1,119,862.
- 2. Number placed in casual or temporary employment is as follows: 1959, 256,415; 1960, 249,613; 1961, 292,695.
- 3, 4, 5 and 6. This information is not available from national employment service records.
- 7. When employers specify the names of workers they wish to recall, the national employment service informs such workers about the availability of their former jobs; this is done without reference to other workers registered for employment.

### VOCATIONAL TRAINING

# Question No. 456-Mr. Berger:

1. How many unemployed persons have been enrolled in occupational training courses pursuant to the technical and occupational training agree-ment since March 31, 1962, and, of these (a) how many are men (b) how many are women?

2. How many of the unemployed persons enrolled in these courses are still undergoing training, and, of these (1) how many (a) men (b) women, have completed said courses, (2) how many (a) men (b) women, have failed to pass said courses?

3. How many (a) men (b) women, have obtained employment since completing said courses?

### Questions

## Answer by: Mr. Hales:

1. Unemployed persons enrolled in occupational training courses:

(a) .. 11,114

(b) .. 5,190

Total .. 16,334

2. Number still undergoing training:

(a) .. 2,230

(b) .. 1,913

Total .. 4,143

(1) Number who have completed courses:

(a) .. 6,275

(b) .. 2,097

Total .. 8,372

(2) This information is not available.

3. This information is not available.

\*CANADIAN DOLLAR—STATEMENT ON EXCHANGE VALUE

# Question No. 458-Mr. Pickersgill:

1. Did the Minister of Agriculture make a statement regarding the exchange value of the Canadian dollar at Vancouver, B.C., on June 8, 1962, and, if so, what was the precise wording of the statement?

2. Did the Minister of Agriculture receive any communication, direct or indirect, from the Prime Minister with reference to that statement and, if so, what was the nature of the communication?

3. Did the Minister of Agriculture receive any communication, direct or indirect, from the exminister of finance (Mr. Fleming) with reference to that statement and, if so, what was the nature of the communication?

#### Mr. Hamilton:

1. No. At a news conference in Vancouver on June 8 the Minister of Agriculture answered an oral question which made reference to the benefits of devaluation to the farmer, fisherman, lumberman, miner and workers in export manufacturing industries.

2. This question seems to ascertain what communications pass between ministers, which under our constitutional system are completely privileged.

3. Same answer as No. 2.

# \*CANADIAN DOLLAR-STATEMENT ON EXCHANGE VALUE

# Question No. 459-Mr. Pickersgill:

1. On what date did the Prime Minister hold the consultation with the ex-minister of finance on 'the weakness in the exchange market" referred to by the Prime Minister on October 1, 1962, and recorded at page 109 of Hansard?

2. When that consultation took place, was the Prime Minister aware of a statement on the exchange value of the Canadian dollar made in Vancouver on June 8 by the Minister of Agri-

culture?

3. What was the text of the official statement on the exchange value of the dollar issued by the ex-minister of finance on June 10, 1962?