Emma Mathieu vs. David Léonard, Montreal, Oct. 5. Délia Mesnard dit Bonenfant vs. Napoléon Leroux, Montreal, June 26.

Mary Anne Mory vs. Joseph Fontaine, Montreal, Oct. 11.

Rosa Ellen Morse vs. David H. Cameron, Township of Barnston, Oct. 6.

Marie Anotil dit St. Jean vs. Pierre Castonguay, Jr., farmer, parish of St. Antonin, Sept. 17.

Marie Sarah Eugénie Taylor vs. James McKay, St. Polycarpe, Oct. 1.

Quebec Official Gazette, Oct. 27.

Judicial Abandonments.

Abraham Goyette, township of Barford, Oct. 13. Grignon & Levesque, roofers, Montreal, Oct. 15.

Curators Appointed.

Re Dame T. Bryson and J. G. Bryson, district of Ottawa.—Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator, Oct. 11.

Re George F. Chisholm, baker, Montreal.—S. C. Fatt, Montreal, curator, Oct. 17

Re Horace A. Gagné, trader, Fraserville.—H. A. Bedard, Quebec, curator, Oct. 17.

Re "Canada Cigar Box Factory."—W. A. Caldwell, Montreal, liquidator to partnership between Samuel Davis et al. and John Gerhardt, Oct. 8.

Re Gaspard Painchaud, Montreal.—Kent & Turcette, Montreal, curator, Oct. 17.

Re A. Renaud & Co., Montreal.—Thos. Darling, Montreal, Curator, Oct. 17.

Dividends.

Re Labissonière & Lanouette, Batiscan.—First dividend, payable Nov. 12, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator.

Re F. Quesnel, Montreal.—First dividend, payable Nov. 20, Kent & Turcotte, Montreal, joint curator,

Separation as to property.

Delphine Legault dit Deslauriers vs. Venant Théoret, Jr., Montreal, Oct. 15.

Marie Alphonsine Renaud vs. Joseph Forest alias Morin, Montreal, Oct. 16.

GENERAL NOTES.

IN NEWGATE. - A well-known member of the Chicago Bar, who visited London during the present summer, among the sights took in the famous Newgate prison, whence so many prisoners, in times past, went forth to die upon the scaffold. He expressed a wish to his English guide to go inside one of the cells and see how it looked. The Englishman said "Certainly." The Chicago lawyer had no sooner entered the cell than the Englishman quietly shut the door. locked it and walked away. The lawyer at first thought he would be liberated in a few minutes. He lighted a cigar and commenced smoking. But when half an hour had passed and no one came he called aloud for help and kicked the door as if he would kick it down, but no one heard his cries; if they did, they were not heeded. After more than an hour had passed, the keeper came and wanted to know what in the world the prisoner was kicking up such a row for. The lawyer was told that the rules of the prison were so strict that no matter how a person came to be locked up in a cell he could only be discharged upon a ticket of leave, which could only be obtained from the prison authorities. The ticket was soon obtained. The guide then told the lawyer if he had seen enough of their English sweat box he was entitled to his discharge, and that twelve men who had been confined in that cell had been hung for crimes against the State. The matter was finally settled to the satisfaction of all concerned by the lawyer, the keeper and the guide over a glass of half-and-half.—Chicago Legal News.

NEGLIGENCE IN TOBOGGANING. - On February 15, before Mr. Justice Manisty and a common jury, the case of Steel v. The International Tobogganing Company was heard. It was an action to recover damages for injuries sustained owing to the alleged negligence of the defendants. The plaintiff was a married woman, and it seemed that on July 26, she got into one of the defendants' toboggans at the Crystal Palace with two other female friends. None of the three knew that the car required steering, nor were they told of it by the defendants' servants. The result was that in the descent the car swerved from side to side, and the plaintiff, who was seated in the middle, was brought into violent contact with a lamppost, which was only a foot from the slide, receiving severe injuries to her head. The case for the defendants was that the accident arose from the negligent steering of the car; that one of their servants offered to steer, but the offer was refused; and that, although the lamppost was so close, there was ample room for the car to pass with safety, provided the passengers sat still and did not sway from side to side.—The learned judge left it to the jury to say whether the lamppost from its position was dangerous, and if it was, whether it was the sole cause of the accident. The jury found a verdict for the plaintiff, and assessed the damages at 130%. The plaintiff in her statement of claim had demanded 1901., but the learned judge said he would allow this to be amended, as, in his opinion, the verdict was most reasonable. Judgment accordingly.

L'AMOUR DE LA PRISON.—A Saumur vit un vieux mendiant, nommé Delanoue, que le Tribunal correctionnel a déjà condamné plusieurs fois pour mendicité.

Une dame X. avait l'habitude de lui donner chaque semaine quelques sous. Or, un soir, en rentrant chez elle après une promenade, elle s'aperçut que deux billets de banque, l'un de 100 fr., l'autre de 50 fr., qu'elle était certaine, disait-elle, d'avoir laissés dans un tiroir où elle mettait ordinairement l'argent qu'elle donnait à Delanoue, avaient disparu.

Delanoue fut aussitôt soupçonné. Malgré ses dénégations, des voisins dirent l'avoir vu entrer dans la maison, et il fut condamné à six mois de prison.

Quelque temps après, la dame X. retrouva les billets dans une petite boite. Elle se rappela alors parfaitement les circonstances dans lesquelles elle les avait mis là, et, désolée de l'oubli qu'elle avait commis, elle se hâta de prévenir la justice.

M. Peysonnié, procureur de la République, alla trouver Delanoue, pour l'inviter à faire appel; mais celui-ci s'y refusa, se trouvant bien en prison. Le procureur fut obligé de faire lui-même appel.

La Cour d'Angers vient d'infirmer le jugement de condamnation prononcé contre le vieux mendiant.