JAMES O'NEIL vs JOHN G. BOLDT et al.

dents in contravention to their contract, contrary to law and to the will of the petitioner, have taken possession of his work and materials brought on the spot where work was being done, and are trying to complete said work, to the detriment and great damage of the petition.

"So That on Sunday, the 4th day of January instant, they started to work on the said building, without the permission or the consent of your petitioner, and that the continuance of the said work on the alterations of said building, will cause great and irreparable injury to the petitioner.

"90 That the respondents are not the owners of the building in question, and have only a lease of the same for a period of a certain number of years, which the petitioner is unable to determine.

"100 That the respondents are executing said work in violation of their contract and in violation of the rights of the petitioner.

"110 That the respondents have carrying on their work on the said building continually during the whole day yesterday and during the forenoon of the present day, employing about ten laborers to the execution of their work, causing thereby irreparable damages to the petitioner and depriving him of his rights to be paid of the price of his contract.

"Therefore your petitioner prays your Lordship to issue an interlocutory injunction, restraining the respondents and their employees, until it is otherwise ordered by a final judgment of this Honourable Court, on the said writ of injunction, to cease and abstain from troubling the petitioner in the possession of his work above mentioned, to cease and abstain immediately from executing any work on the said building, and to do any building operation on the alterations of said one storey building, the peti-

235