The action was brought by one partner against another, to wind up the partner ship, which had been dissolved by agreement, and to restrain the defendant from compromising a debt. The defendant having appeared in the action, applied on motion before K having appeared in the action, applied on motion before Kekewich, J., for leave to compromise the debt in question, which was the principal asset. By the intended compromise it was proposed to form a limited company in Amsterdam, to take over the property of the debtors, consisting of certain sugar factories and coffee estates in Java, and to allot to the plaintiff and defend The plain[.] ant fully paid-up shares in the company in satisfaction of their debts. tiff objected to this compromise being carried out, but it appearing to Kekewich, J. to be for the benefit of both parties that it should be carried out, he made an order appointing the defendant receiver with liberty to compromise the debt in question as proposed. The Court of Appeal, however, decided that one partner has no implied power to bind his co-partner by accepting shares in a company (though they be full they be fully paid up) in satisfaction of a debt due to the firm; and that the Court has no invitation has no jurisdiction in an action to wind up a partnership to confer on a receiver Weikershem's any greater powers in this respect than a partner would have. Case, L.R., 8 Chy., 831, which is referred to in Lindley on Partnership (5th ed.) 141 was shown in the second state of the sec ed.), 141, was shown to be no authority for the general proposition that such power exists; because the Court there proceeded on the ground that the power existed in that are the existed in that case, because it was shown to be part of the ordinary course of the business of the firm, and there had been express knowledge and assent to the transaction on the part of the partners. It would appear, therefore, from the case that the statement in Lindley, for which Weikersheim's case is cited, is put rather too breadly rather too broadly.

Proceedings of Law Societies.

LAW SOCIETY OF UPPER CANADA.

MICHAELMAS TERM, 1889.

Resume of the proceedings of Convocation.

Convocation met.

Present—The Treasurer, and Messrs. Bruce, Foy, Hoskin, Irving, Macdo^{d'} l, Martin. Meredith, Murrow, Oct. 1991 gall, Martin, Meredith, Murray, Osler, and Shepley.

The petition of the Osgoode Legal and Literary Society, as to the opening of library at night, was received and and Literary Society. the library at night, was received and read.

Ordered that the petition stand till the Report of the Finance Committee the reference of the oth February 1992 the reference of the 9th February, 1889, and that the petition be referred to the Library Committee on the question in the state of the Library Committee on the questions involved other than financial points.

The Secretary reported the resignation (by letter, which was read) of an egraph operator, and that a term telegraph operator, and that a temporary appointment had been made of an operator provided by Mr. Duright operator provided by Mr. Dwight.

The Secretary reported that Miss M. Wynn had applied.

April 1, 1890.

Monday, November 18th.