

CNR and Air Canada

sally told me that this is working better. I thought perhaps the government and the parliamentary secretary would like to know there has been some reaction. For years farmers have argued that better use could be made of the boxcars. It has even seemed obvious to people living along the track that we should make better use of these boxcars. This system seems to be working better, and I commend those responsible for it.

I would like to talk for a few moments about the servo centre concept which has been suggested by the railroads. Some members of parliament presented briefs to the Canadian Pacific Railway hearings that were held on the prairies. Actually, this is something which both railroads are doing. It seems that the freight service on the Prairies, at least in Saskatchewan, has been downgraded as far as the railways are concerned. They are only interested in moving items that can be moved in bulk. Anything else is to be discarded at their earliest convenience.

May I call it five o'clock, Mr. Speaker.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel): Is the House agreed that we call it five o'clock?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

[Translation]

PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT MOTION

SUBJECT MATTER OF QUESTIONS TO BE DEBATED

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel): Order, please. It is my duty, pursuant to Standing Order 40, to inform the House that the questions to be raised tonight at the time of adjournment are as follows: the hon. member for Saint John-Lancaster (Mr. Bell)—Pollution—Reconsideration of levies on oil tanker shipments to provide contingency fund in case of spills; the hon. member for Lotbinière (Mr. Fortin)—Unemployment Insurance—Montreal—Payment of benefits delayed—Investigation requested; the hon. member for Saskatoon-Biggar (Mr. Gleave)—Grain—Allocation of boxcars to increase stocks at Vancouver.

It being five o'clock, the House will now proceed to the consideration of private members' business as listed on today's order paper, namely, public bills, private bills and notices of motions.

[English]

Mr. Grant Deachman (Vancouver Quadra): Mr. Speaker, I believe there is a disposition among parties to take up four bills relating to changes of names of constituencies. These are Bill C-55 standing in the name of the hon. member for Essex (Mr. Whelan), Bill C-74 standing in the name of the hon. member for High Park (Mr. Deakon), Bill C-92 standing in the name of the hon. member for Renfrew North (Mr. Hopkins) and Bill C-167 standing in the name of the hon. member for Trois-Rivières (Mr. Lajoie). If it is agreeable to hon. members, I wonder if these bills could be taken in that order.

[Mr. Thomson.]

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Laniel): Hon. members have heard the proposal of the hon. member for Vancouver Quadra (Mr. Deachman). Is this agreed?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

PUBLIC BILLS

ELECTORAL BOUNDARIES READJUSTMENT ACT

AMENDMENT RESPECTING CHANGE IN NAME OF CONSTITUENCY

Mr. E. F. Whelan (Essex) moved that Bill C-55, respecting the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act, be read the second time and referred to the Standing Committee on Privileges and Elections.

• (1700)

He said: Mr. Speaker, when redistribution took place on the last occasion my riding changed an awful lot. It took in part of what was called old Essex-East and old Essex-West and part of the riding I represented at that time called Essex-South. Since then, the City of Windsor has annexed a large part of the suburban areas surrounding it. The city was part of my riding and is still part of my riding. The constituency I represent includes a large part of Essex County and also a large part of the new City of Windsor. The City of Windsor is a rapidly expanding industrial area. Many people have moved to the area in the last few years from other parts of Canada to find employment, and they did. Windsor has one of the lowest rates of unemployment in the country. It has one of the fastest growth rates of any constituency in southwestern Ontario. It has increased its number of voters by 10,000 since the last election according to the recent census figures.

Mr. Broadbent: They are all NDPers.

Mr. Whelan: I think the mayor of Windsor well described that group after the leader of the New Democratic Party went down there and said Windsor had not changed for the last 30 years. Even though these people may be supporters of the NDP they are not going to vote for a party whose leader does not recognize the great changes which have taken place in the city in the last 30 years, or in the last ten years, or in the last five years, if it comes to that.

It is important that this great constituency be recognized as part of the city and part of the county. I am, therefore, asking for approval of this proposal to change the name of the constituency from Essex to Essex-Windsor.

Mr. Edward Broadbent (Oshawa-Whitby): I listened with a great deal of interest to the reasons given by the hon. member opposite for changing the name of his constituency and I see no objection to this very sensible proposal. We on this side of the House feel it does not go far enough. We agree that a change in name is appropriate, but what most needs to be changed is the pervasive