to Div. 3A; Ira W. Storr, to Div. 1A; Gilbert Mitchell, to Div. 1B; F. Colson, to Div. 1A; P. J. O'Donnell, to

Div. 3A.

Archives: — A. G. Doughty, to be deputy head; Miss V. Bigras, Miss M. Robertson, Miss J. McKay, Miss G. Ogilvy, Miss M. Smith, Miss H. M. Russell, Miss M. Greaves, Miss L. Shouldis, Miss V. Muir, Miss F. A. McDonald, Miss F. Beith, Norman Fee, Dolor Poirier, to Div. 3A.

Transfers.

Jos. Burgess, from Outside to Inside, Agriculture Dept.

J. N. Lemieux, from Outside to In-

side, Agriculture Dept.

Thos. E. McDonald, from Outside P.O.D. to Outside Customs, Ottawa.

J. S. Hornibrook, from North Post-

al to Regina Customs Dept.

A. A. Almas, from Inside to Outside Customs.

H. C. Blair, from Inside to Outside

Customs.

Jas. Andrew, from Inside to Outside Customs.

W. F. Wilson, from Inside to Out-

side Customs.

C. M. Denneny, from Ry. and Canals, to Interior, Ottawa.

Superannuations.

Thos. Mullin, Williamsburg Canals. Pierre Gauthier, Lachine Canal. John Little, Rideau Canal.

W. O. Pearson, Rideau Canal.

R. N. Beckwith, Customs, Halifax. H. A. Forbes, Customs, Port Hastngs.

E. H. Porter, Customs, Annapolis. Rich. Tobin, Customs, Woodstock.

Resignations.

Agriculture Dept.:—L. G. Bowker, Patent Branch; E. B. Carruthers, chemist, Experimental Farm; W. A. Clemons, live stock; C. M. Godard, private secretary; Miss Sarah Le Roy, Conservation Com.; J. G. Rutherford, live stock commissioner.

Auditor General:—R. B. Farrell;

Miss C. H. Brown.

Customs Dept.: — Thos. Higgins,

MacLeod; Duncan McLeod, Sherbrooke; D. B. McRae, Gore Bay; M. Barrett, Amherstburg; J. Oliver, Esquimault; J. R. Nolan, Inside Service; W. A. Spence, Inside Service; J. R. Dunlop, Board of Customs; J. Kenny, inspector; W. A. Blair, Vancouver; S. Mutch, Montague Bridge; F. A. Aubin, Grand River.

Ry. and Canals:-H. D. McCor-

mick, asst. law clerk.

Trade and Commerce:—S. A. D. Bertrand, Trade Commissioner, Brazil.

THE MESSAGE OF EUGENICS.

(1) Is it materialistic to impress on all classes the duties, the privileges, and the responsibilities of parenthood?

(2) Is it materialistic to declare that the Church ought 'not' to proclaim that marriage was in all cases 'ordained for the procreation of children,' in utter disregard of what the inherited defects of such future children may be?

(3) Is it materialistic to insist that there are circumstances in which the number of the family should be kept within reasonable limits, and that there are other circumstances in which that number should be as reasonably enlarged?

(4) Is it materialistic to inculcate that the nurture of infants, both in their pre-natal and the post-natal condition, ought to be made a matter of public concern, and that if voluntary societies cannot be formed in sufficient strength to teach mothers how to look after their babies, the State should undertake the task?

(5) Lastly, is it materialistic to endeavor to bring about a loftier conception of the potentialities of men and women and to supply them with a new religious aspiration?

This is the message of Eugencies, and to ask these questions is to answer them.