

	PAGE.
Soupras vs. The Mutual Fire Insurance Company for the Counties of Chambly and Huntingdon.....	197
Stirling et al. vs. Darling, and Fowler, opposant, <i>en sous ordre</i> , to plaintiffs,	101
Strother vs. Torrance,	83
Syme et al. vs. Heward,	19
Tate et al. vs. Jones et al., and E. contra,	151
Thompson vs. McLeod,	155
Tidmarsh vs. Stephens et al.,	16
Turgeon vs. Hogue et al.,	270
Verdon vs. Groulx,	184
Voyer vs. The Mayor, Aldermen and Citizens of The City of Montreal,	160
Webster vs. The Grand Trunk Railway Company of Canada,	223
Whitney vs. Craig, and Craig, opposant, and Whitney contesting,	97
Wilson vs. Pariseau, and Simard, opposant,	1, 164
" vs. Morris, and Dame E. Ravaria, plaintiff <i>par reprise d'instance</i> ,	237, 206
" vs. Pariseau and Phillips, <i>mis en cause</i> ,	253
" vs. Brown, and Brown, opposant,	284

Held:—That a
allege

This was
title, on the
husband, his
wife's agent
Day, J.
the husband
practice.

Lafrénaye
Cherrier,
(T.K.R.)

Abbott vs. The

Held:—That an o
will be rejecte
or if the con

In this case
partly in a Seig
and Bytown R
Sheriff's office i
being disposed o
were renewed as
the sale on the
1. That part
should be sold a
2. That the v
taken in executio
that the original
The plaintiff n
1. That no op
Ordinance 41 G