

titative measurements; and at the close of this research I wish to express my sincere thanks to Prof. W. Lash Miller for his interest and assistance.

*The University of Toronto*  
November, 1905.

The experiments were carried out as described in this Journal, **7**, 357, and the abbreviations made use of in these tables are those explained in that paper.

*A*, 10, represents ten cc of 0.05*N* sulphuric acid (0.050 gram-formula-weights  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  per liter); *O*, 10, ten cc of 0.0083*N*  $\text{K}_2\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_7$  (including unreduced bichromate in the "*O*"); *KI*, 10, ten cc of 0.0479*N*  $\text{KI}$ ; *Fe*, 1.0, one cc of 0.05*N* ferrous sulphate; *Ox*, 5, the product of oxidation of five cc of 0.05*N* ferrous sulphate;  $\theta$  is the duration of the reaction in minutes; *As*, the number of cc of *n* 100 sodium arsenite equivalent to the iodine liberated; *V*, the total volume in cc of the reacting mixture.

For the sake of convenience, the tables are numbered consecutively with those of the previous paper, "*As, O*" (Table 3), for example, referring to Table 3, Jour. Phys. Chem. **7**, 3, 9.

I take this opportunity of correcting the following misprints: Table 22 (Jour. Phys. Chem. **7**, 387), second column, should read: 100, 120, 152, 162, in place of 100, 116, 126, 131. In equation 7 (p. 372) the sign of equality has been omitted after  $dy/d\theta$ , and on page 376 the sign has been omitted from the expression  $a/k(1-a) = 1077$ .

TABLE 24

*Ac*, 10; *Cr*, 20; *KI*, 20; *Ox*, 5; *Fe*, 1.0; *V*, 700

| $\theta$                  | 0.5      | 1            | 2           | 4            | 8    |
|---------------------------|----------|--------------|-------------|--------------|------|
| <i>As</i> 30.2 (Expt. 37) |          | 0.55         | 1.05        | 1.80         | 2.40 |
| <i>As, O</i> (Table 3)    | 1.05     | 1.00         | 3.10        | 4.30         | 5.60 |
| Blanks 30.2               | $\theta$ | 4, <i>As</i> | 0; $\theta$ | 8, <i>As</i> | 0.45 |