

Q. February?—A. Yes. We got about 50 in the month of February, and this statement was put out on the 7th of April.

Q. I am sure I had cases last November and December. What publicity was given to that effect?—A. The widest.

Q. Prior to February was any publicity given?—A. No.

*By Mr. Diefenbaker:*

Q. What do you mean by saying that the decision regarding 51,000 bushels has not been determined by reason of the question of date arising? What do you mean by that if the question of date has been entirely removed now?—A. I think I made myself very clear—at least I did to myself, Mr. Diefenbaker, but possibly not to you. I said that there were 51,000 bushels being held up pending decision with regard to date, and that those cases were now being proceeded with to clean them up.

Q. What do you mean by “pending decision as to date”?—A. Well, to go back over what was said—a decision has been made within the last ten days as to the residue; as to what is being done. As to those cases which were being held up—now that decision has been made, these cases are being proceeded with.

Q. That is fine.

Mr. Ross (*Souris*): On that point, may I ask a question. Suppose a man enlisted say two years ago, at the commencement of the war, and he has his farm rented. He is the landlord in this case now. Does this policy cover his share of the crop and can his share all be marketed under this policy?

Mr. WHITMAN: Yes. He said so.

The WITNESS: The answer is yes, Mr. Ross.

*By Mr. Donnelly:*

Q. You have heard the motion which has just been made. Provided this carries, and you decide to adopt the same policy next year, will the soldiers' delivery be distributed all over the year or will it all come in in one or two months in the early fall?—A. The soldier will, no doubt, try to arrange to have his wheat delivered at the early part of the year; and he will be able to deliver it, subject to storage facilities being available.

Q. He will not have to conform to any quota at all?—A. No.

Q. He can deliver the whole thing?—A. That is correct.

Q. It will not be distributed over the year?—A. No.

The CHAIRMAN: Did you have another question, Mr. Wright?

Mr. WRIGHT: Yes.

*By Mr. Wright:*

Q. I have a case where a soldier had rented his land, and the tenant on the soldier's farm delivered his full 14 bushels and delivered none of the soldier's wheat in that 14 bushels. Am I to understand now that the soldier can have his share of that crop delivered?—A. Quite correct, Mr. Wright.

The CHAIRMAN: The question has been called. Will you read the motion, Mr. Clerk?

Mr. CRUICKSHANK: Read it again, please.

Mr. DONNELLY: In cases like that, I do not think it is fair at all. I think that the man who is the tenant should be cut down to two-thirds or given a quota of only ten bushels, in cases of the kind referred to. Otherwise you are going to give fourteen bushels to the man who is a tenant and then going to give the soldier his, which will be another ten bushels or more probably.

Mr. PERLEY: That is a case of the tenant gypping the landlord. The tenant delivered the whole quota.