MR. MacINNIS: Where would the lawyers come in?

MR. BENCE: He does not include them.

WITNESS: There are too many lawyers on this committee for me to answer that.

MR. MacINNIS: You are being politic now.

MR. HAZEN: Does it include bankers?

WITNESS: No.

MR. HAZEN: You would leave bankers and lawyers out of this scheme of yours altogether?

MR. McKINNON: Wouldn't we have a nice bunch.

WITNESS: You would not leave the lawyers out, I said, the bankers.

MR. ROSS: I understood you to say that you would leave the lawyers out.

MR. MacINNIS: That is what I understood you to say.

WITNESS: I said that there were too many lawyers here

for me to answer that.

Technocracy states that the present system of distribution is not possible, and that crime in North America would be reduced to approximately 5 per cent of the present figure --

BY THE CHAIRMAN:

- Q. What is the present figure? A. That is an unanswerable figure.
- Q. Why do you say it would be reduced to 5 per cent?

  A. To 5 per cent of the present crime; the reason being that most crime is committed of or as a result of pecuniary advantage.

THE CHAIRMAN: The history of the world contradicts you on that.

MR. ROSS: What was that last phrase you used?

WITNESS: I said, the majority of crime is committeed because of or as a result of pecuniary advantage; in other words, money.

MR. ROSS: I would be inclined to agree with you on that. WITNESS: In November of 1941 Technocracy stated that