

I am sure Dr. Gallagher and his friends must feel under deep obligation to this self-sufficient gentleman, for his impertinent advice to be more cautious in our statements; when we want advice, I am sure it will not be to one of the writer's qualifications we will apply to and it will be time enough for him to give advice when it is asked for.

Pray don't be alarmed, Mr. "True," even the displeasure of the Archbishop of Canterbury would not deter us from the course we are pursuing; we appeal to a much higher power than even an Archbishop as to the recitude of our acts—our motto is—*Deus est qui regit omnia*.

Was it a hoax, Mr. "True," our glorious and good Queen receiving the Holy Communion in the Presbyterian Church at Crathie? or was it a hoax that a certain high dignitary in the English Episcopal Church took an active part at the meeting of the Evangelical Alliance lately held in New York, and partook of the Holy Communion at the hands of a non-conformist minister.

Mr. "True" must have been awfully "startled" on reading that in his "English newspapers." Still, the world goes on, and the Archbishop of Canterbury did not *excommunicate* either of the delinquents, and I don't think Bishop Lewis would undertake to "repell" either of them from the Communion in his chapel.

LUTHER II.

OTTAWA, 26th March, 1874.

THE REFORMED CHURCH.

To the RIGHT REV. DR. LEWIS, *Bishop of Ontario*:

MY LORD,—I find in the *Church Herald* of Toronto, the 26th inst., the following:—

A NOTE FROM OTTAWA.

"The Rev. H. Pollard writes from Ottawa, March 20th, that an 'absurd telegram' about excommunication had misled us in the *Church Herald* of March 12. 'There is not a word of truth in such a report,' says our correspondent, which we are truly happy to publish it. He continues: "I presume the report arose from my suggesting to a member of the congregation the propriety of his absenting himself from the Holy Communion, partly in consequence of his having announced to the Bishop and myself his intention of joining the new sect unless his Lordship would *alter the Prayer Book* to suit his particular views. I may add that of the few that have left us, he is about the only one who has been a communicant."

It is to be regretted your curate should so far forget himself as to publish statements which are so far from being correct. With regard to the excommunication, will the reverend gentleman please send to the *Herald* Your Lordship's note relative to the "Steady" matter, and then we shall see if it is excommunication or not; but what of the Hazeidean affair? There can be no doubt of the