

*Criminal Code*

Fitness and Amateur Sport because people want him to address the issues rather than make his friends on the backbenches laugh because it is close to five o'clock. Let us talk fact and reality. We are prepared to continue as long as the Minister wants to.

I would like to return to this particular piece of legislation as it relates to the winter Olympic Games. The Minister talked about me suggesting that the provinces should split the lotteries in a certain way. That is not what I was saying and the Minister knows it. I was addressing the point that the provincial Governments have agreed, on a qualified basis, to give over \$100 million to the Olympic Games in Calgary. I do not agree with the qualifications, but the \$100 million is fine. I asked how the \$100 million would be split among the provinces rather than how they are going to split the lottery profits that they will make through their grand monopoly. Is Alberta going to pay the same as Nova Scotia? Is Ontario going to pay the same as Newfoundland? Well, the Minister has now left the Chamber. Who is running away from the truth, Mr. Speaker?

**Mr. Gagliano:** He is hiding.

**Mr. Marchi:** The Minister is quoted in the *Calgary Herald* of September 20 as saying: "I will come up with a different funding system. This will be a priority for me". When the Minister comes back here, if he has the courage, he can tell the House and this Member what funding system he will be replacing the sports pool or lottery business with. What is the alternative? What can sports organizations expect in terms of generating funds for them? I would also like to ask the Minister whether there is any guarantee that the lottery funds generated by the provinces are going to be channeled into the federal fitness and amateur sports world. Is there a guarantee that we do not know about? Is there a guarantee from the provinces that some of the money will be pumped into sports once again rather than allowing the provinces to use it for a variety of things?

It is just like transfer payments for education which do not get spent on education, Mr. Speaker. That is not right or fair. If moneys are designated to be used in a particular domain, they should be used for those purposes. I would like to know if there are guarantees in place that the moneys generated by the provincial lotteries will be used for sports. If there are not, then the Minister is not defending his portfolio at all.

I would also like to ask the Minister about his personal opinion or preferences on lotteries. We sometimes hear conflicting stories from that side of the House. They say that the federal Government should not be involved in lotteries and that the Liberal Government was wrong to have been so involved. They say that lotteries are a tax on the poor and moderate income earners of the country. Yet, on the other hand, they are prepared to say that it is okay for the provinces to do it. What kind of double-talk is that? You are either in favour of it or against it. I would like to know what the Minister's personal preferences are with regard to the lottery issue.

We want to know a lot of things, Mr. Speaker. Canadians want answers. We want to know how the Minister is going to pick up the shortfall from the Calgary Winter Olympic Games. His Government committed itself to donating \$200 million. There is going to be a shortfall of anywhere between \$50 million and \$70 million. I would like to know, as would all Canadians and athletes, where that money is going to come from. Those are just some of the questions that this side of the House, and particularly this Member as critic, would like to have answer for. I am going to stay in my place and hope that the Minister does not run away but, instead, gives us some answers.

**Mr. Jelinek:** Mr. Chairman, I am glad that I finally got the Hon. Member to ask some proper questions. Most of them were answered in my initial remarks on Bill C-81, which is what we are supposed to be discussing. He got riled up, of course, because I responded to his accusations and misinterpretations in his earlier comments. He is, again, misinformed.

**Mr. Gauthier:** Answer the questions for God's sake.

**Mr. Jelinek:** I would like to invite the Hon. Member to my office some day to teach him a little bit about what goes on in both of my ministries. Then he would perhaps be a little more informed in putting questions. He asked, for example, whether I can guarantee him that the \$100 million from the provinces for which I made a deal will be directed toward amateur sport. He should be congratulating me for being able to make a deal for \$100 million in return for this piece of legislation.

**Mr. Gauthier:** We don't trust you.

**Mr. Jelinek:** The answer to his question is no.

**Mr. Gauthier:** There you go.

**Mr. Jelinek:** The Hon. Member for Ottawa-Vanier says: "There you go". Sergio is right because I am saying that the money is not going to be directed to amateur sports. No it will not. If the Member was informed properly he would know that this money will go toward the funding of the Calgary Olympic Games. I can guarantee him that.

**Some Hon. Members:** Hear, hear!

**Mr. Jelinek:** Mr. Chairman, I will not stay on my feet longer. I think that we have made an agreement to pass this Bill through all stages today.

**Mr. Marchi:** No, no. We'll keep going.

**Mr. Hovdebo:** That was before you started talking.

**Mr. Jelinek:** Now the NDP is getting riled and I haven't even started to attack them.

**The Chairman:** The Hon. Member for Ottawa-Vanier on a point of order.

**Mr. Gauthier:** Mr. Chairman, I think the Minister is all mixed up again. We go until six o'clock tonight so we will have