

*Security Intelligence Service*

**Mr. Speaker:** The Chair is quite prepared to hear debate on the items which will be debated in this House. The Chair has listened to argument on a point of order at some length. The Chair has made a ruling. At this stage the Chair will recognize the Hon. Member on the motion and not recognize him on a point of order. Perhaps he can make a very brief intervention.

**Mr. Fraser:** It will be very brief. I have chosen my words carefully because I respect Your Honour's position, but the effect is that those who came to our committee to give us suggestions for improvement of the Bill are now left with the result that those suggestions in the way of proposed amendments are not now going to be considered by this House:

**Mr. Speaker:** The Hon. Member made this point very clearly this morning. The Chair listened to him carefully. The Chair has heard argument and made a decision, and the House will now proceed to the motion.

Is the Hon. Member for Burnaby (Mr. Robinson) rising to speak on the motion? The Hon. Member has already spoken on the motion. On what point is he seeking to be recognized?

**Mr. Robinson (Burnaby):** On a point of order, Mr. Speaker, a very brief point of order.

**Mr. Speaker:** I trust the Hon. Member is not going to reflect on the decision of the Chair.

**Mr. Robinson (Burnaby):** Mr. Speaker, I certainly will not reflect on the decision of the Chair. I merely seek guidance with respect to the Chair's ruling. The Chair indicated that Your Honour would be ruling on the remaining 42 motions, that is Motions Nos. 37, 39, 41, etc. through to Motion No. 167 as soon as possible. May we have some indication?

**Mr. Speaker:** The Chair certainly gave an indication, and the preliminary ruling did not cover it all, but with regard to groupings, the Hon. Member himself went through the whole Bill with the suggested groupings. The Chair found that, once it got into the ruling there was no other way but to carry through in a consistent way. I have looked at everything on groupings.

With regard to the other clauses of the Bill, I am inviting argument with relation to three specific clauses that give concern to the Chair and will hear argument relating to them early next week.

**Mr. Robinson (Burnaby):** Mr. Speaker, I have just one final question, again not reflecting in any way upon the decision of the Chair. The document I have indicates that it was drafted at 11.15 this morning. That was before the Hon. Member for Vancouver South (Mr. Fraser) had an opportunity to conclude his deliberations.

**Mr. Speaker:** With all due respect to the Hon. Member, for purposes of convenience he was given drafts. The Hon. Member is well aware that there is such a thing as putting drafts together by stapling. I can assure the Hon. Member that there have been numerous last minute changes. If he is trying

to make the suggestion that the entire document was prepared prior to 11.15, that is simply not true.

The Hon. Member for Cowichan-Malahat-The Islands.

**Mr. Jim Manly (Cowichan-Malahat-The Islands):** May I speak to the motion, Mr. Speaker?

**Mr. Speaker:** The Hon. Member is perfectly in order. We are resuming debate on Motion No. 1 in the name of the Hon. Member for Burnaby (Mr. Robinson). The Chair recognized the Hon. Member for Cowichan-Malahat-The Islands.

**Mr. Manly:** Mr. Speaker, I would like to say a word or two about the importance of this debate, and a word of appreciation to the Hon. Member for Burnaby (Mr. Robinson) for consistently forcing this matter to the front of Parliament's attention. Otherwise it could very easily have slid over.

There is a great deal of attention being paid to the events taking place in the Liberal Party this week in Ottawa. Perhaps some people might think that this is the most important thing happening in Ottawa today, but in fact the most important thing for the future of Canada today is not who is going to be the new leader of the Liberal Party, but what we are going to decide on this Bill, which affects the fundamental rights and freedoms of Canadians. There is a debate in the Liberal Party as to whether or not the new leader will represent the head of the Party, the brains or the heart, but if we want to know about the Liberal Party we need to read this Bill. We see here the guts of the Party in this Bill which really is a denial of fundamental rights and freedoms. It is rather sad that this evening there is going to be a testimonial dinner—

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** Order. Will the Hon. Member please be relevant to the motion now before the House, not to extraneous matters?

**Mr. Manly:** Mr. Speaker, I am speaking to the motion. The motion is that the title should be deleted, and I believe that is very germane because this is what Shakespeare would call a "whoreson" act, an act that does not deserve a title or name. We feel it betrays the fundamental rights and freedoms of Canadians. I was about to say that it is a rather sad that when there is a testimonial dinner for the Prime Minister (Mr. Trudeau) this evening—

**Mr. Deputy Speaker:** Order. With all due respect, I have asked the Hon. Member to address his remarks to the motion now before the House, which is that Bill C-9 be amended by deleting Clause 1. He should restrict his remarks to that matter.

**Mr. Manly:** Mr. Speaker, I can appreciate your concern that we should relate our debate to the deletion of Clause 1 which contains the title. I am trying to point out that this Bill is so deficient in meeting the needs of Canadian people that the title should be deleted. In fact, the entire Bill should be deleted. I simply want to make the point that it is a sad fact that this Bill is one of the final legacies of the Prime Minister (Mr. Trudeau) on the very day—