

House by Mr. Speaker on February 27, 1976, on the grounds set forth hereinafter:

1. The Commission failed to fully appreciate the representations made at public hearings by the citizens resident in the defined geophysical area.

2. The Commission failed to give and pay proper attention to the physical, social or economic cohesion in many of the new electoral districts created, and appeared to ignore and did ignore the question of unity or community of interest and thereby rendering adequate representation of the people in Parliament a virtually impossible task.

3. The Commission failed to properly appreciate and did in fact ignore the questions of unity and community of interest.

*Signatures of Members:*

P. Stollery (Spadina)  
 J. Buchanan (London West)  
 D. Macdonald (Rosedale)  
 F. A. Philbrook (Halton)  
 R. Kaplan (York Centre)  
 H. Gray (Windsor West)  
 A. Martin (Scarborough West)  
 D. Collenette (York East)  
 B. Cullen (Sarnia-Lambton)  
 A. Nicholson (Trinity)  
 G. MacFarlane (Hamilton Mountain)  
 V. Railton (Welland)  
 G. Parent (St. Catharines)

That, pursuant to Section 20 of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act (Chapter E-2, R.S.C., 1970), consideration be given by this House to the matter of an objection to the provisions of the Report of the Electoral Boundaries Commission for the Province of Ontario, laid before this House by Mr. Speaker on February 27, 1976, on the grounds set forth hereinafter:

1. That the name of the constituency marked as number 40 on the map Tabled on February 27, 1976, and called Lanark-Carleton should be changed to Lanark-Renfrew-Carleton as a number of people resident in Renfrew County will also live in that constituency.

2. That the Electoral Boundaries Commission should be invited to reconsider the boundaries of the constituencies known as Sault Ste. Marie and Algoma, numbered on the map Tabled on February 27, 1976, as numbers 70 and 1 respectively so as to more appropriately consider which groups have the greatest community of interest and are of a more urban nature and should be included in the urban constituency of Sault Ste. Marie.

3. That the Electoral Boundaries Commission should be invited to reconsider the boundary between the constituencies known as Nipissing and Renfrew-Nipissing-Pembroke so as to reduce the large number of people situated in the large rural constituency of Renfrew-Nipissing-Pembroke and increasing the number of people in the constituency centred around the community of North Bay to include more people of the Judicial District of Nipissing.

4. That the Electoral Boundaries Commission should be invited to reconsider the boundary between the constituencies of Stormont-Dundas and Glengarry-Prescott with reference to the relationship of the Township of Charlot-

tenburgh and its historical relationship to the rest of Glengarry County.

5. That the Electoral Boundaries Commission should be invited to reconsider the boundary between the constituencies of Hastings-Frontenac and Victoria-Haliburton with reference to the Townships of McClure, Wicklow, Bangor, Herschel, Monteagle, Carlow, Faraday, Dunganon, Mayo, Wollaston, Limerick and Cashel.

6. That the Electoral Boundaries Commission should be invited to reconsider the boundary between the constituencies of Victoria-Haliburton and York-Peel with reference to the Township of Georgina.

7. That the Electoral Boundaries Commission should be invited to reconsider the inclusion of the Township of Dunnville in the constituency of Erie rather than in the constituency of Haldimand-Norfolk.

8. That the Electoral Boundaries Commission be invited to consider the moving of the Township of Rawdon from the constituency of Hastings-Frontenac to the constituency of Northumberland and the moving of the Townships of Huntingdon and Hungerford from the constituency of Hastings-Frontenac to the constituency of Prince Edward.

9. That the Electoral Boundaries Commission be invited to reconsider the boundaries of the constituency of St. Paul's in Metropolitan Toronto to take into consideration certain areas presently divided but which share a certain "community of interest" and to make the boundaries of the said constituency more closely conform to existing Provincial and Municipal electoral demarcation lines.

10. And such further and other objections as the undersigned Members may consider applicable in order to carry out the spirit, terms and conditions of this Act in question.

*Signatures of Members:*

P. Dick (Lanark-Renfrew-Carleton)  
 A. Lawrence (Northumberland-Durham)  
 W. Baker (Grenville-Carleton)  
 P. Beatty (Wellington-Grey-Dufferin-Waterloo)  
 G. Hees (Prince Edward-Hastings)  
 B. Halliday (Oxford)  
 J. R. Holmes (Lambton-Kent)  
 Wm. Kempling (Halton-Wentworth)  
 Wm. Scott (Victoria-Haliburton)  
 P. B. Rynard (Simcoe North)

That, pursuant to Section 20 of the Electoral Boundaries Readjustment Act (Chapter E-2, R.S.C., 1970), consideration be given by this House to the matter of an objection to the provisions of the Report of the Electoral Boundaries Commission for the Province of Ontario, laid before this House by Mr. Speaker on February 27, 1976, on the grounds set forth hereinafter:

1. The Commission failed to give adequate attention and consideration to geographical, political, and demographic factors when planning the redistribution of the riding of Ottawa Centre; and appeared to ignore and did ignore the question of unity or community of interest.

2. Part of each of the communities known locally as Ottawa East and Mechanicsville have been excluded from the riding of Ottawa Centre by the report of the commission.