

too tightly to grand idealistic visions instead of gradually building mechanisms from the ground up.

He was to some extent a realist and was in fact moving toward implementation, as indicated by the nature of the present discussion. The question of an already existing global security system could be created, but the imperfections of an existing system would be a hindrance to the creation of a new one. Dean argued that the Global Action program was realistic and was in fact moving toward implementation, as indicated by the nature of the present discussion. The question of an already existing global security system could be created, but the imperfections of an existing system would be a hindrance to the creation of a new one.

Dean argued that the Global Action program was realistic and was in fact moving toward implementation, as indicated by the nature of the present discussion. The question of an already existing global security system could be created, but the imperfections of an existing system would be a hindrance to the creation of a new one. Dean argued that the Global Action program was realistic and was in fact moving toward implementation, as indicated by the nature of the present discussion. The question of an already existing global security system could be created, but the imperfections of an existing system would be a hindrance to the creation of a new one.

Dean argued that the Global Action program was realistic and was in fact moving toward implementation, as indicated by the nature of the present discussion. The question of an already existing global security system could be created, but the imperfections of an existing system would be a hindrance to the creation of a new one. Dean argued that the Global Action program was realistic and was in fact moving toward implementation, as indicated by the nature of the present discussion. The question of an already existing global security system could be created, but the imperfections of an existing system would be a hindrance to the creation of a new one.

The feasibility of the "Global Action to Prevent War" was also questioned on other bases. Haines and Lawson, professing their pessimism, looked at the realist perspective. The former wanted to emphasize the "realistic" aspects of the plan, while the latter emphasized the "idealistic" aspects. He believed that the good that would happen as a result of practical developments.