No to the latter. I mean we were trying to phrase CLARK: that discussion as neutrally as we could and I think that the committee will be free to apply its own interpretations, whatever you or I might read into the paper that we have submitted. With regard to specific aspects of the research and development question, the fact that we have not mentioned every aspect of a problem does not exclude the committee from considering and dealing with and making recommendations on, aspects of questions which they consider to be important. I wanted the document to be brief, relatively short, I wanted it to be in lay language so that we could involve the participation of people who had not normally been involved in foreign policy discussions before. I didn't want it to be either encyclopedic or too complex. You know we have just gone through, as I said earlier, a remarkable experience in terms of Canadian public interest in the questions of famine. I hope that revealed on the question of development, a potential new constitutency in Canada. I hope that this process will address and enlarge what I think is a potentially new consituency for the discussion of foreign policy questions in the country. I hope we will also engage the interest of people and of groups who have traditionally been engaged but I hope we can reach beyond them.

MODERATOR: I have Christopher Young.

Q: Mr. Clark, this is also R & D. There's a fairly clearcut statement there that our R & D is inadequate especially in competitive terms. Yet that this government has taken two steps that I know of that clearly are likely to reduce