German relative erd remain, both of which come from the Hebrew ERETZ. The Irish pluc, the cheek, can at once be referred to the Hebrew LECHI; and the Persian bez, a goat, and bezer, seed, to EZ and ZERA in the same language, the latter word being connected with the Latin sero. As the borrowing of the Latin betrays itself by the presence of the Coptic article in the Romance languages, so the horrowing of the Sanskrit appears in the Hindustani dialects. Admi, a man, ma, a mother and beti a daughter are so like the Arabic ADEM, Uni and BINT (Heb. BATH) that they must have come directly from some such Semitic source; but bap, a father and bhai, a brother, must have picked up the b which precedes the AB and AH or ACH (Arab. AKH) of the Hebrew during an older period than that of the Hindustani.

It is not to be supposed that in every case in which we find the same root with and without the prefix p, ph, b, v, in the same or different languages we are to conclude necessarily that we have to deal with the Coptic article. A very common German verbal prefix be, as in bedecken, bedenken, befehlen, is an inseparable intensative partigle, while ab and bei as in abschneiden, beifugen, are separable particles with ablative and dative powers. Either of these particles might readily be mistaken for the article. Another interesting case in which the same error might happen is that of the word with which our Hebrew scriptures begin, BRESHITH. There is no doubt whatever that this word is the original of our English first, which ignorant etymologists have derived from a superlative form of the Angle Saxon feor, far. The Danish först, while agreeing with our English ordinal, shows how mistaken is such an etymology, and the Dutch eerst and German erst make it still more apparent by the absence of the initial f. The Dutch and German forms present us with the Hebrew original RESHITH, the first or beginning, the b which is replaced in Danish and English by f being the preposition in. Although this example is introduced as a beacon to warn against an indiscriminating reference of all initial p and b sounds to a Coptic original, I may be permitted to say in passing that both Theology and Geology would be gainers were the literal "First" to replace "In the beginning" at the commencement of our English version of the Bible.

It is doubtful whether the Armenian hink, the numeral five, as contrasted with the pancha, penj, panch, pianch, penc, &c., of the