

in a medi-
cine cured in

who had
menorrhæa.
She had
for seven
years ter-
rible "men-
struation" al-
though ap-
propriate
to come
have had
rest allo-
pathy re-
covered
ease suffice
more than
very un-

and argue
the treat-
ment the
same as
Dr. Bleed-
ing given up,
specifics are
allopathists
And what
best authors
Nelligan
with the
of action,
"They are
cases, empiri-
cism specifics
principle of

opathy two
The inflam-
mation of
Dr. Rosen-
t. "Anti-
bill us how
treatment of
air trial of
atched un-
the experi-
ments. In the
patient
perimenters
on from Dr.
terminated.
bearing up
of torture,
and yet they
days under
believers in
relieve" and
is certain-
ly even these
"bug" speak
"Anti-Hum-
thing unfor-

fortunate issued" from the terrible dereliction of duty of Dr. Hall permitting a Homœopathic to be within the walls of the Hospital.

Surely "Anti-Humburg" has mistaken a mole hill for a mountain. If the Medical Faculty connected with the Montreal General Hospital, or the governors of the Montreal General Hospital have any desire to benefit humanity by giving patients the choice of the Homœopathic treatment, I will most cheerfully assist them to accomplish that object. I will shew them not by single cases, but by ample and reliable statistics, that the Homœopathic method of practice is in accordance with my 4th postulate.

I will now give some information from other sources. Dr. Bennett, who from prejudice or ignorance is opposed to Homœopathy, writes the following statement regarding cases of Pneumonia, which were seen by Dr. George Balfour of Edinburgh. He says,—"very severe cases of Pneumonia were observed in the Homœopathic Hospital of Vienna, under treatment that no reasonable Medical man can suppose to be anything else than inert, yet most of these cases got well?" I ask, if it is not reasonable that causes should be judged by the effects produced? If Homœopathy is attended with better results than allopathy, is it reasonable to adhere to allopathy for the cure of disease, because Dr. Bennett or "Anti-Humburg," say it is nothing? Certainly not. According to Dr. Routh, (Allopathic Physician), the statistics of diseases treated Homœopathically and Allopathically, are as follows:—

	Deaths under	
	Homœopathy.	Allopathy.
Inflammation of the		
lungs.....	5 in 100	23 in 100
Dysentery.....	3 in 100	22 in 100
Pleurisy.....	3 in 100	13 in 100
Inflammation of the		
bowels.....	3 in 100	13 in 100

ASIATIC CHOLERA.

According to a document ordered to be printed on the 21st May, 1855, by the House of Commons, and which ought to be in the library of every homœopathist and every philanthropist in the world, the comparative death rate during the fearful epidemic of Asiatic Cholera in 1834—and surely it is no trifling disease, nor "a disease of childhood"—was under

Homœopathic treatment...16.4 per 100
Allopathic treatment.....59.2 per 100

Although the statistics relating to the treatment of Asiatic Cholera at the Homœopathic Hospital were certified by Dr. Mac-loughlan, Allopathic Physician and Medical Inspector of the General Board of Health,

they were suppressed by a joint resolution of Dr. Paris, the President of the Royal College of Physicians and other members of the Medical Council. Lord R. Grosvenor (now Lord Ebury), aware that Dr. Mac-loughlan had inspected the Homœopathic Hospital in Golden Square, and observing that the statistics of this Hospital were not included in the general returns made to Parliament, moved for copies of the returns that had been rejected by the Medical Council. The motion was agreed to by the House of Commons, when the above resolution, astounding to those who were not previously acquainted with Homœopathy, was made. Dr. Horner pronounces this proceeding on the part of the Royal College of Physicians, as a "conspiracy against the truth, and against humanity itself." What can be thought of a profession which suppresses such facts because they condemn its practice, while its adherents are too prejudiced to test Homœopathy for themselves?

The proceedings of the Medical profession against Homœopathy, says Dr. Rush, of Philadelphia, is an extraordinary picture of *presumptuous* panic, vulgar wonder, ignorance, obtrusive vanity, plans for profit and popularity, fatal "blunders, distracting contradictions, and egregious empiricisms."

The mean duration of Disease particularly Inflammation of the lungs, has been stated by Drs. Tessier and Louis of Paris, Dr. Henderson, Professor of Pathology, University of Edinburgh, and Dr. Dietle, Allopathic Physician of Vienna, to be as follows:—

	Treated Homœopathically.	Allopathically.
Average duration of cases.....	11½ days.	29 days.
Expectant system, "Anti-Humburg's" milk and water system,	28 days.	

ALLOPATHY EXPENSIVE.

St. Bartholomew's Hospital spends £2,600 sterling per annum, in drugs; 10,816 pints of black draught were administered, and 29,700 leeches applied in one year. To this catalogue must of course be added the gallons of life-blood let out by the lancet and the fearful amount of suffering inflicted by blisters and other external applications—all, it will be observed, unnecessary, nay, far worse than unnecessary. The death rate under Homœopathy is much less than under Allopathy for the duration of cases curable by both systems, is as 11½ for Homœopathy against 29 days for Allopathy. Were the Montreal General Hospital converted into a Homœopathic Hospital, it would be capable of relieving hundreds of patients per annum more than at present—just in the proportion that 11½ days bear to 29 days. The immense amount of real