8946

Ottawa, April 18, 1911.

Dear Mr. Rowell .-

I beg to acknowledge the receipt of your favour of the 13th instant in reference to the Oka Indian case in which you state that Mr. McGoun, who holds the brief in the case, had been discussing the matter with you, and you at the official relation of the Superintendent General of Indians to the Oka Indians is the same as that to any other Indian band, and whether the Superintendent General has thority under any statute to represent the Indians for the purpose of maintaining them in the possession of their land or their rights.

In reply I have to say that I am not sure that understand exactly what you mean by "official relation," as this is a rather general term. However, speaking gen ally I may say that under sub-section 24, section 91.B.N. Act, and Chapter 81,R.S.C. I understand the relation of Superintendent General to the Oka Indians to be the same that to any other Indian band, and these anactments appearing the Superintendent General authority to represent the Indians for the purpose of maintaining them in the posses of their lands or their rights.

Your obedient servant.

N. W. Rowell, Esq., K. C.,
Messrs. Rowell, Reid, Wilkie & Wood,
Barristers, &c.,
Toronto.

Dept. of Indian & Northern Affairs, Letterbook, 10 April 1911 - 22 April 1911, (R.G. 10, Volume 5340) 700r

PUBLIC ARCHIVES
ARCHIVES PUBLIQUES
CANADA

