Universities m these obblished that by the force franchises f reasoning can do so. I not arguing ament to do some case ing this exment. My of its mere ature of its t not is moe advocates rt of it on

untas." ument why harter, that Legislation: were within e of the Leone is capacan be no is been thus e such an e upon that l once been ected with h subject to ment could cially to an its stability oyed. Bebecause in ounder and ie attention nent of the , privilege, charter, or y or in any and lastly, ie amended er its provimay be adenactment

sh: 1. That ging on the prerogative of the Crown, creet a new corporation with University powers and privileges. 2. That it cannot (excepting as an act of will and nower, unsustained by reason or principle) deprive a corporation of the right and franchises which the Crown has legally granted to it, or in any way interfere with them, without the consent of such corporation. I have not laboured to prove what must be apparent to the most cursory observation, that this bill is open to these objections; but I do most earnestly submit that either of them should be deemed sufficient to cause its rejection upon the same principle that the Legislature should not of its own act confer University powers on a corporation of their own creating. They ought not, as it seems to me, to assume to transfer from King's College to this proposed University of Toronto, the franchises given by the King's charter, or the property conferred by the King's grant.

But by this bill almost every power granted to King's College is mutilated in consequence of the controlling force given to the statutes of the University; while all powers to regulate studies necessary to qualify under-graduates to obtain degrees; to determine what degree of proficiency shall be deemed indispensable, or what time shall be passed in a course of preparatory study; the power of conferring degrees; the assemblage in convocation; in a word, every power or privilege which belongs to a University is taken away Professing to leave to King's College its charter as a College, it makes even this collegiate management secondary and subordinate to the University authority. When the sweeping character of this change is understood—when the remorseless destruction of every thing granted to King's College by George the Fourth's charter is thoroughly appreciated—then let any reflecting man ponder over these words: "We will, that these our letters patent shall and may be good, firm, valid, sufficient and effectual in the law, according to the true intent and meaning of the same. and shall be taken and adjudged in the most favourable and beneficial sense for the best advantage of the said Chancellor, President and Scholars of our said College, as well in our Coarts of Record and elsewhere, and by all and singular Judges, Justices, Officers, Ministers, and other subjects whatsoever, of us, our heirs, and successors:" let him next be reminded that the College to whom these "good, firm, valid, sufficient and effectual" letters patent were granted. has within six months mutriculated its first students-that those students are now prosecuting the studies of only its second term—that the build. ings for its permanent accommodation are yet incomplete-that however it may have been assailed out of doors, not one application has been made either to the Crown or to those judges who are visitors on behalf of the Crown, to inquire into. check, and remove alleged abuses, if such there be,-that no charge involving the consequence of legal forfeiture has been advanced against either the corporation or its officers-that if any such charge exists, as well the corporation as its officers have, in common with every British subject, the right to defend themselves, before conviction and condemnation, before a juryand that notwithstanding this, a bill of pains and penalties, of forfeiture and disfranchisement-may I be excused for using such strong terms - of general and individual spoliation, is brought forward against this College-what will he for the future think of the goodness, firmness, validity, sufficiency and stability of a Royal charter, of the respect which it commands in the Legislature of this Province. or of the security of rights and privileges which have that alone for their foundation?

But the attack upon the prerogative of the Crown is not yet done with. The third clause of this bill, to which on another account I have already alluded, contains the assertion of a right in this Legislature, for the future, to prevent the exercise of the Royal prerogative in the institution of any corporation or collegiate body with power to grant degrees. After taking that power from those to whom the Crownhad given it, and vesting it in the new University, the bill in express terms declares and enacts that none of the Colleges already established or any hereafter to be established, shall have or exercise this power. A reference to the 30th clause shows that the attempt to restrict the Crown is not unintentional, for there provision is expressly made for incorporating new Colleges with this University, and this is extended to Colleges which may be endowed by Her Majesty, Her Heirs, or Successors, as well as to those which may be endowed by pri-