

argument on the basis that the government should be provided guaranteed jobs to people—guaranteed content—and then he went on to say he was taking the part of the “little people” and trying to protect the taxpayers.

I just want to ask the hon. member if he will help the taxpayers of this country and come forward on behalf of his party and on behalf of those for whom he is asking for guaranteed jobs and guarantee to the people of this country that, first, the work will be done on time; second, that it will be high quality work; and, third, that there will be no strikes, prompt delivery of goods and that we will get the job done? Let the hon. member come forward and give those guarantees to the “little people” of our country—the taxpayers.

Mr. Nystrom: I think those are very good questions. The first one was whether anyone had a guaranteed job in this country. Well, Mr. Speaker, there are quite a few in the Senate and they are guaranteed from the time one is appointed to age 75. There are also quite a few other guaranteed jobs in this country.

An hon. Member: Through the Liberal party!

Mr. Nystrom: The second question the hon. member asked was whether there would be a good quality job done, whether the work would be done on time and whether delivery of goods would be made on time. I want to say very very simply, Mr. Speaker, that I have enough faith in the people of Canada and in the workers that they will do the job on time, that they will do good quality work, that they will provide good workmanship and that they will deliver the goods on time.

Mr. Young: I, too, have faith in the people!

Mr. Nystrom: I have that kind of faith in Canadians and that is why I am arguing that these things should be done by Canadians. I have that confidence.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Order. If the hon. member wishes to continue with his answer he will have to get the consent of the House because his time has expired.

Some hon. Members: Question.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Is the hon. member seeking the consent of the House to complete his answer?

Is the House ready for the question?

Mr. Nielsen: On a point of order, Mr. Speaker. Having regard to the grouping proposed, may I suggest we get unanimous consent to defer the votes on the various groupings until the end of the debate on the report stage?

Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre): That is in the rules, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The Chair will defer the vote. Is the House ready for the question?

Some hon. Members: Question.

Adjournment Debate

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The question is on the motion in the name of the hon. member for Sault Ste. Marie.

All those in favour will please say yea.

Some hon. Members: Yea.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: All those opposed will please say nay.

Some hon. Members: Nay.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: In my opinion the nays have it.

And more than five members having risen:

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Pursuant to section 11 of Standing Order 75 the recorded division on the motion stands deferred.

Some hon. Members: Ten o'clock.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The House will now proceed to the consideration of motion No. 3 in the name of the hon. member for Wellington-Grey-Dufferin-Waterloo (Mr. Beatty).

Mr. Perrin Beatty (Wellington-Grey-Dufferin-Waterloo) moved:

Motion No. 3.

That Bill C-25, an act to establish the Northern Pipeline Agency, to facilitate the planning and construction of a pipeline for the transmission of natural gas from Alaska and northern Canada and to give effect to an agreement between Canada and the United States of America on principles applicable to such a pipeline and to amend certain acts in relation thereto, be amended in paragraph 17(1)(b) by striking out line 44 at page 8 and line 1 at page 9 and substituting the following therefor:

“inces of British Columbia, Alberta, Saskatchewan, Ontario and Quebec nominated by the Lieuten-”.

He said: Mr. Speaker, we could perhaps call it ten o'clock. I undertake that when I speak tomorrow on the motion I shall be every bit as brief as my hon. friend.

PROCEEDINGS ON ADJOURNMENT MOTION

[English]

A motion to adjourn the House under Standing Order 40 deemed to have been moved.

HEALTH AND WELFARE—OHIP—PREMIUM INCREASE PROPOSED IN ONTARIO BUDGET

Hon. Stanley Haidasz (Parkdale): Mr. Speaker, we all know that this fourth session of the thirtieth parliament is drawing to a close—

Some hon. Members: Explain!

Mr. Haidasz:—and this may be my last opportunity to rise in this House to pursue my appeal of March 15 directed to the