

Business of the House

maintain that we cannot accomplish this purpose at five o'clock and six o'clock in the morning, after having sat all day. I feel that this house should not, under any circumstances, sit beyond midnight.

Some hon. Members: Question.

Mr. Speaker: Is the house ready for the question?

Some hon. Members: Question.

Mr. Speaker: The question is on the amendment. Is it the pleasure of the house to adopt the amendment?

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Fournier (Maisonneuve-Rosemont): Every one of the opposition said "no", Mr. Speaker. We all agree.

Mr. Speaker: Order. Does the house wish me to declare the amendment lost?

Some hon. Members: Yes.

Some hon. Members: No.

And more than five members having risen:

Mr. Speaker: Call in the members.

Mr. Fournier (Maisonneuve-Rosemont): They said no, but they will vote yes.

The house divided on the amendment (Mrs. Fairclough) which was negatived on the following division:

YEAS

Messrs:

Adamson	Fraser
Blair	Fulton
Browne (St. John's West)	Graydon
Casselman	Hees
Charlton	Hodgson
Churchill	Lennard
Courtemanche	Macdonnell (Greenwood)
Coyle	McLean (Queens)
Dinsdale	McGregor
Drew	Meeker
Fairclough, Mrs.	Nowlan
Fleming	Tustin—24.

NAYS

Messrs:

Anderson	Corry
Argue	Cote (St. Jean-Iberville-Napierville)
Arsenault	Cote (Verdun-La Salle)
Balcom	Darroch
Blanchette	Dechene
Boisvert	Dion
Boivin	Dube
Boucher	Dumas
Breton	Eyre
Brisson	Follwell
Bruneau	Fournier (Hull)
Bryce	Fournier (Maisonneuve-Rosemont)
Cameron	Garland
Cauchon	Garson
Claxton	Gauthier (Portneuf)
Cleaver	Gibson
Cloutier	Gingras
Coldwell	
Conacher	

Gour (Russell)	McIlraith
Harris Grey-Bruce	McLean (Huron-Perth)
Healy	Major
Helme	Maltais
Henry	Masse
Herridge	Monette
Hosking	Mutch
Hunter	Noseworthy
James	Pearson
Jones	Proudfoot
Jutras	Prudham
Kirk (Digby-Yarmouth)	Riley
Knowles	Rinfret
LaCroix	Roberge
Lafontaine	Robinson
Laing	Rooney
Langlois (Gaspé)	Rousseau
Leduc	Sinclair
Lesage	Sinnott
Low	Stuart (Charlotte)
Macdonald (Edmonton East)	Studer
MacKenzie	Tremblay
MacNaught	Ward
Macnaughton	Warren
McCann	Whiteside
McCusker	Whitman
McDonald (Parry Sound-Muskoka)	Winters
	Wood—91.

Mr. Cardiff: Mr. Speaker, I was paired. Had I voted, I would have voted for the amendment.

Mr. White (Middlesex East): I was paired with the hon. member for Middlesex West (Mr. McCubbin). Had I voted, I would have voted for the amendment.

On a question of privilege, I want to say that in a previous vote this day I voted on the understanding that the Prime Minister (Mr. St. Laurent) had declared that members were free to vote as they pleased. I did not feel that that statement applied to subsequent amendments, and so tonight I have announced my pair.

Mr. Catherwood: I was paired with the hon. member for Kent, Ont. (Mr. Huffman). Had I voted, I would have voted for the amendment.

Mr. Drew: Mr. Speaker, before the debate proceeds I would ask you to rule as to whether the motion before you is now in order. If you consider the motion you will see that it is no longer operative in relation to the statement contained in it.

Mr. Speaker: The leader of the opposition has asked me to rule on whether the motion is now in order, because some time has elapsed since it was introduced. He says it is inoperative. It is not wholly inoperative. I think that is the point. The hon. member will recall that the motion was allowed to stand after the noon recess, when part of it was inoperative. Now, because still another part is inoperative I do not think I should rule it out of order. I will allow the motion to stand.