obviously there has been a considerable change since $45.6 \%$ of the sample answered 'yes' to the question, while $46.8 \%$ have consistently held the same omple answered of the women did not answer the consistent than their femals counterparts, but $16.7 \%$ since the percentage of males and females who may account for part of the disparity identical. In turning to the various occupations change their opinion the almost have almost identical split on the occupations, the academics opinion is almost, their opinion, and $45.5 \%$ remaining question with the former gro and the professionals at 48.1\%. The interested citizing consistent, while the group having $45.8 \%$ changing civil servants and communicatizens are more prone to change group is evidently split people ('Others' in the tableir opinions, while the interesting while the percentage of the sample who have either a 'more' fav really needed is the direction altered their opinion is on the part of the $45.6 \%$ attitude, or to a 'less' favourge in opinion - that is, to direction of change is cont delegates) who answered 'yes' Table No. 23: $\frac{\text { Direction of Attitude Change toward NATO by Delegates to the }}{\text { Annual CIIA Meeting - June, } 1967}$

| More favourable | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Total } \\ \text { sample } \end{array}$ | Sex |  | Occupations |  | Interested Citizens | Others |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | $F$ | Academics | Professionals |  |  |
| Less favourable |  | 18.5 | 12.5 | 40.0 | 7.7 |  |  |
|  | 75.0 | 74.1 | 75.0 | 50.0 |  | 20.0 | - |
| No answer |  |  |  |  | 84.6 | 70.0 |  |
| Totals <br> \#!'s | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ (36) \end{array}$ | 7.4 | 12.5 | 10.0 | 7.7 | 70.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 100.0 \\ (27) \end{gathered}$ | 100.0 <br> (8) | $\begin{gathered} 10.0 \\ 100.0 \\ (10) \end{gathered}$ |  | 10.0 | - |
|  |  |  |  |  | 100.0 |  |  |
| Note: @ - inclu | one |  |  |  | (14) | $\begin{array}{r} 100.0 \\ (10) \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 100.0 \\ & (2) \end{aligned}$ |

There is no doubt that the direction
the 36 delegates $75.0 \%$ are less favour change is away from support for NATO. Of are more favourably inclined. This indicates a ded toward NATO, while only $16.7 \%$ NATO within an attentive public group that has shown asing pattern of support for the Alliance. The results in table No. 23 do not meanceedingly strong support for respondents who are less favourably inclined toward mean that NATO is rejected by the but rather that they see the value of NATO decreasing NATO as Table No. 7 makes clear, that $40.0 \%$ of the academics moved to a more decreasing. It is interesting to note higher than the other occupational groups.

Respondents who indicated a change in attitude were asked if they could attach a date to the change. Nineteen of the 27 delegates who asked if they could inclined answered this question, and of these ten have shifto became less favourably attitude since 1964, six shifted between 1960 and 1963 , whifed to a less pro-NATO shifted prior to 1960. Again these results show a decreasile the remaining three for NATO over time, and the rate of decrease is increasinging pattern of support

