Allan v. Pratt (13 App. Cas. 780) and Monette v. Lefebvre (16 Can. S. C. R. 387) referred to.

Appeal quashed without costs.

Ouimet, Q.C., & Emard, for motion.

Fleming, Q.C., & Germain, contra.

6 May, 1896.

Quebec.]

MONTREAL GAS CO. V. LAURENT.

Negligence—Obstruction of street—Assessment of damages—Questions of fact—Action of warranty.

Where there is evidence to support it, a judgment assessing actual present damages sustained through injuries will not be interfered with upon an appeal to the Supreme Court.

In cases of délit or quasi-délit a warrantee may before condemnation take proceedings en garantie, and the warrantor cannot object to being called into the principal action as a defendant en garantie. Archibald v. Delisle (25 Can. S. C. R. 1) followed.

Appeal dismissed with costs.

Bisaillon, Q.C., for appellant, Montreal Gas Company. Madore for appellant and respondent, City of St. Henri. Geoffrion, Q.C., and D'Amour, for respondent, Laurent.

Nova Scotia.]

18 May, 1896.

## FRASER V. FRASER.

Will—Devise to two sons—Devise over of one's share—Condition—Context—Codicil.

A testator devised property equally to his two sons with a provision that "in the event of the death of my said son T. C. unmarried or without leaving issue" his interest should go to the other. By a codicil a third son was given an equal interest with his brothers in the property on a condition which was not complied with, and the devise to him became of no effect.

Held, reversing the decision of the Supreme Court of Nova Scotia, that the codicil did not affect the construction to be put on the devise in the will; that the two sons named in the will