away a lot close to the College goal line. And so some thought Hamilton "had the best of the game."

But points win matches.

And generalship and skill make points, and never made them more clearly than on Saturday. The touch-downs of neither Lafleur nor Murphy would have been possible but for the College generalship. What happened was what usually happens where College is concerned. The Tigers had got so puzzled by the College play that they left openings which would never have been given to ordinary opponents.

Gleeson had four or five distinct sets of tactics. First one, and then a different manoeuvre was tried, and Hamilton could never gamble on what would come Perhaps the scrim would be tried in a charge on the Hamilton line, and so long as numbers were equal, the College scrim could gain a little. If, next time the Hamilton wings came in to stop that, the College ball would go back to Gleeson, thence off to Ed. Murphy, and he would be around the side of the Hamilton line like a shot, where the wings should have been, but weren't. the Tiger wings stayed in their places, the College scrim renewed the line bucking. Then, sometimes the Hamilton half-backs came up to shove, but if they did, the College ball was back to Gleeson again, and this time a long punt would land it far in Hamilton territory, where a half-back should have been, but sometimes wasn't.

It was head play by College, against splendid happy-go-lucky dash by Hamilton, and when the strength and vigor of the Tiger attack was constantly pushing the College men back for labored advantages, the skill and speed of the eastern men would every once in a while even up matters—or more—by a big run.

The long runs of Lafleur and Murphy, which would have seemed impossible against any team in the Quebec Union, were doubtless helped by the slippery ground which prevented the Hamilton backs moving quickly enough to get on to the runners, but at the same time the

runs would not have been possible in any event but for the rapidly-changing tactics of the College, which kept the Tigers uncertain all the time where they were.

Throughout the match the College men seemed both surer-footed and faster, particularly in the back division. Every man on the team did well, but as anticipated the scrim did particularly well. Except as regarded the combination play of the back division, the scrimmage was the one feature of the play where College showed stronger than Hamilton throughout—only slightly so, but still uniformly a little better.

In the first half, College was given 17 penalty kicks, Hamilton 8. In the second half, College 19, Hamilton 8.

But all in all, College won fairly and squarely, and on the same field would do it again.

NOTES.

Counsell's punting was the best done for length, Gleeson's the best placed.

Control process of the second second

College won the championship from Toronto University last year also by 4 points—the score was 12 to 8.

McGuckin was the least effective of the College rear division, doing considerable fumbling. He ran and tacked well.

The Hamilton inside wing men were first class, but the outside ones did not seem able to break through to down College runs.

College was easily the favorite with the grand stand, but there was no great electricity except over two or three of Ed. Murphy's runs. Both teams being visitors, the crowd was dull.

The Hamilton halves never fumbled, but their tackling was not good, and DuMoulin lost a lot marking free kicks when he had ample time to return the ball while his forwards were down in College territory.

Seven of the College fifteen of yesterday shared in the championship win last year in Toronto, namely: Gleeson, Smith, Ed. Murphy, Clancy, Boucher, McCredie and Lafleur. The other eight last year were: Belanger, Shea, Foley, Green,