

# CARLETON COUNTY COUNCIL, SESSION OF JANUARY, 1921

Court House, January 18, 1921.  
The semi-annual meeting of the Council of the Municipality of Carleton met in the Court House this morning. Warden Melville presided.

The following is the personnel of the Council Board:  
Aberdeen—Arthur McIntosh, James Miller.  
Brighton—W. D. Keith, Fred W. Smith, M. L. A.  
Kent—G. W. Perry, Stephen Kinney.  
Northampton—Henry Phillips, Arthur Giberson.  
Peel—B. W. Melville, Frank L. Tompkins.  
Richmond—W. E. Flemming, K. E. Dalling.  
Simonds—Odour Shaw, James Peters.  
Wakefield—Robert Bell, John Hannah.  
Whitton—R. K. Tracey, John Fulkerson.  
Wilmot—W. F. Anderson, H. P. Carvell.

Woodstock Parish—F. Byron Bull, Charles Clark.  
Woodstock Town—H. D. Stevens, James W. Gallagher, Alex. Benn.  
Hartland Town—James S. Faulkner.  
The officials present were: J. C. Hartley, clerk; Chas. Comben, auditor; J. P. McInnes, reporter; Herb Holmes, caretaker, and Deputy Sheriff Moore, constable.

Rev. F. M. Lockyer, pastor of St. Gertrude's Catholic Church, an over-sean chaplain, acted as chaplain and opened the Council with prayer. This was followed by singing the National Anthem.

Warden Melville said he was pleased to meet the Council and officials at the third session. Since last they met they had lost by death Coun. Wilnot Watson, one of our best citizens and a good councillor. He suggested that the Council prepare a letter of condolence to the relatives of the deceased. Since he assumed office he found that the duties of his position took up a lot of his time. He was supposed to attend the Hospital meetings, the Vocational meetings, and assist the Judge and Sheriff in preparing the jury list. The latter duty called him to Woodstock frequently. He was not able to attend the meetings of the Vocational school. Since his attendance at the Hospital meetings he had a whole lot of sympathy for the Hospital trustees. These men are doing all they could to make the public hospital a success. They must be doing good work when it is impossible for even he to not criticize; those who are prone to criticize should attend a meeting of the Hospital Board. One item alone, that of cost, cost \$3,000 for one year. His parish, Peel, had endeavored to collect the dog tax during the year. Personally he was not in favor of the dog tax and he hoped the Council would think of some way to get rid of it. Some of our best citizens refuse to pay the dog tax, and he did not feel like advising warrants to be issued against them; a dog with a tax put on him will bite sheep the same as a dog with no tax paid on him. When we last year things were bright for the farmer with potatoes selling at \$6 and \$7 a barrel. Things have changed and he advised the Council to practice the most rigid economy.

Mr. J. C. Hartley, the clerk, read the minutes of the June session.

Approved.

Mr. Chas. Comben, the auditor, asked that the auditor's report be deferred till Wednesday.

Warden Melville—The request is granted.

Moved by Coun. Bell, seconded by Coun. Stevens, and carried unanimously.

Whereas—It has pleased the Almighty to remove from our midst by death our esteemed friend and fellow councillor, F. S. Wilnot Watson, who for some years has occupied a place in our midst maintaining under all circumstances a character untarnished and a reputation above reproach; therefore

Resolved—That in the death of Mr. Watson we have sustained the loss of a friend whose fellowship it was an honor and pleasure to enjoy; that we bear willing testimony to his many virtues, to his unquestioned honor and stainless life and that we offer to his bereaved family and mourning friends our heartfelt sympathy.

Resolved—That a copy of these resolutions be presented to the family of our deceased friend.

Further Resolved—That a copy of these resolutions be spread upon the minutes.

Coun. Bell—These resolutions express my views and I can add nothing of my own.

Coun. Stevens—I regret to be obliged to second the resolution. The death of Mr. Watson is a distinct loss to the Council, to the family and to the parish of Richmond. The parish has a faculty of electing good men, but these men do not remain with us long. Recently we had to part with the late Coun. Alex. Bell, as well as Mr. Watson, both good county councillors. I wish to congratulate the young man, Coun. Dalling, who I see Mr. Watson's place, and I am sure he will be a worthy successor.

Mr. Hartley—Since last session I was called upon by the warden to issue a warrant for an election in the parish of Richmond, which I did, and the parish clerk reported to me that the result was the election of Kenneth Dalling for the parish of Richmond in succession to the late Mr. Watson.

Moved by Coun. Fulkerson, that we adjourn until 2 o'clock.

At the opening of the session Warden Melville had a letter from the town clerk of Hartland stating that James S. Faulkner was appointed as the representative of that town at the Council Board.

Approved.

The building committee, through Coun. Stevens, reported:  
Expenditures on Court House—\$950.89  
Expenditures on Jail—\$20.47  
Expenditures on Record Office—\$45.34

\$2,240.08  
This report was signed by Couns. Stevens, Clark and Fulkerson, and all items were fully explained by Coun. Stevens.

Moved by Coun. Stevens, seconded by Coun. Bell, that the report be received.

Carried.

Coun. H. P. Carvell requested that the poor house committee be allowed to report tomorrow.

Request granted.

Couns. Bell, Gallagher and Carvell spoke of the improvement made in the poor house property.

Moved by Coun. Gallagher, seconded by Coun. Bell, that the Council as a whole visit the poor farm at 10 o'clock on Thursday morning.

Carried.

Coun. Anderson, chairman of the monument committee, presented a letter received by Coun. Gallagher from Mr. Tarbox which was read by Mr. Hartley. The substance of it was that he could complete the monument, but he must have more money.

Couns. Anderson and Gallagher told of their visit to Mr. Tarbox's home in connection with the monument.

Coun. Anderson—We expect to have Mr. Tarbox here tomorrow. He wants about \$1,800.00 more money.

Coun. Gallagher—He suggests that the name be placed on a bronze panel, instead of being cut in the stone. Personally I don't like the change.

Coun. Miller—From the letter he seems to change the monument over to suit himself, not to suit us. Bronze panels would not wear as good as if cut in stone.

Coun. Smith thought we should cut him out altogether.

Coun. Gallagher—We have his contract and he is a reliable man. Let us wait until we hear from Mr. Tarbox personally.

Warden Melville—If the price had gone down he would be perfectly willing to complete his contract.

Coun. Flemming—Coun. Morgan, Coun. Perry and myself were a committee on revisors. Coun. Morgan is not representing Hartland at this session. That councillor secured a lot of information. In 1900 the valuation of the different parishes amounted to \$5,420,000, and the town \$1,035,000. At the present time the town of Woodstock has a valuation of over \$4,000,000.

Solicitor Hartley said it was necessary to appoint three revisors. They got their information from the assessors.

Coun. Stevens—The system is all wrong. It is human nature to escape taxation. They are all alike in the matter of dollars and cents. All assessors had their valuation down too low. When valuations came to inspect his parish, the general rule is to take them to the poorest section of the parish. Every parish wishes to keep its assessment down. If you get three uninterested men to canvass the whole country you will get a good valuation. If not you will only waste your money.

Coun. Perry—We want a good valuation. When the last valuation was made, Brighton had only a few shacks at the mouth of the Beagunawsc river. Now a town, Hartland, has grown up and it is getting by with old valuation taken 20 years ago. It does not matter whether taken 20 years ago. It does not matter whether it is a full valuation or the-thirds valuation, provided all the parishes are used the same.

Coun. Keith—Considering the assessment for political purposes, the county is better off because we did not have a valuation taken when we should have done so. We have the men who can take the valuation correctly, and I am in favor of appointing valuers at once.

Coun. Tompkins—I live in East Florenceville unfortunately and the village is taxed up high. The farms are not half taxed. I know farms valued at \$15,000 that are paying less than a small business block in the village. The Bank of Nova Scotia for instance is paying more than the say four farms in East Florenceville district.

Coun. Miller—I know a corporation in my parish that owns 29,000 acres of land, that is taxed only 33 1/2 cents an acre, while farms are paying \$1.00 an acre. I have fought against this inequality but without much success.

Mr. Hamdy—The valuers are simply valuers for the eleven parishes and the two towns, Woodstock and Hartland, to say how much is to be assessed, so far as the parishes are concerned, for the county assessment and the school fund, having an equality is between parishes.

Coun. Bell—The valuers get what information they can from the different assessors and then they can use their own heads as to valuation. My parish should not pay one-sixth of the county assessment. If the new revisors be appointed say so, then I am satisfied.

Coun. Anderson—To my mind the valuers would have to inspect every farm to get things right.

Coun. Carvell—I am in favor of the valuation. No one ever heard me say that my parish is a poor parish. We were valued at \$620,000. If our valuation goes up, I am satisfied. Let us get good men. We have only to raise so much money. Let the valuers value at 100 per cent. If the value is set up, the rate goes down, and you do not have to pay any more taxes. The assessment of 100 per cent. is merely copies of old assessments. If a man's taxes increase, I can't see that his taxes go up very much.

Moved by Coun. Stevens, seconded by Coun. Bell, that the report be received.

Carried.

Coun. H. P. Carvell requested that the poor house committee be allowed to report tomorrow.

Request granted.

Couns. Bell, Gallagher and Carvell spoke of the improvement made in the poor house property.

Moved by Coun. Gallagher, seconded by Coun. Bell, that the Council as a whole visit the poor farm at 10 o'clock on Thursday morning.

Carried.

Coun. Anderson, chairman of the monument committee, presented a letter received by Coun. Gallagher from Mr. Tarbox which was read by Mr. Hartley. The substance of it was that he could complete the monument, but he must have more money.

Couns. Anderson and Gallagher told of their visit to Mr. Tarbox's home in connection with the monument.

Coun. Anderson—We expect to have Mr. Tarbox here tomorrow. He wants about \$1,800.00 more money.

Coun. Gallagher—He suggests that the name be placed on a bronze panel, instead of being cut in the stone. Personally I don't like the change.

Coun. Miller—From the letter he seems to change the monument over to suit himself, not to suit us. Bronze panels would not wear as good as if cut in stone.

Coun. Smith thought we should cut him out altogether.

Coun. Gallagher—We have his contract and he is a reliable man. Let us wait until we hear from Mr. Tarbox personally.

Warden Melville—If the price had gone down he would be perfectly willing to complete his contract.

Coun. Flemming—Coun. Morgan, Coun. Perry and myself were a committee on revisors. Coun. Morgan is not representing Hartland at this session. That councillor secured a lot of information. In 1900 the valuation of the different parishes amounted to \$5,420,000, and the town \$1,035,000. At the present time the town of Woodstock has a valuation of over \$4,000,000.

Solicitor Hartley said it was necessary to appoint three revisors. They got their information from the assessors.

Coun. Stevens—The system is all wrong. It is human nature to escape taxation. They are all alike in the matter of dollars and cents. All assessors had their valuation down too low. When valuations came to inspect his parish, the general rule is to take them to the poorest section of the parish. Every parish wishes to keep its assessment down. If you get three uninterested men to canvass the whole country you will get a good valuation. If not you will only waste your money.

Coun. Perry—We want a good valuation. When the last valuation was made, Brighton had only a few shacks at the mouth of the Beagunawsc river. Now a town, Hartland, has grown up and it is getting by with old valuation taken 20 years ago. It does not matter whether taken 20 years ago. It does not matter whether it is a full valuation or the-thirds valuation, provided all the parishes are used the same.

Coun. Keith—Considering the assessment for political purposes, the county is better off because we did not have a valuation taken when we should have done so. We have the men who can take the valuation correctly, and I am in favor of appointing valuers at once.

Coun. Tompkins—I live in East Florenceville unfortunately and the village is taxed up high. The farms are not half taxed. I know farms valued at \$15,000 that are paying less than a small business block in the village. The Bank of Nova Scotia for instance is paying more than the say four farms in East Florenceville district.

Coun. Miller—I know a corporation in my parish that owns 29,000 acres of land, that is taxed only 33 1/2 cents an acre, while farms are paying \$1.00 an acre. I have fought against this inequality but without much success.

Mr. Hamdy—The valuers are simply valuers for the eleven parishes and the two towns, Woodstock and Hartland, to say how much is to be assessed, so far as the parishes are concerned, for the county assessment and the school fund, having an equality is between parishes.

Coun. Bell—The valuers get what information they can from the different assessors and then they can use their own heads as to valuation. My parish should not pay one-sixth of the county assessment. If the new revisors be appointed say so, then I am satisfied.

Coun. Anderson—To my mind the valuers would have to inspect every farm to get things right.

Coun. Carvell—I am in favor of the valuation. No one ever heard me say that my parish is a poor parish. We were valued at \$620,000. If our valuation goes up, I am satisfied. Let us get good men. We have only to raise so much money. Let the valuers value at 100 per cent. If the value is set up, the rate goes down, and you do not have to pay any more taxes. The assessment of 100 per cent. is merely copies of old assessments. If a man's taxes increase, I can't see that his taxes go up very much.

Moved by Coun. Stevens, seconded by Coun. Bell, that the report be received.

Carried.

Coun. H. P. Carvell requested that the poor house committee be allowed to report tomorrow.

Request granted.

Couns. Bell, Gallagher and Carvell spoke of the improvement made in the poor house property.

Moved by Coun. Gallagher, seconded by Coun. Bell, that the Council as a whole visit the poor farm at 10 o'clock on Thursday morning.

Carried.

Coun. Anderson, chairman of the monument committee, presented a letter received by Coun. Gallagher from Mr. Tarbox which was read by Mr. Hartley. The substance of it was that he could complete the monument, but he must have more money.

Couns. Anderson and Gallagher told of their visit to Mr. Tarbox's home in connection with the monument.

Coun. Anderson—We expect to have Mr. Tarbox here tomorrow. He wants about \$1,800.00 more money.

Coun. Gallagher—He suggests that the name be placed on a bronze panel, instead of being cut in the stone. Personally I don't like the change.

Coun. Miller—From the letter he seems to change the monument over to suit himself, not to suit us. Bronze panels would not wear as good as if cut in stone.

Coun. Smith thought we should cut him out altogether.

Coun. Gallagher—We have his contract and he is a reliable man. Let us wait until we hear from Mr. Tarbox personally.

Warden Melville—If the price had gone down he would be perfectly willing to complete his contract.

Coun. Flemming—Coun. Morgan, Coun. Perry and myself were a committee on revisors. Coun. Morgan is not representing Hartland at this session. That councillor secured a lot of information. In 1900 the valuation of the different parishes amounted to \$5,420,000, and the town \$1,035,000. At the present time the town of Woodstock has a valuation of over \$4,000,000.

Solicitor Hartley said it was necessary to appoint three revisors. They got their information from the assessors.

Coun. Stevens—The system is all wrong. It is human nature to escape taxation. They are all alike in the matter of dollars and cents. All assessors had their valuation down too low. When valuations came to inspect his parish, the general rule is to take them to the poorest section of the parish. Every parish wishes to keep its assessment down. If you get three uninterested men to canvass the whole country you will get a good valuation. If not you will only waste your money.

Coun. Perry—We want a good valuation. When the last valuation was made, Brighton had only a few shacks at the mouth of the Beagunawsc river. Now a town, Hartland, has grown up and it is getting by with old valuation taken 20 years ago. It does not matter whether taken 20 years ago. It does not matter whether it is a full valuation or the-thirds valuation, provided all the parishes are used the same.

Coun. Keith—Considering the assessment for political purposes, the county is better off because we did not have a valuation taken when we should have done so. We have the men who can take the valuation correctly, and I am in favor of appointing valuers at once.

Coun. Tompkins—I live in East Florenceville unfortunately and the village is taxed up high. The farms are not half taxed. I know farms valued at \$15,000 that are paying less than a small business block in the village. The Bank of Nova Scotia for instance is paying more than the say four farms in East Florenceville district.

Coun. Miller—I know a corporation in my parish that owns 29,000 acres of land, that is taxed only 33 1/2 cents an acre, while farms are paying \$1.00 an acre. I have fought against this inequality but without much success.

Mr. Hamdy—The valuers are simply valuers for the eleven parishes and the two towns, Woodstock and Hartland, to say how much is to be assessed, so far as the parishes are concerned, for the county assessment and the school fund, having an equality is between parishes.

Coun. Bell—The valuers get what information they can from the different assessors and then they can use their own heads as to valuation. My parish should not pay one-sixth of the county assessment. If the new revisors be appointed say so, then I am satisfied.

Coun. Anderson—To my mind the valuers would have to inspect every farm to get things right.

Coun. Carvell—I am in favor of the valuation. No one ever heard me say that my parish is a poor parish. We were valued at \$620,000. If our valuation goes up, I am satisfied. Let us get good men. We have only to raise so much money. Let the valuers value at 100 per cent. If the value is set up, the rate goes down, and you do not have to pay any more taxes. The assessment of 100 per cent. is merely copies of old assessments. If a man's taxes increase, I can't see that his taxes go up very much.

Moved by Coun. Stevens, seconded by Coun. Bell, that the report be received.

Carried.

Coun. H. P. Carvell requested that the poor house committee be allowed to report tomorrow.

Request granted.

Couns. Bell, Gallagher and Carvell spoke of the improvement made in the poor house property.

Moved by Coun. Gallagher, seconded by Coun. Bell, that the Council as a whole visit the poor farm at 10 o'clock on Thursday morning.

Carried.

Coun. Anderson, chairman of the monument committee, presented a letter received by Coun. Gallagher from Mr. Tarbox which was read by Mr. Hartley. The substance of it was that he could complete the monument, but he must have more money.

Couns. Anderson and Gallagher told of their visit to Mr. Tarbox's home in connection with the monument.

Coun. Anderson—We expect to have Mr. Tarbox here tomorrow. He wants about \$1,800.00 more money.

Coun. Gallagher—He suggests that the name be placed on a bronze panel, instead of being cut in the stone. Personally I don't like the change.

Coun. Miller—From the letter he seems to change the monument over to suit himself, not to suit us. Bronze panels would not wear as good as if cut in stone.

Coun. Smith thought we should cut him out altogether.

Coun. Gallagher—We have his contract and he is a reliable man. Let us wait until we hear from Mr. Tarbox personally.

Warden Melville—If the price had gone down he would be perfectly willing to complete his contract.

Coun. Flemming—Coun. Morgan, Coun. Perry and myself were a committee on revisors. Coun. Morgan is not representing Hartland at this session. That councillor secured a lot of information. In 1900 the valuation of the different parishes amounted to \$5,420,000, and the town \$1,035,000. At the present time the town of Woodstock has a valuation of over \$4,000,000.

Solicitor Hartley said it was necessary to appoint three revisors. They got their information from the assessors.

Coun. Stevens—The system is all wrong. It is human nature to escape taxation. They are all alike in the matter of dollars and cents. All assessors had their valuation down too low. When valuations came to inspect his parish, the general rule is to take them to the poorest section of the parish. Every parish wishes to keep its assessment down. If you get three uninterested men to canvass the whole country you will get a good valuation. If not you will only waste your money.

Coun. Perry—We want a good valuation. When the last valuation was made, Brighton had only a few shacks at the mouth of the Beagunawsc river. Now a town, Hartland, has grown up and it is getting by with old valuation taken 20 years ago. It does not matter whether taken 20 years ago. It does not matter whether it is a full valuation or the-thirds valuation, provided all the parishes are used the same.

Coun. Keith—Considering the assessment for political purposes, the county is better off because we did not have a valuation taken when we should have done so. We have the men who can take the valuation correctly, and I am in favor of appointing valuers at once.

Coun. Tompkins—I live in East Florenceville unfortunately and the village is taxed up high. The farms are not half taxed. I know farms valued at \$15,000 that are paying less than a small business block in the village. The Bank of Nova Scotia for instance is paying more than the say four farms in East Florenceville district.

Coun. Miller—I know a corporation in my parish that owns 29,000 acres of land, that is taxed only 33 1/2 cents an acre, while farms are paying \$1.00 an acre. I have fought against this inequality but without much success.

Mr. Hamdy—The valuers are simply valuers for the eleven parishes and the two towns, Woodstock and Hartland, to say how much is to be assessed, so far as the parishes are concerned, for the county assessment and the school fund, having an equality is between parishes.

Coun. Bell—The valuers get what information they can from the different assessors and then they can use their own heads as to valuation. My parish should not pay one-sixth of the county assessment. If the new revisors be appointed say so, then I am satisfied.

Coun. Anderson—To my mind the valuers would have to inspect every farm to get things right.

Coun. Carvell—I am in favor of the valuation. No one ever heard me say that my parish is a poor parish. We were valued at \$620,000. If our valuation goes up, I am satisfied. Let us get good men. We have only to raise so much money. Let the valuers value at 100 per cent. If the value is set up, the rate goes down, and you do not have to pay any more taxes. The assessment of 100 per cent. is merely copies of old assessments. If a man's taxes increase, I can't see that his taxes go up very much.

Moved by Coun. Stevens, seconded by Coun. Bell, that the report be received.

Carried.

Coun. H. P. Carvell requested that the poor house committee be allowed to report tomorrow.

Request granted.

Couns. Bell, Gallagher and Carvell spoke of the improvement made in the poor house property.

Moved by Coun. Gallagher, seconded by Coun. Bell, that the Council as a whole visit the poor farm at 10 o'clock on Thursday morning.

Carried.

Coun. Anderson, chairman of the monument committee, presented a letter received by Coun. Gallagher from Mr. Tarbox which was read by Mr. Hartley. The substance of it was that he could complete the monument, but he must have more money.

Couns. Anderson and Gallagher told of their visit to Mr. Tarbox's home in connection with the monument.

Coun. Anderson—We expect to have Mr. Tarbox here tomorrow. He wants about \$1,800.00 more money.

Coun. Gallagher—He suggests that the name be placed on a bronze panel, instead of being cut in the stone. Personally I don't like the change.

Coun. Miller—From the letter he seems to change the monument over to suit himself, not to suit us. Bronze panels would not wear as good as if cut in stone.

Coun. Smith thought we should cut him out altogether.

Coun. Gallagher—We have his contract and he is a reliable man. Let us wait until we hear from Mr. Tarbox personally.

Warden Melville—If the price had gone down he would be perfectly willing to complete his contract.

Coun. Flemming—Coun. Morgan, Coun. Perry and myself were a committee on revisors. Coun. Morgan is not representing Hartland at this session. That councillor secured a lot of information. In 1900 the valuation of the different parishes amounted to \$5,420,000, and the town \$1,035,000. At the present time the town of Woodstock has a valuation of over \$4,000,000.

Solicitor Hartley said it was necessary to appoint three revisors. They got their information from the assessors.

Coun. Stevens—The system is all wrong. It is human nature to escape taxation. They are all alike in the matter of dollars and cents. All assessors had their valuation down too low. When valuations came to inspect his parish, the general rule is to take them to the poorest section of the parish. Every parish wishes to keep its assessment down. If you get three uninterested men to canvass the whole country you will get a good valuation. If not you will only waste your money.

Coun. Perry—We want a good valuation. When the last valuation was made, Brighton had only a few shacks at the mouth of the Beagunawsc river. Now a town, Hartland, has grown up and it is getting by with old valuation taken 20 years ago. It does not matter whether taken 20 years ago. It does not matter whether it is a full valuation or the-thirds valuation, provided all the parishes are used the same.

Coun. Keith—Considering the assessment for political purposes, the county is better off because we did not have a valuation taken when we should have done so. We have the men who can take the valuation correctly, and I am in favor of appointing valuers at once.

Coun. Tompkins—I live in East Florenceville unfortunately and the village is taxed up high. The farms are not half taxed. I know farms valued at \$15,000 that are paying less than a small business block in the village. The Bank of Nova Scotia for instance is paying more than the say four farms in East Florenceville district.

Coun. Miller—I know a corporation in my parish that owns 29,000 acres of land, that is taxed only 33 1/2 cents an acre, while farms are paying \$1.00 an acre. I have fought against this inequality but without much success.

Mr. Hamdy—The valuers are simply valuers for the eleven parishes and the two towns, Woodstock and Hartland, to say how much is to be assessed, so far as the parishes are concerned, for the county assessment and the school fund, having an equality is between parishes.

Coun. Bell—The valuers get what information they can from the different assessors and then they can use their own heads as to valuation. My parish should not pay one-sixth of the county assessment. If the new revisors be appointed say so, then I am satisfied.

Coun. Anderson—To my mind the valuers would have to inspect every farm to get things right.

Coun. Carvell—I am in favor of the valuation. No one ever heard me say that my parish is a poor parish. We were valued at \$620,000. If our valuation goes up, I am satisfied. Let us get good men. We have only to raise so much money. Let the valuers value at 100 per cent. If the value is set up, the rate goes down, and you do not have to pay any more taxes. The assessment of 100 per cent. is merely copies of old assessments. If a man's taxes increase, I can't see that his taxes go up very much.

Hunter ..... 3  
Seely ..... 2  
Messrs. Leighton and Giberson were elected. Gibson was disqualified as Leighton had been elected for Woodstock. The vote was again taken on the names of McDougall and Morgan, the next two highest men, with the following result:

Morgan ..... 14  
McDougall ..... 9  
Messrs. Leighton, Giberson and Morgan were declared elected as revisors.

As the Council had to visit the poor farm in a body tomorrow morning, and as the Driving Club had asked the Councillors who cared to be their guests at the horse races on Council Park from 2 to 3 o'clock in the afternoon, the Council adjourned to meet at three o'clock on Thursday afternoon.

Council resumed business at 2 o'clock, although adjournment was made yesterday until 3 o'clock to allow councillors who desired to do so to attend the horse races; these had been postponed, owing to the storm.

Warden Melville presided.  
Coun. Flemming, chairman on bills and accounts, made his report.

Coun. Flemming—I just wish to remark that in the bills of Sheriff Foster, a large amount of the bills was money paid out by the sheriff; the smaller amounts are the pay for the sheriff. Sometimes Sheriff Foster has to lay out of his money 6 months, but he had made no complaint and we have no recommendation to make along that line.

Report accepted.

&lt;