over one hundred and fourteen millions of bushels.

Against an export of less than six hundred thousand barrels of flour from Canada in 1847, (her

excess over imports,) "c exported nearly four and a half millions of berrls; and against an export of one hundred thousand bushels of wheat from Canada, (excess over imports,) we exported nearly four millions four hundred thousand bushels. In the same year we exported twenty million bushels of Indian corn and meal, while she exported none. The idea that a million and a half of people, about half the population of New York, with a soil far less favorable to the growth of wheat than our own, can successfully compete with us either in the foreign or the domestic market, and injuriously affect production with us, with twenty millions of people, seems to me a very idle apprehension. It has been stated, on high authority, that the entire trade of the British North American colonies, with three millions of people, does not equal that of Connecticut, with only three hundred thousand inhabitants. The more numerous, active, and enterprising must always have the advantage in exchanging on equal terms. The very fact that we send into New Brunswick every year at least one hundred thousand barrels of flour, and probably as large an amount into Nova Scotia, seems to indicate that we might enter into successful competition with Upper in supplying Lower Canada, if all duties were to be removed. At least our surpluses will, to some extent, meet there.

Looking to the wheat culture alone, therefore, I should have no fears. But if we consider the subject in connection with the export of cattle, corn, salted meats, and other articles, there can be no reasonable ground to apprehend that we shall be losers. We must be gainers. Large quantities of cattle and corn are now exported to Canada, with a specific duty, equal to about twenty per cent. against them. We sent into Canada in 1847 thirteen thousand barrels of pork, and in 1847 about the same quantity, with a specific duty of one dollar and twenty eents the cwt. against us. The removal of these duties cannot but have a most decided influence in increasing the traffic of the northwestern States with Canada.

It has been suggested that the proposed measure, by removing the duties on the enumerated products, will destroy the protection which those luties secure to our agricultural industry. The answer to this suggestion is, that the proposed arrrangement is founded upon a mutual abolition of duties, and that the protection extended to like articles of the production of Canada will also be removed. There can be no necessity of protecting our products against Canada, when she ceases to protect her

products against us. But the measure will, in truth, be of infinite advantage to our agriculture. Canada sends few products to us; we send many to her. We produce corn, which she needs, and which she cannot raise in sufficient quantity for her own consumption. Her winters are longer than ours; and, as the expense of keeping cattle from autumn to spring is greater, she will always rely on us for her supplies, both for the slaughterhouse and for farming purposes. There is now a duty of \$4 40 a head on cows, and seven dollars a head on oxen, on importation into Canada. The removal of these duties will be a great advantage to us. In short, under all its aspects, this measure will, on examination, be admitted to be of infinite benefit to our agriculture. It will, in most cases, remove duties on our products, which operate as a direct discouragement to their exportation, while the removal of the duties on the like articles of the production of Canada cannot affect us, as those duties are chiefly on products which will not come into competition with ours, and are therefore not protective. In a word, I can fancy no measure more likely to be beneficial to our agriculture than this. The highest species of protection to industry is that which opens new markets for its products. In this point of view this measure is eminently protective; it is just, legitimate, effective protection; and if gentlemen desire (as I have no doubt they do) to advance the agricultural interests of the country, they ought to sustain it.

Let me now state a few further statistical facts to the Senate, for the purpose of showing how little influence any increased interchange of products with Canada under this bill is likely to have on our aggregate exchanges with foreign countries.

The duties on merchandise collected in all the inland frontier districts, commencing at Burlington, on Lake Champlain, and terminating at Chicago, on Lake Michigan, are as follows:

on wante miningan, are as tonows.	
For 1845\$57,818	55
For 1846	30
For 1847 66,019 8	30
Making an average of \$62 555 71 per annum	Co

Making an average of \$63,555 71 per annum for the three years.

Estimating the rate of duty at 33½ per cent., the whole value of the articles imported from Canada into the United States, and paying duty at the custom-houses, averages \$190,667 13 per annum. A portion of the duties was, in all probability, refunded in 1847 under the law allowing a drawback on reëxportation of the articles on which the duties were paid. I learn that the amount of goods entered at Buffalo and Oswego for the benefit of drawback was greatly increased during the last year, as the returns, when we receive them, will

over

e ini-

tates.

2,000

heat.

itain,

inces,

tand-

a surd that
ed by
streal,
Cand imstimasels of
sshels
se ex-

ce of

s less

y not years. high, iminorting statis-' 1847 838 to 00 to inclu-

It is
ferent
te ex, and
from
end to
and I
dance
year,
els of

critish
year,
es less
ent, we
ceach
e than
e coneach
f high
cread-

1847 was