

ted, and at long distances from Courts and Magistrates, in consequence of misunderstandings arising from promises of land, which is held for the period of settlement duties, often on verbal or implied grounds, and even more regularly on a mere location ticket, on conditions to be performed, and afterwards decided upon by the granter, and which location ticket, not being regularly passed before a Notary, is not legally enregistered, and may be contested on various grounds. The new value given to the land by the labour of the settler, becomes also a new motive to contest the promise.

The law on this subject ought to undergo a revision, the rights of all parties be better established, and regulated by known forms.

With respect to the case of the Suitors, Lane had deeds of the land they claimed; and their Council not having brought the question before the Court on the late trial, there is a strong presumption that he could not establish their right, since it was a fair subject of reference to the Jury. Under these circumstances, we must close all discussion in this paper on the subject, but we trust that nothing to the prejudice of either party will be said in other newspapers."

Thus we have endeavoured to give a view of both sides of the question, and with the Editor of the Gazette, will drop further discussion on the subject, leaving it to the public to form their own opinions on the question.

It will be observed that some irregularity exists in the spelling of the name of these individuals. *Suiter*, it appears, from their own signatures, is the proper orthography of the name, while during the whole course of the discussion, trial, &c. it was written *Shuter*.

Quebec, 5th April, 1834.