

NAYS
Messrs.

Anderson	Flynn	MacEachen
Andras	Foster	MacFarlane
(Port Arthur)	Fox	MacGuigan
Basford	Francis	Mackasey
Beaudoin	Gauthier	Maine
Béchar	(Roberval)	Marchand
Bégin (Miss)	Gauthier	(Langelier)
Benjamin	(Ottawa-Vanier)	Marchand
Blackburn	Gillespie	(Kamloops-Cariboo)
Blais	Goodale	Martin
Blouin	Gray	McIsaac
Boulanger	Guay	McRae
Bussièrès	(St. Boniface)	Milne
Caccia	Guilbault	Munro
Cafik	Harquail	(Hamilton East)
Campagnolo (Mrs.)	Herbert	Nicholson (Miss)
Campbell (Miss)	Isabelle	Nystrom
(South Western Nova)	Knowles	Orlikow
Campbell	(Winnipeg	Pearsall
(LaSalle-Émard-Côte	North Centre)	Pelletier
Saint-Paul)	Lachance	Penner
Caouette	Lajoie	Peters
(Villeneuve)	Lalonde	Philbrook
Caron	Lang	Poulin
Clermont	Langlois	Prud'homme
Collenette	Laniel	Railton
Comtois	Lapointe	Reid
Condon	Leblanc	Richardson
Cullen	(Laurier)	Rodriguez
Cyr	LeBlanc	Roy
Demers	(Westmorland-Kent)	(Laval)
Douglas	Lefebvre	Sauvé (Mrs.)
(Bruce-Grey)	Leggatt	Sharp
Douglas	Lessard	Smith
(Nanaimo-Cowichan-	Lumley	(Saint-Jean)
The Islands)	MacDonald	Symes
Drury	(Cardigan)	Trudeau
Dupras	MacDonald	Turner
Duquet	(Egmont)	Whelan
Ethier	Macdonald	Yanakis
Faulkner	(Rosedale)	Young—100.

● (1830)

Mr. Speaker: I therefore declare motion No. 11 lost.
Motion No. 11 (Mr. Wenman) negated.

Mr. Speaker: In earlier discussion it was understood that motion No. 10 was consequential upon any one of motions Nos. 7, 8, or 9. I take it that those motions having all been lost, there is no necessity for a division motion No. 10. Is it agreed?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.
Motion No. 10 (Mr. Friesen) negated.

Mr. Speaker: There has been some suggestion that the House adjourn until 8:30 instead of eight o'clock. Is that agreed?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Baldwin: Mr. Speaker, some hon. members would like to reflect on what they have done.

Some hon. Members: Hear,hear!

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

Mr. Speaker: By order of the House I therefore leave the chair until 8:30 later this day.

At 6:34 p.m. the House took recess.

Non-Canadian Publications
AFTER RECESS

The House resumed at 8:30 p.m.

Mr. Blais: On a point of order, Madam Speaker, with reference to motion No. 5, the hon. member for Vancouver-Kingsway (Mrs. Holt) indicated to me before dinner that she did not intend to proceed with that motion. I have passed this information on to the hon. member for Surrey-White Rock and we should therefore proceed with motion No. 6.

The Acting Speaker (Mrs. Morin): Is there unanimous consent that Motion No. 5, be withdrawn?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Motion No. 5 (Mrs. Holt) withdrawn.

Mr. Benno Friesen (Surrey-White Rock) moved Motion No. 6:

That Bill C-58, an act to amend the Income Tax Act, be amended by deleting clause 2.

He said: Madam Speaker, the amendment I have submitted covers a small and seemingly innocuous provision in Bill C-58, but one which actually has subtle and far-reaching implications.

I would begin by referring hon. members to the record of the meetings of the Committee on Broadcasting, Films and Assistance to the Arts at page 31:20. The Secretary of State (Mr. Faulkner) was appearing before the committee and I should like to read the statement he made on December 4, 1975. He was answering a question put to him by the hon. member for Champlain (Mr. Matte).

If you take a look at what the Canada Council is doing in terms of supporting book publishing and supporting publishing in the literary field, in the social sciences and the humanities, in the learned journals, plus some of the things we are doing in the Department of the Secretary of State, you will see that collectively constitutes the elements of the cultural policies and the objectives that those policies are designed to serve.

I could provide that for you, I just do not have it here, but I have it in that form in my office. I would be pleased to let you have it if the committee were interested. In fact, I would frankly welcome a discussion by the members of the committee on that broader subject, because I often find that people do not fully understand what we are doing. That is obviously not correct.

This scares me. I think it is not only the prerogative but the responsibility of the Secretary of State to provide cultural facilities to the people of Canada. But when the Secretary of State begins talking about providing a cultural policy for the people of Canada I become frightened, because as I page through the history books I find stories of other nations which put forward cultural policies for their people and which came to a sad end. I invite the government to disassociate itself from any plan which proposes a cultural policy for the people of Canada—Cultural facilities, yes; a cultural policy, no!

I would therefore like to begin my speech this evening by offering some background information which I believe is pertinent to the discussion in which we are engaged. When we talk about cultural values we are, ultimately, talking about a conflict between the new and the old, between revolutionary and traditional values. There is a very delicate balance between the two, and I submit the