CONCLUSIONS

Your Subcommittee found that it was extremely difficult to stay within the terms of reference when examining travel expenses. In some instances the travel expenses were well within the budget and reasonable. The dilemma we were faced with however, was the question in our mind as to whether the trip was, in fact, necessary in the first place; whether it was necessary to send that number of personnel and whether on site personnel could, and should have been used, rather than sending an individual to an 'on the spot' location. We recognize that we strayed from the terms of reference in commenting on the numbers of people sent to Hollywood on the purchase of films. In this paragraph we are also straying somewhat from our terms of reference but it seemed to your Subcommittee that where a cameraman was in fact on site, let us say in Winnipeg, was it necessary to send a cameraman from Toronto.

Notwithstanding the narrow limits of the terms of reference it is the considered opinion of your Subcommittee that this has been a useful enterprise and that it should be undertaken in approximately one year's time with the Auditor General again examining a group of claims to determine if the recommendations of your Subcommittee have been followed.

A copy of the relevant Minutes of Proceedings and Evidence (Issue No. 6 of the previous session) is returned and a copy of the relevant Minutes of Proceedings and Evidence (Issues Nos. 1, 2, 3, 6, 7 and 10 of the present session) is tabled.

(The Minutes of Proceedings and Evidence accompanying the said Report recorded as Appendix No. 21 to the Journals.)

The House resumed debate on the motion of Mr. Drury for Mr. Trudeau, seconded by Mr. MacEachen,—That Bill C-207, An Act respecting the organization of the Government of Canada and matters related or incidental thereto, be now read a second time and referred to a Committee of the Whole.

And debate continuing;

[At 5.00 o'clock p.m., Private Members' Business was called pursuant to Standing Order 15(4)]

[Notices of Motions (Papers)]

Item numbered 24 was allowed to stand and retain its position at the request of the government.

By unanimous consent, items numbered 122 to 129 inclusive standing in the name of the honourable Member for Vancouver-Kingsway (Mrs. MacInnis) were withdrawn. Mrs. MacInnis, seconded by Mr. Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre), moved,—That an Order of the House do issue for a copy of the study undertaken by the University of British Columbia in the fiscal year 1969-70 funded by the Department of National Health and Welfare on "Social and Medical Factors of Women Attending Vancouver Family Planning Clinic and a Group of Women not Attending a Family Planning Clinic in two areas of the City of Vancouver".—(Notice of Motion for the Production of Papers No. 130).

And debate arising thereon;

The hour for Private Members' Business expired.

Debate was resumed on the motion of Mr. Drury for Mr. Trudeau, seconded by Mr. MacEachen,—That Bill C-207, An Act respecting the organization of the Government of Canada and matters related or incidental thereto, be now read a second time and referred to a Committee of the Whole.

And debate continuing;

Ordered,—That a division on second reading of the said bill, if demanded, shall be deferred until the first order of government business is called on Monday next.

(Proceedings on Adjournment Motion)

At 10.05 o'clock p.m., the question "That this House do now adjourn" was deemed to have been proposed pursuant to Standing Order 40(1);

After debate the said question was deemed to have been adopted.

Changes in Committee Membership

Notice having been filed with the Clerk of the House pursuant to Standing Order 65(4)(b), membership of Committees was amended as follows:

Mr. Thomson (Battleford-Kindersley) for Mr. Barnett on the Standing Committee on Health, Welfare and Social Affairs.

Messrs. Korchinski, Mazankowski, Moore, Stewart (Marquette) and Southam for Messrs. McKinley, Grills, Alkenbrack, Noble and Murta on the Standing Committee on Agriculture.