

the zoological gardens trying to catch hold of their tails thereby show a desire to pull them off and transform themselves into men." From which of the evolutionists did you get "his thought? I should have considered it more likely that, looking at the great use of the tail in enabling our ancestors to climb, that a sensible monkey would have preferred to retain it.

However, one great good arises from glancing at this arrant, downright nonsense of the evolutionist, in his plan of bringing man into existence. If the result of the keenest intellect dealing with this subject gives man so absurd an ancestral tree, then it most surely drives every sane mind with irresistible force to the only other alternative—that God in His goodness gave in the only authentic Work that deals with the question—the early chapters of Genesis.

Letter No. 2.

THE MISLED PUPIL.

You write to me with the deepest concern that your son, who before he went to the University gladly received instruction from you in the Bible, now, having been instructed in the so-called modern or Professor's view of this Book, ridicules its authenticity and accepts the infidel teaching there given. I sympathize with you very deeply in this matter. I regret to say that your case is by no means an uncommon one. My advice is, that in these cases the lad whose mind is being perverted should be at once removed from this influence, and should be quietly and lovingly encouraged to study some of the good anti-infidel teaching which now can be so easily procured. How many of our Professors are bringing