brunswickan, february 28, 1969 5

# more letters ..... Anarchists fear competitive society

#### Editor:

tempt to defy

on campus,

s disagree with

y believe that

iggers" because

we any say in

rs. I have no

emand so-called

er", than an ight to demand

d participate in

the directors of

m of violence is

chy and in turn

of democracy.

eedom as a way

ism as a way of

democracy as a

Peter Hunt

Mechanical 4

hich is very easy,

ht leader and the

. Then comes

ely, Columbia and

Villiams. The pity

New Brunswick's

his time, of being

ry behind the rest

nerica. We can see

ppened elsewhere, ld be able to profit

we won't, and how

on is such that

ld condemn it for

onary. Yes, we are

w the disintegration

ity in the next few

red brick buildings

be here but the

alls will have turned

d halls - to match

Anthony F. Mitchell

idents had

dream

**Business** 4

when the

nent.

low

It is with deep concern for the UNB students' public image that I write you now. The face of UNB has been plagued since last fall with the cancerous blight of a tiny group of anarchists which calls itself the CSDS. This group, which has only a few hard-core fellows, claims that the "system", the "establishment", the "administration", the "power elite", the "status that "society" is producing via universities and technical schools 'yes-men" who must fit into specific slots. If you listen longer they start telling you how perfectly they would dictate. This clique then spews

Mr. Editor. I speak with would like to ask them: What out Marxist doctrine.

quite closely since last fall. The only logical conclusion that one can come to is that these "people" are suffering from a fear of having to compete in this competitive society. THEY DON'T FEEL THAT THEY CAN COMPETE IN SOCIETY AS IT IS STRUCTURED SO THEY FEEL THAT THEY MUST CHANGE "THE SYSTEM" TO A FORM IN WHICH THEY COULD quo" "authority", etc., must DICTATE. I think their plans be overthrown. They complain for a so called "democratic for a so called "democratic society" are a manifestation of their inner senses of insecurity and a desire to opt out of our fast moving technological, competitive world.

As far as their argument about universities turning out "yes-men" to fill slots goes, I

some degree of authority as 1 then is your purpose in any have followed their actions system if it is not to assume some particular role within it? Their argument that the

"establishment", the "hierarchy", etc., etc., must go is also incoherent. In any society, be it communist or capitalist (democratic), there will always, of necessity, be a power structure, and human nature being what it is there will always be a few wheeler dealers within it. No, my dear CSDS'ers, there is no Utopia and in case you didn't know, there is no Santa Claus either. Our democratic system of government may have a few flaws in it but by damn we don't have to put up a fence (or even an "iron curtain") to keep people in Canada.

Richard F. Mann Science 4

Now Tom, when he feels

thirsty, goes to the horse-

trough in the square, and

drinks from the little cup that

Department of Philosophy

is chained to the wall."

Neil W. MacGill

## Unbreakable glasses better left on the shelf that would be strong enough.

## Editor

The fable is a form of propaganda to which your journal has been known to stoop. May I, therefore, offer you

this specimen? "Once upon a time there was a young man called Tom Murphy. Tom had inherited from his parents some very beautiful cut-glass wine-glasses which had been in the family for many centuries, and which had been used on innumerable occasions, both sad and happy. Over the years the Murphys had added to their collection, so that when Tom inherited it there were glasses for almost

every sort of wine. But Tom was very worried about his glasses, for he feared that they might not really be strong enough to stand up to continued use. His Czechoslovakian cousins, who knew a great deal about glass, tried to reassure him, but to no avail: after all, only the previous year they had lost all their glasses during a big Vodka

party. Tom grew so worried that one day he got out a large hammner from the tool chest.

He took one of the priceless glasses and placed it on the table; then he brought the hammer crashing down, and glass shattered into a the

thousand fragments. "You see", cried Tom, "It is brittle!"; and he quickly took glass after glass from the cupboard, searching for one

## If students miss, taxis won't

### Editor:

Protesting seems to be as much a part of our way of life as driving a car. Protesting and driving show many common qualities; both are useful and necessary; both can be dangerous. This letter is a protest about driving.

It should not be necessary to remind people that driving under certain conditions can be deadly. If there never has been a serious accident on the UNB matter. And, if the student campus, it is purely due to drivers don't get you, the taxis

Everyone is aware that the roads wind and undulate; that there are many blind spots; that the roads, for some unknown reason, are often icy and badly kept; and that there are frequently no sidewalks for pedestrians.

Driving at 20 M.P.H. under these conditions is one thing. However, driving at 60 M.P.H., at night, on ice and under the influence of alcohol is another

# Hope for council

Editor:

For those of you who have read Bob Hess's platform it appears to be 'very' interesting but is it democratic or is this what we want? One person after reading Hess's blurb (which came out Feb. 18/69) exclaimed, "Is it good or bad?" Well is it? How many students will stop and think about the implications of such a document? The SRC is becoming stagnant and 'dysfunctional' as someone stated at the last SRC meeting. The people on Council are human, believe it or not, and they also have the need to be motivated into constructive productivity.

example: if garbage goes into the system garbage will be produced – a fairly simple process! Council needs certain material-input of reasonable quality to make it work at some optimum peak.

Student disorders retard and, in fact, damage the beneficial growth of a body such as the SRC. It is forced to deal with issues centered around a minority group. Representation surely implies majority not minority. Granted the majority of the student body is usually apathetic but drastic alterations (of democratic hature) in Council structure could change this. It seems to me that the need to be creative plays an important role in everyone's life to a certain extent. The material-input on Council does not afford such a need-fulfilling outlet which I think is quite important. Council members as well as interested onlookers need certain goals made more obvious so that they may commit themselves to the objectives of these goals and produce beneficial results.

I think the SRC should remain in power (assuming that there are thoughts to the

contrary) and develop "the laughing stock of the whole campus" into a unit which is respected and a unit for which positions are fought (through campaigning of course) instead of having such apathetic minds which are truly paralizing to campus life.

Negative trends like Carnival losses and cases of people who don't think before they act do, in fact, retard benefits which could come from the creativity inherent in most people. The administrative body would be, of course, the Students Representative Council.

Why, for example, was a more stringent control not placed on the Winter Carnival Take the computer for Committee of mainly finances and program arrangement. A move like this could probably (indirectly) give students a sense of belonging by having Carnival attendance go from 50% to 80% like it should be. People need to be motivated into contributing their part of the whole.

Another example of the promotion of group-participation would be a debating session concerning anyone wishing to state views (pro or con) in matters of student concern. A Notice of Motion regarding the pertinent issue could be posted a week before a Council meeting and debate ensue, say, for an hour around lunch time in the SUB. Two or three people supporting the pros or cons of the issue could thrash it out for the time alloted. A moderator, say from Corona, could be appointed and the debaters arranged by the SRC executive through request of the person(s) wishing to take part in the debate.

Surely the above mentioned could be a start to active participation and representation in student government.

Don McLean

d just like to say to Robertson, Lawson d Geoff Green that if ere screwed they hemselves. When they ably demonstrated plete lack of ability ern student affairs the SRC, of what assistance can they be ning UNB as a whole n the Board of ors. They weren't they just had a wet

Doug Stanley Law 1 

pare for the

ing round up

24229999999999

