

NO. OF CLAIM.	NAME OF CLAIMANT, AND REMARKS.	AMOUNT CLAIMED.	AMOUNT AWARDED.
		£. s. d.	£. s. d.
111	Louis E. Hubert, of St. Antoine - - - - - This is a loyal Canadian, by whose means the body of the unfortunate Lieutenant Weir, 32d regiment, was discovered at St. Denis. His house was occupied by the troops on their second visit to St. Denis, and much damage sustained.	169 14 6	127 14 6
112	Alexis Pinet, of Varennes, Esq. - - - - - This is a loyal Canadian magistrate, who, in consequence of his opposing the projects of Amury Girod and other agitators last summer, was exposed to a series of injuries and persecutions, which caused him loss to the amount of 1,000 <i>l.</i> currency, although he has limited the claim to 500 <i>l.</i> The case is well made out, and the Commissioners consider him fairly entitled to the award in his favour.	500 - -	500 - -
113	François Benoit, of Longueuil. This case not being closed, it will be hereafter reported.		
114	Basile Vincelette, of Longueuil. The same as to this case.		
115	Cecile Chabot Tetu, of St. Hyacinthe. The same as to this case.		
116	Germain Guyon, of St. Hyacinthe. The same as to this case.		
117	J. J. Whitfield, of St. Césaire. The same as to this case.		
118	Augustin Grenier, of St. Denis - - - - - This case is well made out, and the loyalty of the claimant established. The loss was occasioned by Her Majesty's troops on the second visit of Colonel Gore in December last.	65 15 3	65 15 3
119	Dorothée Bissonette, of St. Charles - - - - - This case not having been made out in evidence to the satisfaction of the Commissioners, the same is dismissed.	34 17 5	
120	Louis Chicou Duvert, notary, of St. Charles - - - - - This is a claim in part for damages sustained by the injury done to the claimant's property by the Queen's forces, on the capture of St. Charles on the 25th November last. Part of the claim is also for a compensation for imprisonment in the gaol of Montreal. It does not appear that the claimant ever carried his views of political reform beyond constitutional bounds. He refused to concur in the resolutions drawn up by the special committee, to be submitted to the meeting of the five counties at St. Charles on the 23d October 1837, although he had previously accepted a nomination as a member of that committee; and he left the room in which they were assembled, as soon as he became acquainted with the nature of those intended resolutions. He refused the use of his premises for the purposes of that seditious meeting, and severely condemned his brother for having permitted it to be held on his ground. After the battle of St. Charles, it is proved that he was mistaken for his brother, the vice-president of the society, who was absent, and under that impression was made prisoner by the troops, and conducted to Montreal, under circumstances of great indignity. His claim for damage done to his property is 270 <i>l.</i> 10 <i>s.</i> , of which the Commissioners	498 10 -	200 - -