

Increase in Canadian Exports

TRADE

INCREASE IN CANADIAN EXPORTS—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Hon. George Hees (Prince Edward-Hastings): Mr. Speaker, I rise to ask unanimous consent of the House to move a motion under Standing Order 43 dealing with a matter of urgent public importance, specifically, that the increase in Canadian exports of 2.4 per cent during the first five months of this year, compared with the same period a year ago—

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Mr. Baldwin: That is your consent, George—they are giving consent.

Mr. Hees: —is far less than the rate of increase—

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Mr. Hees: —necessary to enable Canadian industry to absorb the yearly increase in the work force, thereby contributing materially to rising unemployment. I therefore move:

That the Minister of Industry, Trade and Commerce be required to appear before the Standing Committee on Finance, Trade and Economic Affairs within the next week, to discuss the need for a massive trade drive to increase exports to a level which will cause unemployment to decrease, rather than increase as it has been doing steadily since the beginning of the year.

Mr. Speaker: Hon. members have heard the motion proposed by the hon. member for Prince Edward-Hastings.

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: The motion as proposed to the House under Standing Order 43 requires unanimous consent. Is there such consent?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

Mr. Speaker: The hon. member will recognize that there is not unanimous consent so that the motion cannot be put at this time.

Mr. Hees: That is not the way it sounded to me, Mr. Speaker.

* * *

EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

VIET NAM—CANADIAN ROLE ON INTERNATIONAL CONTROL COMMISSION—REQUEST FOR UNANIMOUS CONSENT TO MOVE MOTION UNDER S.O. 43

Hon. Marcel Lambert (Edmonton West): Farther down in the pecking order, Mr. Speaker, I rise pursuant to Standing Order 43 to ask the unanimous consent of the House to propose a motion in a matter of urgent and pressing necessity.

[Mr. Speaker.]

Some hon. Members: Oh, oh!

Mr. Lambert (Edmonton West): I hear the catcalls already, Mr. Speaker; perhaps they are rising to the bait with applause for unanimous consent. This urgent matter concerns a report published in an American newspaper of international reputation and circulation. There are statements in this report which can reasonably be interpreted as reflecting with discredit upon the impartiality of Canada in discharging its role as a member of the International Commission for Supervision and Control in Viet Nam. I therefore move, seconded by the hon. member for Malpeque (Mr. MacLean):

That the Standing Committee on External Affairs and National Defence forthwith inquire into Canada's role and activities on the International Commission for Supervision and Control in Viet Nam, with specific reference to the question whether the representative provided by Canada on that commission at any time acted upon instruction by the Canadian government at the request of a foreign head of state without consultation with or knowledge of the representatives provided by other nations on the commission; and that the Secretary of State for External Affairs immediately table in this House all Canadian papers and documents pertaining to the messages carried or communicated to the government of Hanoi by J. Blair Seaborn while a member of the commission.

• (2:20 p.m.)

Mr. Speaker: The House has heard the motion proposed by the hon. member for Edmonton West. This motion requires the unanimous consent of the House.

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Some hon. Members: No.

An hon. Member: What are you hiding?

Mr. Speaker: There is not unanimity and the motion cannot be put to the House at this time.

Mr. Lambert (Edmonton West): There are some negative crows on the government side of the House.

QUESTIONS ON THE ORDER PAPER

(Questions answered orally are indicated by an asterisk.)

CORA BROWN AND McDONALD ROAD SUBDIVISIONS, SEA ISLAND, BRITISH COLUMBIA

Question No. 1,159—**Mr. Goode:**

1. Does the Department of Transport intend (a) to acquire all of the buildings (i) in the Cora Brown and McDonald Road Subdivisions on Sea Island, British Columbia (ii) on Lancaster Road, Sea Island, necessary for roadway purposes and, if so, by what dates (b) to invoke expropriation proceedings after these dates?

2. For each of the Cora Brown and the McDonald Road Subdivisions, during each of the years 1968, 1969, 1970 and 1971 to date (a) how many individual properties has the Department of Transport purchased (b) how many individual properties remain unpurchased (c) what was the total sum paid for the properties acquired (d) what was the square foot cost attributed to improvements (e) what was the cost attributable to land purchased?