

Full Court.] EX PARTE WOODSTOCK ELECTRIC LIGHT CO. [April 23.

*Summary conviction against a corporation.*

A corporation cannot be proceeded against under the Dominion Summary Convictions Act. Rule absolute for certiorari to remove conviction for not registering.

A. B. Conneli, Q.C., in support of rule. E. H. MacAlpine and W. Pugsley, Q.C., contra.

Full Court.] WOODSTOCK WOOLEN MILLS CO. v. MOORE. [April 23.

*Deed—Description by plan only.*

A plan or sketch inserted under the description in the body of a deed without any reference thereto by words in the deed is as much a part of the deed as if there were such reference.

A. B. Connell, Q.C., and A. A. Stockton, Q.C., for plaintiff. G. F. Gregory, Q.C., for defendant.

Full Court.] LABELLE v. McMILLAN. [April 23.

*False imprisonment—Evidence as to offence for which imprisoned.*

Plaintiff was convicted before one magistrate of violation of Liquor License Act, of 1896, and imprisoned for want of distress, from which imprisonment he was discharged on habeas corpus, on the ground that one magistrate had no jurisdiction to try offences under the Act. In an action for false imprisonment plaintiff offered evidence, which was admitted, to prove that he was not guilty of the offence for which he was thus convicted. Defendant objected on the ground that only the evidence taken before the magistrate could be used.

*Held*, on motion for a new trial, that the evidence was properly received.

A. A. Stockton, Q.C., and John Montgomery, for plaintiff. H. F. McLatchy, for defendant.

Full Court.] EX PARTE PASCAL HEBERT. [April 23.

*Attachment for non-payment of costs—Demand by chairman of a corporate body—Not sufficient for attachment.*

A demand of the payment of costs by the chairman of a board of Liquor License Commissioners, to whom the same were payable on the discharge of a rule for a mandamus to compel them to issue a license to the applicant, is not sufficient to support a motion for an attachment for their non-payment. The demand must be made by all three members of the Board, or by some one authorized by resolution to make the demand in their behalf.

Rule refused, MCLEOD, J., dissenting.

H. B. Rainsford for the application.

Full Court.] EX PARTE LAWRENCE. [April 23.

*Two arrests—Splitting of claims—Certiorari*

The applicant, having been arrested twice on the same day on separate capias, issued out of the city of Fredericton Civil Court at the suit of the